

Assessment Of Level Of Services For Physical Infrastructures In Peri-Urban Areas: Case Of Lucknow City

¹Ashwani Kumar Rawat, ²Dr. Subhrajit Banerjee, ³Dr. Anil Kumar Roy, ⁴Dr. Indrani Chakraborty

¹Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226007.

²Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226007

³CEPT University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat -380009.

⁴Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226007

Abstract

People, goods, services, and capital are dispersed within and between urban regions. Changes in product and service delivery also influence peri-urbanization. It is possible to view a town or region through the lens of commodities and services that cross rural-urban boundaries. To develop and manage in the peri-urban area, fundamental infrastructure must be assessed. Peri-urban areas are a mix of urban and rural. This is because peri-urban communities are highly connected socially and economically.

Inadequate city planning, environmental deterioration, lack of land control, and general lack of investment plague peri-urban areas. Policymakers and other stakeholders rarely examine positive peri-urban land-use developments. Science and technology can help rural infrastructure be more inclusive. People, public infrastructure, and general well-being are increasingly separated in expanding cities. Peri-urban areas combine urban and rural usage. These areas may soon be entirely urbanized.

How do Tier-II cities' physical infrastructure services differ within the same periurban area? Lucknow features five periurban zones, based on their distance from the city center. Because Lucknow is a multinuclear city, our study focused on the periurban areas closest to the urban nucleus. Sarsawan, Kakori, Bakshi Ka Talab, Gosaiganj, and Mohanlalganj are 11 miles (16 km) apart from the next large city (nuclei).

These basic service infrastructures vary substantially between an urban core and its periphery. This study considers physical infrastructure services such as water, sewage, waste, stormwater management, and public lighting. The study's key question is: Do physical infrastructure services in second-order metropolitan cities' polycentric urban core areas match their distance from the urban center? This study used AHP, Delphi, and Multilinear Regression.

The study found that the physical infrastructure services and associated components vary amongst the peri-urban areas. In actuality, the relationship is a curve. The survey found that peri-urban residents value infrastructure services more than city dwellers. Water supply in Mohanlalganj (25 km) was superior to neighboring peri-urban settlements like Kakori and Sarsawan (11 and 14 km). Private borewells, legal or illegal, were commonly used to supplement water supplies in Mohanlalganj, Bangladesh.

In this study, the degree of services offered by urban infrastructure was linear throughout the five sectors evaluated. Only a few essential services are adequate, and they deteriorate as one moves away from urban centers, like in the selected places. Peri-urban infrastructure service levels dropped with

distance from Lucknow's city center, according to the study areas. Similar evaluations could help other sectors of physical infrastructure that rely on state and federal operations and plans.

Keywords: Indices, Multilinear Regression, Peri-urbanization, Level of Services.

Introduction

In today's age of innovation and creativity, rapid population increase is becoming increasingly typical. Land value increases with population, encouraging a range of land uses. Demographics, population density, migration patterns, and geographic location all influence the rate of urbanization. Peri-urban zones, on the other hand, are a mix of urban and rural. These areas are called peri-urban, fringe, or transitional zones. The physical, economic, and social qualities of a place influence its long-term growth. Urbanization is influenced by demographics and population density. Urban and rural populations mix, no development norms or rules, mixed economic activity, and infrastructure service shortages define peripheral urbanization. 40 years later, peri urbanization is still defined as a mix of urban and rural areas with high population density, lack of norms and regulations, an active economy, and a severe lack of services. This definition aligns with the place-based literature's concept that the peri-urban is a hybrid of rural and urban components.

The flow of products and services between rural and urban areas determines a society or region's borders in numerous ways. Peri-urbanization involves commodities, services, money, and labour. The distribution of products and services also plays a role in peri-urbanization. It is considered one of the best urban areas due to its strong social and economic linkages to its surroundings. It also brings up new options.

People who reside in cities around the world are reported to have minimal regulation or service. Legislation promotes economic flexibility and the preference of global capital for urban areas[2]. According to Satterthwaite (2007), lax laws may lead environmental costs to be shifted. Researching interconnected social, economic, and ecological systems can be complex. Industrial effluents and air pollution move people out of cities, increasing

demand for natural resources like water. That said, there aren't enough hygienic, sanitary, and other amenities[3].

