Journal of Positive School Psychology http://journalppw.com
2022, Vol. 6, No. 6, 4375-4381

Faculty Member's Competencies In Engineering Educational Institutions On Instructional Methodologies In The New Normal

Edwin Anthony Amalanathan K.[1,#] , Dr. Bharati Pujari[2]

[1]Research Scholar, MATS University, Raipur,(CG),India
[1]Director (CDC), Rungta College of Engineering & Technology, Bhilai, (CG), India
[2]Asst. Professor, MATS School of Management Studies and Research, MATS University, Raipur, (CG), India,
[1]diro.edwin@gmail.com, [2]bharatip@matsuniversity.ac.in
 # Corresponding Author

Abstract

The review investigates the amateur instructors' skills in coordinating teaching innovation in illustration readiness in engineering education. The paper is secured in the expert guidelines for faculty members of engineering colleges, explicitly, the spaces that attention on the positive utilization of ICT. The review used a blended strategy research plan. The chosen 90 fresher faculty members of engineering colleges addressed the internet-based review survey presented utilizing Google structures and 10 participants addressed a web-based interview. They were picked through the purposive sampling. The information was gathered utilizing Blended Teaching Readiness study poll and semi-organized survey, for the quantitative stage and subjective stage, individually. The investigation of information advanced through factual programming and topical coding. The review uncovered that the self-assessment of beginner faculty members on their capacities is exceptionally equipped. The subjective information uncovered the various encounters in arrangements of faculty members in educational procedures. Henceforth, young faculty members should go through extra expert advancement on the incorporation of innovation in informative planning, appraisal, and overseeing internet learning conditions. Training of faculty members in engineering colleges should escalate in furnishing instructors with information on coordinating innovation in example arrangements. The management and government should give assets to the faculty members that will assist them with planning informative materials, for example, ICT rooms, experts for specialized help, PCs, and web availability. Enough time will likewise assist faculty members with planning better educational materials for their classes. The current circumstance of the teaching system of our nation needs a huger push and hopeful view that we will actually want to roll out moderate improvement. Faculty members should consider individual drive, welcome the potential ways of improving the current framework, and become piece of changes to help our nation elevate and confront difficulties and attempts.

Keywords: Educational technology, instructional methodologies, professional development and faculty members' professional standards.

Introduction

Different changes are as of now established around the world, so faculty members are exceptional and can adjust to the potential changes brought by various expected circumstances like the Covid-19 pandemic. Throughout the long term, guaranteeing capable faculty members and greatness in homeroom readiness has turned into an

essential worldwide concern. Past examination reports that educator guidelines can help in advancing quality instruction (Ingvarson, 2012). Top-notch faculty members are fundamental requirement for the future development of engineering education at graduate, post-graduate levels and financial essentialness (Panda, 2019). The decaying nature of engineering education in the nation provoked government and analysts to explore the potential motivations behind why there is a decrease in understudy accomplishment (Santoro et al., 2012). Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2018 outcomes uncovered that educator are experiencing issues in planning execution errands and genuine critical thinking exercises. Lacking information on understudy-focused showing technique tumbles to distortion of the expression "working with learning" (Organization for Economic Co-activity and Development [OECD], 2019).

The nature of teaching helps in guaranteeing personal satisfaction and monetary advancement. It outfits individuals with information and abilities and it supports HR. Logical examinations fortify innovation, industrialization, and advancements. Evidently, training assumes an indispensable part in the various areas of our general public. In accordance determined to advance quality training, it is likewise basic to redesign the nature of faculty members we have. Proficient principles, preparation, and progression are completely connected with the quality and impressive skill of faculty of engineering colleges. Thus, faculty updating is basic in both preparation and setting the foundation for their expert development (Panda, 2019). In the current circumstance, the pandemic had a critical effect on the area of teaching. The study in the engineering colleges shows the constraints of the faculty members and the limit of the country to help students from various phases of life. Proficient norms of the faculty members of the engineering colleges are viewed as a basic part of conveying admirable quality guidance to 21st-century students. Quality instruction ought to be estimated against worldwide norms.

The accompanying pointers feature the critical effect of 21st Century abilities sets, which cover the use of innovation in example readiness (Research Center for Faculty member Quality, 2021). These principles have suggestions for planning and supporting faculty in their initial years (Luft et al., 2015; Roberto and Madrigal, 2018).

The unexpected change in learning modalities affected engineering education at under graduate level as well as post graduate level. The execution of the web-based learning framework expects faculty of engineering colleges to incorporate instructive innovation in the planning of illustrations, picking showing systems, planning learning appraisal and assessment, and further developing class executives (Juanda et al., 2021). In conveying content utilizing on the web stages, it is expected to relocate the illustration, evaluation instruments, and general media materials in an OK configuration required by a product application.

