## Necessary Adaptations for Students with Disabilities in Palestinian Universities

#### Amira Al-rimawi Special education department Alquds University

#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to determine the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, from the point of view of (50) students with visual, hearing, and physical disabilities from Jerusalem and Bethlehem universities, who were purposefully chosen from the second semester of the year (2020-2021). The descriptive analytical approach was used, and data was collected using a questionnaire with 36 paragraphs spread over three areas: academic adaptations, environmental adaptations, and social adaptations (relationship with other students, relationship with the academic and the administrative body). The overall degree of necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in the four areas is high, and there are no statistically significant differences in the averages of adaptations due to the University variable, according to one of the results. Significant differences in the type of disability variable favored visual impairment. The study recommended that Palestinian institutions give close attention to the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in all professions, particularly those involving hearing and physical difficulties.

#### **Introduction:**

Dr. Taha Hussein (1938), Minister of Education and the first president of Alexandria University, viewed education as a matter of equality and social justice, not charity; education is a right for without discrimination everyone exception, and his dream unfulfilled for students with disabilities in all institutions of the universal education system; however, there is currently a strong basis for making progress at the level of improving the education of students with disabilities in all institutions of the universal education system. The term "inclusive education" was used to describe the development of a unified public educational system that includes all

students, including those with disabilities, on an equal basis (Ahmed, 2017).In general, the present trend is to eliminate the dual educational system (special education and general education) and replace it with a unified system (public general education) that satisfies the needs students (Al-Qariouti, of 2012). Universities have shifted their focus to educating all students, regardless of disability (Ahmed, 2017) The drafting and approval of the International Convention on the Rights of Individuals with Disabilities (2006) issued by the United Nations body aimed at enhancing their dignity and enjoyment of all rights is perhaps the most notable achievement made by the world to improve the situation of students with disabilities and open the doors of universities to them (Mekdad and qatawneh, 2018)Persons with disabilities have the right to a university education under Article 24. Persons with disabilities have the right to university education, according to Article 2 of the Palestinian Higher Education Law No. 11 of 1998, and Article 14 of the Palestinian Law for Persons with Disabilities No. 4 of 1999 stipulates (The Ministries of Education and Higher Education must provide an environment that is adequate for the needs of the disabled in schools, colleges and universities).

In this context, students with disabilities have become an integral part of the educational system in any university in general, and Palestinian universities in particular (Dweikat, 2016). It can be said that the transition from school to university life necessitates adaptations related to compatibility with the new environment: if students without disabilities face many difficulties, there is no doubt that students with disabilities face problems exponentially, as these students rarely receive supportive counseling services (Ahmed, 2020). As a result, it has become mandatory for any university to strive to provide integrated alignments for these students from the start of their enrollment and admission until graduation, and to improve its efficiency in supporting its students with disabilities by adhering to international standards in any academic, engineering, environmental, social, or psychological alignments to ensure their success, participation in life, and support the transition towards a more independent life (Schaffner, 2004).

#### **Problem statement:**

There is an ongoing debate about the effectiveness of educational enrollment systems for students with disabilities in universities, and accordingly, importance of this study is highlighted, which aims to identify the necessary adaptations and modifications for students with disabilities in the university education system in order to facilitate the completion of their educational program. In order for the process of enrolling students in the university environment to be a success, all those working at the university must believe in the importance of their education and make the necessary adaptations for them. including administrative, educational, psychological, environmental, and social adaptations (Schaffner, 2004).

So this study was developed to try to answer the following main question:

# What are the adaptations needed for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, from their point of view? Ouestions:

- 1- What are the adaptations needed for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, from their point of view?
- 2- Do the necessary adaptations for disabled students in Palestinian universities differ depending on the university and the type of disability, in their opinion?

#### Hypotheses of the study: The following two hypotheses emerged from the second question:

1- There are no significant variations in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities attributed to the University

- variable at the level of significance (a<0.05).
- 2- There are no significant differences in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities at the level of significance (a<0.05) due to the variable type of disability.