Favorable land-use changes in peri-urban areas are rarely explored by policymakers and other stakeholders. Global cities thus behave like city-states, disregarding local or national dynamics. Disadvantaged communities must develop new ways to communicate their ideas. Globalization is isolating cities from their economies. Globalization-enabled technology has not made it simpler to be unique. Peri-urban areas are places where the poor and marginalized are continuously challenged by the constraints of globalization and environmental degradation. Their personal experiences lead them to feel that the city is a top global capital and cross-border investment hub (in terms of opportunities, degradation, and sustainability). It can also lead to new types of dictatorship, as Kielman and Bentley show (2003). Health and urban health care should better understand women's experiences with "natural women's diseases." [4].

As India's population grows, it becomes more urbanized, leading to a need for greater living standards. Peri-urban issues include poor city design, environmental deterioration, and land control. A sustainable city has public transit, open green space, and a diverse spectrum of viewpoints in urban planning. Effective peri-urban planning and management require a detailed assessment of basic infrastructure[5].

Developing countries must compete in a market that strongly relies on industrialized countries' stability. One of the most important aspects of a city is its affordability. Science and technology can be employed to create inclusive infrastructure on the outskirts of a metropolis. Infrastructure services include water, sanitation, waste management, stormwater, and public lighting. Due to time constraints, it is vital to focus on physical infrastructure[6].

Despite having their objectives, cities have little control over their growth, aspirations, and demands. To avoid deficits, everyone in the community should have access to physical and social infrastructure. Modern urban residents will construct and build their smart cities. Peri-urban zones are areas where urban and rural uses overlap. These locations are on the verge of urbanization and may become fully urban.

Suburbanization and urban sprawl are common reasons. Its historic towns and villages are usually linked to its growth. Biegaska and others study the consequences of urbanization on population and social life. [7] Physical expansion, public infrastructure, and urbanization are becoming unique challenges.

Peri-urban zones in Indian cities have been conceptualized in various ways. As Srinagar grew, so did its land-use classifications. As a result, the socioeconomic and demographic makeup of the rural-urban periphery has shifted, as has land use. According to the study region's LULC research, the rising demands of the center metropolis have driven land changes. There is an urban sprawl island migration from non-useful to almost-useful areas.

India is rapidly urbanizing due to population growth and a desire to enhance one's standard of living. Urbanization is pushing Indian cities to their limits. As a result, the peri-urban areas suffer from poor urban planning, poor environmental management, a lack of land control, and lack of investment determinants. In 2010, (UN-Habitat, 2010).

Due to the diversity of land uses, peri-urban areas are often separated into administrative zones. It is peri-urbanism that is at the heart of urbanization and sustainability, not the other way around. habitation patterns can be classified. Overuse, degradation, and redistribution of infrastructure services are common results.

According to research, land pressure increases commercialization and other land-use changes. People who can afford to buy land for urban purposes can do so, but this has generated socio-spatial inequities. Urban migration, population growth, and reclassification of rural land are all contributors in the Asian-Pacific region's urbanization. Peri-urban areas are vital to the regional economy, but they also threaten spatial cohesion and environmental quality[10].

Many believe cities create growth boundaries to control suburban sprawl. Urban sprawl is increasing due to artificial city-region growth. Urban fringe housing developments in India are massive housing constructions. Regional planning and land use restrictions are to fault. Asian towns have relied on European planning for centuries. The peri-urban interaction has no set boundaries. Scholars have proposed many techniques for partitioning data. The natural urban-rural divide is established within 30-50 miles. Forested mountain ranges and wetlands are vital, as are restored forests[11] and farmland.

The district of Lucknow has 45.8 million residents. It is the ninth most populous city in the US. Urban areas have 600-1000 persons per square mile (LDA, 2031). Elderly people and young families live in urban peri-urban areas.