Arrangement of the faculty members at engineering colleges has been viewed as a mystifying solution for further developing educational programs in engineering education and educating and learning while simultaneously being presented to investigates that raise doubt about its adequacy in preparing top-notch faculty members for the 21st century (Flores, 2016). Refining instructing and learning requires an interest in top-notch aggregate instructor teaching with far-reaching, demonstrated aptitude and preparation (Darling-Hammond, 2013).

Purpose of the research

The review's essential objective is to assess the skills of the faculty members of engineering colleges in incorporating instructive innovation into informative strategies in the new typical.

Expressly, the review needs to:

i. explores the benefits of having basic information on advanced education and approaches to incorporating on the web materials in their instructing procedure;

ii. determine the utilization of innovation in working with understudy and instructor collaborations or understudy to understudy communications;
iii. identify the part of the preparation of instructional methods and strategies that instructors see as most battling in incorporating innovation;
iv. discuss the various difficulties of integrating technology in making arrangements for evaluation and assessment, and
v. determines the troubles in managing blended environments and managing blended routines in an internet learning climate.

Methodology

As indicated by Creswell and Clark (2011), informative exploration configuration incorporates gathering quantitative information first, then, at that point, subjective information to additionally legitimize or develop the quantitative discoveries. The reasoning for this approach is that quantitative information and results give a wide image of the examination issue; further review, predominantly subjective information assortment, is expected to refine or clarify a greater view of the exploration. The examination technique is separated into six phases, laid out in the accompanying cycles:

Participants of the Study

90 faculty members addressed the web-based review survey presented utilizing Google forms and 10 members addressed an internet-based interview. The respondents were chosen utilizing a purposive inspecting technique. In the overall instruction writing, there is no agreement on the specific idea of when faculty members quit being beginners as far as time instructing; it can go from one year to ten years in different exploration papers.

Research Instruments Survey Questionnaire
The study's questionnaire went through two full stages of instrument creation, validation, and peer review. The Cronbach alphas for each build have been reported as (>.89). The instrument was examined for reliability in this study, and the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient was 0.943.

Table 1 Profile of the Respondents

Code	Age	Rank	Years in Service
TP1	28	Faculty member 1	1
TP2	30	Faculty member 1	3
TP3	33	Faculty member 1	6
TP4	29	Faculty member 1	2
TP5	36	Faculty member 1	10
TP6	31	Faculty member 1	4
TP7	32	Faculty member 1	5
TP8	35	Faculty member 1	8
TP9	36	Faculty member 1	9
TP10	35	Faculty member 1	7

Data analysis

Statistical techniques were used to obtain the mean scores of the blended teaching readiness instrument. The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient for the instrument's internal correctness was calculated using the same software. The procedure guaranteed that the study's instrument was appropriate for the given research situation. The responses of the online interview participants were gathered and coded.

Results and Discussion

Faculty members' knowledge in digital literacy

Table 2 shows the responses of faculty members concerning their background on digital literacy. It shows that the faculty members are very competent in terms of dispositions, with a mean result of 3.47 and digital citizenship with a mean score of 3.08.

Table 2 Fundamentals of Education Technology Integration

Indicators	Mean	SD	Description
Technical Literacy	3.47	0.59	Competent
Digital Citizenship	3.08	0.58	Very Competent

The faculty members were questioned on the most frequent instruments used in an online learning environment. The importance of curation of materials for effective teaching, such as lesson content, quizzes, and activity sheets, was underlined. Even though new instructors are exposed to digital literacy as part of their course work, they still struggle with online platforms.

Designing Faculty member Instructional Methodologies and Approaches

Table 3 shows that faculty members are very competent in designing and facilitating their classes. The faculty members are very competent in designing instructional methodologies and approaches with a mean score of 3.61. Faculty members show a higher degree of competence in facilitating student-content interaction with a mean result of 3.36 than personalizing instructions.

Table 3 Planning Instruction and facilitating classroom interaction

Indicators	Mean	SD	Description
Personalizing Instruction	3.61	0.65	Very Competent
Facilitating Student-Student Interaction	3.41	0.63	Very Competent
Facilitating Faculty-Student Interaction	3.45	0.64	Very Competent
Facilitating Student-Content Interaction	3.36	0.63	Very Competent

Instructional Methods and Strategies Preparation

The participants struggle in planning blended activities with a mean result of 3.89 over planning blended assessments with a mean result of 3.99, which can be derived from the result (Table 4) in designing instructional methods and strategies with technology integration.