#### **Terminology**

Adaptations: accommodations provided by the teacher to the student that include help with the educational environment, teaching techniques, curriculum, and the relationship between these aspects. To avoid instructional errors (Abu Alia, et al., 2009) that can develop as a result of the interaction between the individual's self and the surrounding environment, which can include administrative, educational, social, psychological, and environmental adaptations (Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 2006).

**Procedurally:** the mark that the examinee gets on the questionnaire.

**Students with disabilities:** they are students enrolled in Palestinian universities who have visual, physical or hearing disabilities and use special aids for learning and obtaining knowledge (Abu Al-Nasr, 2005).

Palestinian universities: According to the Palestinian Law No. 11 on university education (1998), The university is defined as "an institution that includes at least three university colleges and offers educational programs that end with the granting of a bachelor's degree, the university may offer postgraduate programs that end with the granting of a

Higher Diploma, Master's degree, or doctorate, and may offer educational programs that end with the granting of a diploma degree.

The Palestinian universities in the current study are al-Quds University and Bethlehem University.

#### **Previous studies:**

The study of Abu shkheidem and ishtia (2020) aimed to reveal the reality of the availability of facilitations at An-Najah National University from the perspective of 30 students with disabilities for the academic year 2019-2020, selected in a simple random manner and using a questionnaire consisting of 13 paragraphs and two open questions, the results indicated that there was a high level of overall availability of Environmental help, as well as substantial differences related to the gender variable, which favored males, the type of disability, which favored hearing, and the academic level, which favored baccalaureate students.

From the perspective of 140 students with disabilities, **Ahmed's study (2020)** aimed to identify the challenges faced by students with disabilities at Fayoum University, which were represented by structural, administrative, educational, psychological, and social challenges. One of the research results was that the challenges faced by students at the University came in the following order: structural, administrative, educational, psychological, and social challenges.

Al-Mekdad and Al-Qatawneh (2018) used a questionnaire with 34 paragraphs distributed over three dimensions (Academic Services, Administrative Services, and Construction Facilities) to

try to reveal the reality of the services provided by the administration of Mutah University to students with disabilities from the perspective of 57 students with disabilities in Jordan. One of the results of the study is that the overall degree and dimensions of administrative services are higher than those of academic services which came with a medium degree. There were no significant differences due to the type of disability.

(Basack, &etal, 2018) sought to examine the techniques used in the education of hearing impaired students using a qualitative research method of document analysis. The results reveal that traditional teaching methods alone are insufficient to teach hearing impaired students, that appropriate education is critical, and that the use of modern technology makes training of hearing impaired people more useful.

As for the study of Abu'Ida (2017), it tried to determine the satisfaction of university students with disabilities with the adaptations and services provided to them in Palestinian universities, from the perspective of 129 students with hearing, visual, and physical disabilities at Birzeit University, Al-Quds, Bethlehem, Hebron, Al-Quds Open university Ramallah and BirZeit branches, using a questionnaire consisting of 51 paragraphs distributed over seven dimensions which are: satisfaction with university facilities, academic services, social connections, administrative facilitation, library services, registration services, and information resources.

(Social services, academic services, university facilities, information resources, and library services) were rated as high

and medium in : (counseling, registration and administrative facilities).

There were no significant changes in overall severity due to disability type, however there were substantial disparities in specific aspects in favor of hearing and physical disabilities. At the overall level, substantial differences ascribed to the University variable favored Bethlehem University in all categories except university facilities, which favored the Jerusalem Open Ramallah branch.

Ahmed's Study (2017), on the other hand, attempted to reveal the reality of support services and their relationship to the level of satisfaction of students with visual disabilities in university life at the Faculty of Education, Qassim University. A questionnaire with 34 paragraphs was distributed to 12 students with visual disabilities across four dimensions (admission and registration services. academic services, construction, library services). The results reveal that admission and registration standards do not take their circumstances into account, admission procedures are difficult to implement, registration forms do not contain important information for people with visual disabilities, and that the registration staff, as well as their and management, colleagues cooperative. In terms of academic services, they expressed dissatisfaction with teaching methods and a lack of appropriate educational resources, as well as a desire on the part of teachers to communicate with them, involve them in the educational process, provide sufficient time for exams, and provide a suitable location for exams. From the perspective of 81 students with disabilities enrolled at the University of Jordan in 2014-2015, Adra, Ibrahim (2016) investigated the challenges faced by students with disabilities at the University of Jordan. The results showed with disabilities that students numerous administrative challenges during registration, including a lack of academic guidance and procedures that inappropriate for them, academic challenges such as competition with other students, taking exams, and failing to absorb educational material. environmental challenges such as a lack of a library with suitable classrooms and difficulty participating in university accrediting activities. Teachers' social challenges include failing to consider their students' conditions, poor perceptions from other students. and trouble forming relationships with them.