This study's mainly focuses on the process of assessment of service in urban outskirts and construct an equational model that can anticipate and justify the necessary physical and policy interventions for comprehensive planning of urban, peri-urban, and rural communities at the regional and action area levels. The study will identify and prioritize the parameters of each infrastructure in the study area, as well as the importance and evolution of the chosen study regions. Multilinear regression is used in conjunction with AHP, Delphi, and other techniques[12].

To begin, with the study looked at Lucknow's fast-expanding periurban regions. Lucknow is a multinuclear city, therefore the study's periurban areas were chosen for their proximity to the nearest urban nuclei. The survey data were analyzed using multilinear regression, and the existing levels of service (LOS) for each facility were considered. This yielded an index value needed to determine each infrastructure's LOS. The study shows that comparing the LoS of infrastructure services to the model value might help formulate strategies and estimations of total cumulative levels (LOS) for peri-urban regions. This study focuses on physical infrastructure services exclusively under the jurisdiction of the urban or rural local bodie viz: water supply, sanitation, drainage, and solid waste disposal.

This study forcus on the main objective to nalayse as to whether "Distance from the urban

core affects the level of associative infrastructure services in peri-urban areas”.

For this study, four peri-urban sites were chosen based on certain criteria : i) The daily commutable distance from the city core considered to be within 25 kms. ii) All five research locations are part of Lucknow's 2035 Vision Plan as declared by Lucknow Development Authority. iii) Inaction on these concerns will lead to unforeseen expansion and increased demand for infrastructure upgrades.

The first area is Sarswan, a historical and cultural site. The other three are Bakshi-ka Talab, Gosaiganj, and Mohanlalganj.

For each study location, a pilot study was conducted wherein 30 samples from each location were surveyed. The data were analyzed statistically. Prior to the pilot survey, the formulated questionnaire was given to 10 specialists, five from different planning authorities and municipal cooperation and five from academia, to validate the questionnaire. After the suggestions were received, a final questionnaire was created after assessing the case study venues' viability and the primary survey was undertaken in each study area. A minimum of 1% of all households in each research area were sampled. The survey used a stratified random sample to acquire data. According to the above notion, study region 3 required 125 samples which was the maximum amongst all, hence 125 samples in each research area, were conducted to sum upto 625 samples in total.

This study's focus is on water supply and sanitation, waste management, stormwater management, and public lighting. Depending on where you live, the basic service infrastructure may vary substantially. Waldo Tobler invented the notion of Distance, to Decay based on his knowledge of LOS dispersion (1970). Between these study regions and the nearest city center are: Sarsawan is 11 km from Hazartganj city, Kakori is 14 km, Bakshi Ka Talab, Gosaiganj, and Mohanlalganj are each 16 km.

The survey's findings are summarised as follows:

- A study in Gosaiganj found that all water sources, including their borewell, were safe (21 km away from the core). A majority of families

in Saraswan and Mohanlalganj said they get their water on time. Rural dwellers have had access to their water supply via legal and illegal borewell hookups. Among the study regions, Mohanlalganj had the most residences with shared bathrooms (25 km).

The Bakshi ka Talab has made 68 percent of public bathrooms accessible. We don't use shared sewer pipes. 4.3 is a sewer toilet. In Kakori (14 km away), 87.2 percent of families are pleased with the local government's job. The Saraswan study region had fewer septic tanks (96%) than the other five (100 percent) A sewer was not used at Gosaiganj (21 km) or Mohanlalganj (25 km). Homemade organic waste is a big health problem that requires attention. To illustrate this, the data shows how frequently municipal crews pick up solid rubbish in Kakori (14 km).

- In Mohanlalganj (25 kilometers), 73.6% of households reported irregular solid waste collection. In nearby cities, waste segregation at the source is more efficient. 18.7% of families in Mohanlalganj said their waste was utilized to generate electricity (25km). 8.3% of the rubbish collected by Bakshi KA Talab creates power (16km). Bakshi Talab 50.3 % of homes compost or reuse solid waste. People say they don't dispose of their solid waste outside of the city's core. Stormwater stagnation affects up to 70% of Gosaiganj residents during the wet season. Rainwater pours into seasonal streams and roadside Nalas in Mohanlalganj. Residents in Kakori reported using various methods to remove stormwater. Over 90% of the houses investigated had electricity, although there are concerns about power outages and load shadowing in peri-urban regions. A well-lit city makes it easier for people to travel around. Renewable energy is being used to illuminate streets globally, including in India. Nearer to the city's center, more efficient lighting. Most infrastructural services are best positioned nearer to cities. Private borewells are becoming more widespread in places like Mohanlalganj (25 kilometers) (21 km). No sewage was dumped in Gosaiganj or Mohanlalganj (21 kilometers) (25 km).