Table 4 Activity Preparation and Planning

Indicators	Mean	SD	Description
Planning Blended Activities	3.89	0.62	Very Competent
Planning Blended Assessments	3.43	0.60	Very Competent

Conclusion

The review investigated the capabilities of faculty members of engineering colleges in incorporating training innovation in setting an example. The paper is attached in the expert principles for faculty members of engineering colleges, explicitly, spaces that attention on the positive utilization of ICT. The review uncovers that the self-assessment of beginner faculties on their capacities is exceptionally skillful. Subjective information uncovers the various battles in instructor arrangements in educational approaches. In view of the review results, unexperienced faculties are deficient with regard to abilities connected with online informative arrangements. In any case, it is additionally huge that training foundations for the faculty

members of engineering colleges will assist with getting ready faculties to outfit them with information on incorporating innovation. Faculties need time to foster a more elevated level of ability in applying different applications in their examples. State-funded engineering institutions have restricted ICT assets/foundations; they need to give their own like PCs and web networks (Khalid et al., 2015; Nath, 2019). The current circumstance of the education system of engineering institutions of our nation needs a more critical push and hopeful view that we will actually want to roll out a moderate improvement. Instructors should consider individual drive, welcome the potential ways of upgrading the current framework and become a piece of change to help our nation inspire and confront difficulties and attempts.

Recommendations

The following recommendations are based on the study's results and conclusions:

i. The institute should provide more training and professional development related to the integration of technology in faculty member preparation for both novice and experienced faculty members;
ii. There should be specific examples and a pool of resources for faculty members that will assist them in preparing instructional materials, such as ICT rooms, technicians, and an ICT coordinator for technical support, laptops, and internet connectivity;
iii. Faculty members should have enough time to design better instructional materials (Salehi & Salehi, 2012). This will assist faculty members in revising, improving, and validating the accuracy of their assessment tools for students.
iv. Conduct workshops from time to time during Learning action cell (LAC) sessions to simulate and review their teaching materials for improvement (De Vera et al., 2020); and
v. Encourage faculty member of the colleges to improve their programmed related to the incorporation of technology into faculty member training. Such methods will assist new graduates in adjusting to the realities of the country's educational system.

Learning is a constant process, according to this study. Faculty development requires additional training and investigation. Future research into faculty member competencies is highly encouraged in this regard.

References

1. Adoniou, M., & Gallagher, M. (2017). Professional standards for faculty members—what are they good for? Oxford review of education, 43(1), 109-126.
2. Alcantara, L. T., Enrique, W. D. & Pangilinan, D. D. (2018). Professional Preparedness ofStudent Faculty members of Baliuag University College of Education. Harvest, 14(1).
3. Retrieved from http://ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=12967
4. Aydin, S., Boz, N. & Boz, Y. (2010). Factors that are Influential in Pre-service Chemistry Faculty members' Choices of Instructional Strategies in the Context of Methods of Separation of Mixtures: A Case Study. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 19(2). Retrieved from http://ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=4006
5. Beutel, D. & Crosswell, L. (2012). Preparing to Teach: Perceptions of Graduate Entry Faculty Member Education Students. IAMURE International Journal of Education, 2(1). Retrieved from http://ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=3115
6. Blechacz, S. (2018). Transitioning Science Faculty members to an Inquiry-Based Approach to Develop Critical Reasoning Skills in High School Students. USA: Arizona State University.
7. Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2017). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review. Educational Research Review, 22, 1-18.
8. Cheung, W. S., & Hew, K. F. (2011). Design and evaluation of two blended learning approaches: Lessons learned.

Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 27(8).
9. CMO no. 75 series of 2017 (Bachelor of Secondary Education). Policies, Standards andGuidelines for Bachelor of Secondary Education (BSEd). Retrieved from https://ched.gov.ph/cmo-75-s-2017/
10. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
11. Deed, C., & Lesko, T. (2015). 'Unwalling' the classroom: Faculty member reaction and adaptation. Learning Environments Research, 18(2), 217-231.
12. Delosa, J. G. & Morales, K. M. (2015). Assessment Literacy of Pre-Service Faculty members and the NCBTS Domain. IAMURE International Journal of Education, 13(1). Retrieved from http://ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=3434
13. De Vera, JL, De Borja, JMA. & Orleans, A. (2020). Addressing Instructional Gaps in K to 12 Science Teaching through Learning Action Cell (LAC). International Journal of Research Publications, 46.
14. Evans, L. (2011). The 'shape' of faculty member professionalism in England: Professional standards, performance management, professional development and the changes proposed in the 2010 White Paper. British Educational Research Journal, 37(5), 851-870.
15. Griffith, R. (2016). Preservice faculty members' in-the-moment teaching decisions in reading. Literacy, 51(1), 3-10. doi:10.1111/lit.12097
16. Hamdan, A. K. (2015). Challenges and constraints encountered by Saudi preservice science faculty members: A critical perspective. Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: Gulf Perspectives, 12(1).
17. Ingvarson, L. C. (2012). Standards for graduation and initial faculty member certification: The international experience. Paper pre- pared for the Chilean National Council for Education. Retrieved from http://works.bepress.com/lawrence_ingvarson1/206/
18. Juanda, A., Shidiq, A. S., & Nasrudin, D. (2021). Faculty member Learning Management: Investigating Biology Faculty members' TPACK to Conduct Learning During the Covid-19Outbreak. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, 10(1), 48-59.
19. Karlström, M., & Hamza, K. (2018). Preservice Science Faculty members' Opportunities for Learning Through Reflection When Planning a Microteaching Unit. Journal of Science Faculty member Education, 30(1), 44-62. doi:10.1080/1046560x.2018.1531345
20. Kim, K. A., & Roth, G. L. (2011). Novice faculty members and their acquisition of work-relatedinformation. Current issues in Education, 14(1).
21. Kopcha, T. J. (2012). Faculty members' perceptions of the barriers to technology integration and practices with technology under situated professional development. Computers & Education, 59(4), 1109-1121.
22. Mackey, J., Gilmore, F., Dabner, N., Breeze, D., & Buckley, P. (2012). Blended learning foracademic resilience in times of disaster or crisis.
23. Nath, S. (2019). ICT integration in Fiji schools: A case of in-service faculty members. Education and Information Technologies, 24(2), 963-972.
24. Oliver, K., & Stallings, D. (2014). Preparing faculty members for emerging blended learning environments. Journal of Technology and Faculty member Education, 22(1), 57-81.
25. Panda, P. (2019). International Perspectives on Standards and Benchmarking in Faculty member Education. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education.
26. Plata, S. (2018). Minding the Gap: Exploring Assessment Education in CHED's Faculty member
27. Preparation Program in the Context of PPST and DepEd's Assessment Reform. Presented at the DLSU Research Congress 2018 De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines June 20 to 22, 2018.
28. Ramos, R. A., Babasa, E. E., Vergara, I. B., Manalo, B. I., Gappi, L. L., & Morfi, T. G. (2020).
29. The TPACK confidence of preservice

faculty members in selected Philippine faculty member education institutions. International Journal of Education, Psychology and Counselling, 5(37), 196-205.
30. Research Center for Faculty member Quality (RCTQ). (2021). Insight: PPST guides use of educational technology in teaching and learning. https://www.rctq.ph/?p=2486
31. Roberto, J., & Madrigal, D. (2018). Faculty member Quality in the Light of the Philippine Professional Standards for Faculty members. Philippine Social Science Journal, 1(1), 67-80.
32. Salehi, H., & Salehi, Z. (2012). Challenges for using ICT in education: faculty members' insights.
33. International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning, 2(1), 40.
34. Salleh, H., & Tan, C. (2013). Novice faculty members learning from others: Mentoring in Shanghai schools. Australian Journal of Faculty member Education, 38(3), 10.
35. Santoro, N., Reid, J. A., Mayer, D., & Singh, M. (2012). Producing 'quality 'faculty members: the role of faculty member professional standards.
36. Shively, K., & Palilonis, J. (2018). Curriculum Development: Preservice Faculty members' Perceptions of Design Thinking for Understanding Digital Literacy as a Curricular Framework.
37. Journal of Education, 198(3), 202-214. doi:10.1177/0022057418811128
38. Stabback, P. (2016). What Makes a Quality Curriculum? In-Progress Reflection No. 2 on"Current and Critical Issues in Curriculum and Learning". UNESCO International Bureau of Education.
39. Subedi, D. (2016). Explanatory sequential mixed method design as the third research community of knowledge claim. American Journal of Educational Research, 4(7), 570-577.
40. Thomas, J. (2011). Preservice faculty members' perceptions of learning science methods through hybridizing asynchronous and traditional experiences. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Faculty member Education, 11(3), 271-281.
41. Shively, K., & Palilonis, J. (2018). Curriculum Development: Preservice Faculty members' Perceptions of Design Thinking for Understanding Digital Literacy as a Curricular Framework.