(Taylor, et al, 2008) study used design, methodology, and teaching methods to evaluate the nature and types of suitable adaptations to educational practices at the university level for students with emotional and behavioral problems in the United Kingdom. The study indicated that a range of necessary adaptations to care methods, teaching methods, and evaluation procedures had been undertaken for university students in the United Kingdom with emotional and behavioral disorders.

The objective of the (Gilson et al., 2007) study was to conduct a national survey to assess library services from the perspective of university students with visual disability in terms of simple access to books and the barriers to that access. A total of 119 students with visual impairments were included in the study. According to the results, 27% were successful in getting access to books, 20% were moderately successful, 44% were occasionally

successful, 8% were unsuccessful, and 1% failed completely. The results reveal that time constraints and a lack of staff preparation in dealing with them or creating information sources to meet their needs are the main barriers to university students' access to information.

Finally, (Eggett, 2002) study aimed to explore the adapted technology available to people with disabilities in libraries from the perspective of the 4,939 patrons of the Utah State Library for the Blind and People with Other Disabilities, as well as assess their awareness of the available services. The researcher created a special questionnaire for the study's purposes, and the results revealed that less than a quarter of the sample indicated that adapted technology is available.

#### 3.Method and procedure

#### 1.3 Curriculum

The descriptive-analytical approach was used because it was suited for the nature of this study. Students with disabilities at Al-Quds and Bethlehem Universities were also surveyed about the adaptations they require in Palestinian universities.

#### 2.3 Population

At the end of the second semester of the academic year (2020-2021), all students with disabilities at Al-Quds University and Bethlehem University, totaling (59) students, were included in the study.

#### 3.3 Sample

The intentional sampling method was used to pick (50) students with disabilities from the Universities of Al-Quds and Bethlehem, and table (1.3) displays the distribution of the sample members by university and disability type.

Table 1: shows the distribution of sample members by University and type of disability.

| Variable   |            | #      | %        | Tota<br>l |
|------------|------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Universit  | Al-Quds    | 2<br>6 | 52.<br>0 | 50        |
| y          | Bethlehe   | 2      | 48.      | 30        |
|            | m          | 4      | 0        |           |
|            | Visual     | 2      | 56.      |           |
|            | disability | 8      | 0        |           |
| Disability | Hearing    | 1      | 26.      | 50        |
| Disability | disability | 3      | 0        | 30        |
|            | Physical   | 9      | 18.      |           |
|            | disability |        | 0        |           |

#### 4.3 Instrument

The educational literature related to the subject of the study and its objectives, as well as previous studies and instruments used in them, such as Al-Adra(2016) and Abu Ida(2017), were reviewed to develop a special questionnaire in order to identify the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities. In its final form, the instrument consisted of two sections:

**First section:** It includes primary personal data about the examiners.

**Second section:** It included the paragraphs that measure the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, and the paragraphs in this section measured the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, and there were 36 paragraphs in all.

The paragraphs were constructed in a positive direction, using a pentagonal scale, and the following weights were assigned to them: (strongly agree: five degrees, agree: four degrees, neutral: three

degrees, opposed: two degrees, strongly opposed: one degree).

All vertebrae paragraphs have been treated with this five-step ladder.