- Pukka septic tanks also keep waste out of the groundwater. Residents in Sarsawan are the only ones complaining about clogged

sewers. Every day, five-hour power outages occur in Lucknow's outskirts.

- Part of India's uneven growth is due to a lack of infrastructure in various regions. To address this issue, an index comparing peri-urban infrastructure services to those in metropolitan cores will be required.

This index will be developed from scratch. Based on the quality of water in these areas, individuals in these peri-urban areas tend to assume that non-municipal sources are superior to municipal sources. For immediate application, Bakshi Ka Talab (16 km) surpasses Kakori (11 km). For stormwater facilities, we calculated index values to show how well they work. Kakori received a higher overall score when coupled with Saraswan, a closer location to downtown. There are 11 peri-urban areas, 14 peri-urban areas, 16 peri-urban areas, 21 peri-

urban areas, and 25 peri-urban regions that are separated from their urban cores. As a result, determining whether the LOS changes with distance from the city centre is critical.

This study aims to create a service-level index that helps in evaluating and monitoring peri-urban infrastructure development initiatives and their causal relationships using existing primary sources of data. The researcher has taken the five case areas under the scope of the study. The respondents' perception in each case area has been captured through a primary survey. The perception was measured in a scale of 1 to 5 (using Likert scale) for various parameters under each sectors within the scope of the research. The responses were evaluated through a frequency distribution table and each parameter was given a total score. The table 1.1. shows the scores for each parameter under each sector for each of the study areas.

Table 1.1: The table shows the obtained Scores of all five study areas.

SECTOR	PARAMETERS	MAX SCORE	OBTAINED SCORE S-1	OBTAINED SCORE S-2	OBTAINED SCORE S-3	OBTAINED SCORE S-4	OBTAINED SCORE S-5
			11 Km.	14 Km.	16 Km.	21 Km.	25 Km.
WATER SUPPLY	Source of water supply	100	64	56.48	55.18	51.23	47.89
	Quality of water supply	100	85.8	83.2	81.21	76.56	72.48
	Frequency of water supply	100	94.9	89.3	82.56	71.89	68.37
	Quantity of water supply	100	88.2	86.9	85.43	67.13	53.84
SANITATION	Toilets used by the stakeholders (individual)	100	87.4	83.75	76.16	76.48	63.58
	Availability of public toilets	100	82.4	81.16	79.34	61.34	52.64
	Availability of Sverage lines	100	36.5	21.93	12.25	3.2	1.32
	Connections in sverage lines	100	34.9	19.59	11.37	2.4	0.59
STREET LIGHT	Electric power connection	100	98.1	92.46	90.45	87.14	91.33
	Electric Power Cut	100	89.8	73.96	69.45	63.62	67.98
	Street light availability	100	90.4	85.4	72.37	71.35	67.48
	Street light working	100	77.8	84.6	78.78	73.89	61.57
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT	Collection of solid waste	100	88.8	89.56	75.43	62.85	56.78
	Periodicity of SWM collection	100	84.8	87.36	76.48	61.64	58.74
	Segregation of SWM at intermediate level	100	82.9	72.5	63.5	53.4	47.23
	Disposal of SWM	100	82	85.21	72.47	49.89	39.54
STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	Storm water disposal at individual house hold level	100	61.3	48.56	53.47	48.27	41.65
	storm water disposal at neighbourhood level	100	53.3	43.27	41.75	36.45	38.67
	stagnation of storm water	100	50.6	41.89	56.45	52.47	58.4
	Collection of storm water at water body	100	44.8	53.2	52.78	49.75	43.98

Source: Sources: Primary survey, Jan.- Feb. 2000

Explanation of their score obtained and index value analysis.