Table (2): the key to arithmetic means.

| # | Level                | Degree    |
|---|----------------------|-----------|
|   | If the mean value of |           |
| 1 | the phrase or        | Very low  |
| 1 | dimension ranges     | very low  |
|   | between 1-1.79       |           |
|   | If the mean value of |           |
| 2 | the phrase or        | Low       |
| _ | dimension ranges     | Low       |
|   | between 1.80-2.59    |           |
|   | If the mean value of |           |
| 3 | the phrase or        | Medium    |
| 3 | dimension ranges     | Wicaram   |
|   | between 2.60-3.39    |           |
|   | If the mean value of |           |
| 4 | the phrase or        | High      |
|   | dimension ranges     | Ingn      |
|   | between 3.40-4.19    |           |
|   | If the mean value of |           |
| 5 | the phrase or        | Very high |
|   | dimension ranges     | vory mgn  |
|   | between 4.20-5       |           |

#### 2.3.4 Instrument validity

The instrument was presented to a group of experienced arbitrators, who made some observations, and the resolution was then produced in its current form, the instrument's validity was also confirmed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient of the research paragraphs and the total degree of the instrument, as shown in Table (3).

Table No. (3): the results of the Pearson correlation coefficient for the matrix of correlation of the paragraphs of the study instrument with the overall degree of the instrument.

| #   | Paragraphs   | R<br>value | Statistical significance |
|-----|--|------------|--------------------------|
| 1.  | The applicability of the University's teaching techniques for my condition.            | 0.756      | 0.000                    |
| 2.  | Suitability of exam performance procedures for my condition.                           | 0.855      | 0.000                    |
| 3.  | Preparing the study plan so that it is easier for me to deal with it.                  | 0.809      | 0.000                    |
| 4.  | Provide the educational material so that it is easy for me to understand.              | 0.761      | 0.000                    |
| 5.  | Facilitate faculty members' ability to take notes during the lecture.                  | 0.740      | 0.000                    |
| 6.  | Taking into account the study plans for my circumstances and needs.                    | 0.849      | 0.000                    |
| 7.  | Ensuring that assistance is consistently obtained                                      | 0.623      | 0.000                    |
| 8.  | Exam time suited to my requirements and ability.                                       | 0.620      | 0.000                    |
| 9.  | The possibility of participating in university activities and parties                  | 0.703      | 0.000                    |
| 10. | Facilitate the use of the library  | 0.702      | 0.000                    |
| 11. | Accessibility to and from the University   | 0.747      | 0.000                    |
| 12. | Ability to move between lectures   | 0.716      | 0.000                    |
| 13. | Adapting university buildings to suit my disability                                    | 0.836      | 0.000                    |
| 14. | The possibility of mobility and movement between floors in the college                 | 0.704      | 0.000                    |
| 15. | Providing dedicated corridors suitable for my disability                               | 0.756      | 0.000                    |
| 16. | Facilitate the use of my support tools (wheelchair, cane and headset)                  | 0.639      | 0.000                    |
| 17. | Facilitating the use of restrooms  | 0.696      | 0.000                    |
| 18. | Change the ordinary kids' negative perceptions about me.                               | 0.383      | 0.019                    |
| 19. | Making sure that other students don't use inappropriate language with me               | 0.792      | 0.000                    |
| 20. | Facilitate the establishment of social relationships with others within the University | 0.600      | 0.000                    |
| 21. | Decrease the avoidance of me from other students                                       | 0.700      | 0.001                    |
| 22. | Assisting me in adjusting to other University students                                 | 0.876      | 0.000                    |
| 23. | facilitating participation in University social events                                 | 0.610      | 0.000                    |
| 24. | working on other students' understanding of my situation                               | 0.672      | 0.000                    |
| 25. | Encouraging students to join me and accompany me.                                      | 0.715      | 0.000                    |
| 26. | Teachers take into account my circumstances  | 0.702      | 0.000                    |
| 27. | Work on the appropriateness of the treatment of  | 0.747      | 0.000                    |

|     | administrators to me   |       |       |
|-----|--|-------|-------|
| 28. | Facilitating university admission procedures                 | 0.716 | 0.000 |
| 29. | Working on the adequacy of the university services provided  | 0.819 | 0.000 |
| 2). | to me  | 0.017 | 0.000 |
| 30. | Administrative cooperation with me within the University     | 0.717 | 0.000 |
| 31. | Suitability of the teaching services used in teaching at the | 0.728 | 0.000 |
| 31. | University for me  | 0.728 | 0.000 |
| 32. | Officials' interest in discussing the problems facing me     | 0.679 | 0.000 |
| 33. | Providing specialists at the university to deal with me      | 0.662 | 0.000 |
| 34. | The suitability of the registration procedure for me         | 0.391 | 0.019 |
| 35. | Facilitating access to the necessary services                | 0.753 | 0.000 |
| 36. | Provide guidance to me on an ongoing basis                   | 0.621 | 0.000 |

In light of the theoretical framework on which the study instrument is based, all of the values of the matrix of the correlation of the paragraphs of the study instrument with the overall degree of the instrument are statistically significant, indicating the strength of the internal consistency of the paragraphs of the instrument and that they participate together in measuring the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities.

#### 3.4.3 Instrument stability:

The stability was calculated using the method of internal consistency and the cronbach-Alpha equation, as shown in Table (4)

Table No. (4): results of Cronbach Alpha coefficient of the study's instrument's stability

| Statemen<br>t | Numbe<br>r of | Number of paragraph | Alph<br>a |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|
|               | cases         | S                   | value     |
| Total         | 50            | 36                  | 0.895     |

It is noted from the previous table that the value of the study's instrument's stability at the overall level reached (89.5%), so the

questionnaire has a high degree of stability and can be adopted to achieve the objectives of the study.

#### 4 Results

#### 1.1.4 Results of the main question:

What are the adaptations needed for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, from their point of view?

The numbers, as well as the arithmetic means and standard deviations of the adaptations on the total score of the instrument, were retrieved to answer this question, as shown in Table (5).

Table (5) numbers, arithmetic means and standard deviations for the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities.

| Variable   | #   | Mea<br>n | Standar<br>d<br>deviatio<br>n | degre<br>e |
|--|-----|----------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Necessary<br>adaptation<br>s for<br>students<br>with | 5 0 | 3.85     | 0.43                          | High       |

| disabilitie |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|
| s in        |  |  |
| Palestinia  |  |  |
| n           |  |  |
| universitie |  |  |
| S           |  |  |

The overall score of necessary adaptations for students with disabilities was high, as shown in Table(5), with an arithmetic mean of (3.85) and a standard deviation of (0.43). Due to the new presence of students with disabilities at Palestinian institutions, this conclusion is consistent with the findings of most previous studies, and workshops are needed to familiarize decision-makers with the needs and techniques for adapting and coping with students with disabilities.

As for the dimensions:

The answer related to the first dimension: what are the academic adaptations needed for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities?

Arithmetic means and standard deviations of academic adaptations were extracted, as shown in Table No. (6).

Table (6): arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary academic adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, sorted by importance

| Ra<br>nk | Parag<br>raph | Paragr<br>aph                          | Me<br>an | Stan<br>dard<br>devia<br>tion | Ra<br>nge |
|----------|---------------|--|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.       | 7             | Ensurin<br>g that<br>assistan<br>ce is | 4.3      | 0.818                         | Hig<br>h  |

|    |   | consiste<br>ntly<br>obtaine<br>d   |       |       |          |
|----|---|--|-------|-------|----------|
| 2. | 8 | Exam time suited to my require ments and ability.                                | 4.2   | 0.804 | Hig<br>h |
| 3. | 1 | The applica bility of the Univers ity's teachin g techniq ues for my conditio n. | 4.2 2 | 0.712 | Hig<br>h |
| 4. | 2 | Suitabil ity of exam perform ance procedu res for my conditio n.                 | 4.1   | 0.811 | Hig<br>h |
| 5. | 4 | Provide the educati onal material so that it is                                  | 4.1   | 0.739 | Hig<br>h |