The following methodology was adopted for the preparation of the table above:

- Step1: A survey response was captured on 1 to 5 scale by each of 125 number of respondent within each study area.
- Step2: The sum of all score was used to prepare the frequency distribution table. In the ideal situation a maximum score of 600 would be possible, however the actual response was summed up to obtain the total score of each sector.
- Step3: The total scored sum obtained for each parameter was interpolated out of 100.
- Step 4: Since each sector was having an unequal number of parameters, the summation of the scores of all the parameters under each sector was interpolated to a scale of 10.
- Step 5: The final index value reflected the levels of services for each of the sectors in each study area was finally evaluated.

Inferences from analysis

From the above discussion, it can be observed that there is a strong relationship between the level of service (LoS) and the distance of the area from city core. The selected peri urban areas are considered as study area with the distance of 11km, 14km, 16km, 21km and 25 km respectively from their urban core. Therefore, there is a need of analysing whether the level of service (LoS) follows and equational model with respect to the distance from the city core. The existing LoS for each infrastructure services considered within the scope of research has been equated through analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and giving weightages to each of them from the primary survey conducted within each study area.

The following has been done through multi liner regression in SPSS software tool.

Compilation and correlation of equational model with analysis from case studies.

The data has been analysed by multi liner regression method between the distance and the respective parameters. The data has been

analysed by SPSS Software, which gave out the following results.

Correlation Matrix Between Distance and Parameters by Multi Linear Regression:

- (Sarsawan) (N.P), -11 KM)- Equation for 11 Kms $Y_A = 11 - 0.4022x_1 - 0.4978x_2 - 0.5530x_3 - 0.3209x_4 - 0.4050x_5$
- (Kakori (N.P), -14 KM)- Equation for 14 Kms $Y_B = 14 - 0.3531x_1 - 0.4370x_2 - 0.5539x_3 - 0.2341x_4 - 0.3791x_5$
- Bakshi Ka Talab (N.P) - Equation for 16 Kms $Y_C = 16 - 0.2752x_1 - 0.7521x_2 - 0.3120x_3 - 0.2962x_4 - 0.4317x_5$
- Gosaiganj (N.P) - Equation for 21 Kms $Y_D = 21 - 0.1446x_1 - 0.6287x_2 - 0.2308x_3 - 0.2632x_4 - 0.6870x_5$
- Mohanlal ganj (N.P)- Equation for 25 Kms $Y_E = 25 - 0.1212x_1 - 0.4495x_2 - 0.1045x_3 - 0.1024x_4 - 0.7488x_5$

Note: x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 and x_5 are the individual sector parameter's level of services

This is the general equation for individual sector based total level of services of infrastructure with their respective intercept distance $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x_1 + \beta_2 * x_2 + \beta_3 * x_3 + \beta_4 * x_4 + \beta_5 * x_5$

In which β_0 – distance from urban core and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ and β_5 are the constant value.

Interpretation of the Graph And Equational Model Drive

□ The equation between 11 Km and 14 Km has been following a different pattern with their respective distance 16km, 21Km, and 25 Km of peri-urban areas along with their independent variables.

□ Beyond the intercept 14 Km distance from respective urban core, the total level of services of 16 Km, 21 Km and 25 Km follows a similarly equation, because of their same nature of curve.



Figure 5.6: The figure shows the trend pattern of the correlation matrix dataset of all study areas.

Source: Sources: Primary survey, Feb.- March 2000

□ If we take the distance of 14 Km or less than 14 Km, there is a parity in the graph that means this region functions as good as their urban city core. It is an extended part of the city core. It may not be termed as peri-urban area.

Conclusion:

The public perception analysis in this chapter reveals a linear pattern in the levels of services related to urban infrastructure in five sectors in the selected five locations in the peri urban areas. The theory of distance decay holds true beyond the distance of 14 Km locations of the peri urban areas as the declining trends follows as perfect linear pattern. The first two peri urban locations show close association in terms of their levels of services and are far better than the rest of the three locations beyond 14 Km from their respective urban core.

It can be concluded that the levels of infrastructure services such as water supply, sanitation, storm water facilities, solid waste management and public lighting facilities including streetlight decreases with the distance from the city core in the selected study areas of peri urban location in Lucknow city, however, there is a marginal distortion in declining trends. It does not really follow a linear declining trend.

References

- [1] F. Marshall and J. Dolley, "Transformative innovation in peri-urban Asia," *Res. Policy*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 983–992, 2019.
- [2] H. Schenk, "India's urban fringe," *Peri-urban Dyn. Popul. habitat Environ. Peripher. large Indian metropolises, A Rev. concepts Gen. issues*, pp. 121–145, 2005.
- [3] K. Nilsson, S. Pauleit, S. Bell, C. Aalbers, and T. S. Nielsen, *Peri-urban futures: Scenarios and models for land use change in Europe*. 2013.
- [4] F. Marshall, "Peri-urban," no. October 2017, 2009.
- [5] V. Narain, "Periurban water security in a context of urbanization and climate change: A review of concepts and relationships," pp. 45–50, 2010.
- [6] Shaw, "Peri-Urban Interface of Indian Cities," *Econ. Polit. Wkly.*, no. JANUARY 2005, pp. 129–136, 2005.
- [7] C. Woiwode and C. Hill, "Peri-urban dynamics and sustainability in Chennai: the case of Sriperumbudur," *TRIALOG A J. Plan. Build. a Glob. Context*, vol. 122, no. 3/2015, pp. 8–12, 2017.
- [8] C. Kumar and B. R. K. Sinha, "Concepts and Approaches for Delineating the Rural-Urban Fringe," vol. 65, no. 3, 2019.
- [9] P. Tiwari, "Dynamics of Peri Urban areas of Indian Cities," *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2019.
- [10] "Urbanization trends in Asia and the Pacific."
- [11] F. Marshall and J. Dolley, "Transformative innovation in peri-urban Asia," *Res. Policy*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 983–992, 2019.
- [12] H. Schenk, "India's urban fringe," *Peri-urban Dyn. Popul. habitat Environ. Peripher. large Indian metropolises, A Rev. concepts Gen. issues*, pp. 121–145, 2005.
- [13] K. Nilsson, S. Pauleit, S. Bell, C. Aalbers, and T. S. Nielsen, *Peri-urban futures: Scenarios and models for land use change in Europe*. 2013.
- [14] F. Marshall, "Peri-urban," no. October 2017, 2009.
- [15] V. Narain, "Periurban water security in a context of urbanization and climate

- change: A review of concepts and relationships,” pp. 45–50, 2010.
- [16] A. Shaw, “Peri-Urban Interface of Indian Cities,” *Econ. Polit. Wkly.*, no. JANUARY 2005, pp. 129–136, 2005.
- [17] C. Woiwode and C. Hill, “Peri-urban dynamics and sustainability in Chennai: the case of Sriperumbudur,” *TRIALOG A J. Plan. Build. a Glob. Context*, vol. 122, no. 3/2015, pp. 8–12, 2017.
- [18] C. Kumar and B. R. K. Sinha, “Concepts and Approaches for Delineating the Rural-Urban Fringe,” vol. 65, no. 3, 2019.
- [19] P. Tiwari, “Dynamics of Peri Urban areas of Indian Cities,” *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2019.
- [20] Urbanization trends in Asia and the Pacific.”
- [21] P. Rahayu and F. H. Mardiansjah, “Characteristics of peri-urbanization of a secondary city: A challenge in recent urban development,” *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 126, no. 1, 2018.
- [22] B. Sheng Wu and D. Sui, “Modeling impacts of globalization on desakota regions: a case study of Taipei Metropolitan Area,” *Environ. Plan. B Plan. Des.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 320–340, 2016.
- [23] R. Henrique, M. Pereira, and T. Schwanen, “Originally published by Ipea in March 2013 as number 1813a of the series *Texto para Discussão*,” no. 1813, 2013.
- [24] H. Partap Singh Bhatia, K. Suzuki, N. Phan Dai Trang, and R. Kishwar Ashraf, “INFRASTRUCTURE IN ASIA AND THE PACIFIC Rights and Permissions,” 2020.