|      |    | easy for<br>me to |     |       |          |
|------|----|-------------------|-----|-------|----------|
|      |    | underst           |     |       |          |
|      |    | and.              |     |       |          |
|      |    | Taking            |     |       |          |
|      |    | into              |     |       |          |
|      |    | account           |     |       |          |
|      |    | the               |     |       |          |
|      |    | study             | 4.1 |       | Hig      |
| 6.   | 6  | plans             | 1   | 0.906 | h        |
|      |    | for my            |     |       |          |
|      |    | circums           |     |       |          |
|      |    | tances            |     |       |          |
|      |    | and               |     |       |          |
|      |    | needs.            |     |       |          |
|      |    | Prepari           |     |       |          |
|      | 3  | ng the            | 4.0 | 0.824 |          |
|      |    | study             |     |       |          |
| _    |    | plan so           |     |       | Hig<br>h |
| 7.   |    | that it is        |     |       |          |
|      |    | easier            |     |       |          |
|      |    | for me            |     |       |          |
|      |    | to deal           |     |       |          |
|      |    | with it.          |     |       |          |
|      |    | Facilitat         |     |       |          |
|      |    | e<br>fooulty      |     |       |          |
|      |    | faculty           |     |       |          |
|      |    | member            |     |       |          |
| 8.   | 5  | s'<br>ability     | 4.0 | 0.917 | Hig      |
| 0.   | 3  | to take           | 6   | 0.71/ | h        |
|      |    | notes             |     |       |          |
|      |    | during            |     |       |          |
|      |    | the               |     |       |          |
|      |    | lecture.          |     |       |          |
|      |    | 1000010.          | 4.2 |       | Hig      |
| Tota | ıl |                   | 2   | 0.68  | h        |
|      |    |                   |     |       |          |

The data in the previous table indicate that the necessary academic adaptations for students with disabilities came high with an arithmetic mean of (4.22) and a standard deviation of (0.68), and the paragraph: (Ensuring that assistance is consistently obtained) got the highest arithmetic mean of (4.32) and a standard deviation of (0.81), followed by (Exam time suited to my requirements and ability) With arithmetic mean of (4.27) and standard deviation of (0.80). This result is consistent with Al-Adra 2016, Abuaida 2017, and Ahmed 2017, and it can be explained by a lack of sufficient information among faculty members about disabilities and how to deal with them, which necessitates holding workshops for them on coping strategies and dealing with disabled students.

The answer related to the second dimension: what are the necessary environmental adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities?

Arithmetic means and standard deviations of environmental adaptations were extracted as shown in Table No. (7).

Table (7): arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary environmentaladaptationsfor students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, sorted by importance

| Ra<br>nk | Parag<br>raph | Paragr<br>aph                              | Me<br>an | Stan<br>dard<br>devia<br>tion | Ra<br>nge |
|----------|---------------|--|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.       | 11            | Accessi bility to and from the Univer sity | 4.4      | 0.725                         | Hig<br>h  |
| 2.       | 15            | Providi                                    | 4.3      | 0.716                         | Hig       |

|    |     | ng                  | 5        |       | h        |
|----|-----|---------------------|----------|-------|----------|
|    |     | dedicat             | 3        |       |          |
|    |     | ed                  |          |       |          |
|    |     | corrido             |          |       |          |
|    |     | rs                  |          |       |          |
|    |     | suitable            |          |       |          |
|    |     | for my              |          |       |          |
|    |     | disabili            |          |       |          |
|    |     | ty                  |          |       |          |
|    |     | Facilita            |          |       |          |
|    |     | ting the            |          |       |          |
| 3. | 17  | use of              | 4.3      | 0.812 | Hig      |
| 3. | 1 / | restroo             | 0        | 0.012 | h        |
|    |     | ms                  |          |       |          |
|    |     | The                 |          |       |          |
|    |     | possibil            |          |       |          |
|    |     | ity of              |          |       |          |
|    |     | mobilit             |          |       |          |
|    |     | _                   |          |       |          |
| 4. | 14  | y and movem         | 4.2      | 0.821 | Hig      |
| 4. | 14  |                     | 2        | 0.821 | h        |
|    |     | ent<br>betwee       |          |       |          |
|    |     | n floors            |          |       |          |
|    |     | in the              |          |       |          |
|    |     |                     |          |       |          |
|    |     | college             |          |       |          |
|    |     | Adapti              |          |       |          |
|    |     | ng<br>univers       |          |       |          |
|    |     |                     |          |       |          |
| 5. | 13  | ity<br>buildin      | 4.1      | 0.809 | Hig      |
| ٥. | 13  |                     | 1        | 0.003 | h        |
|    |     | gs to               |          |       |          |
|    |     | suit my<br>disabili |          |       |          |
|    |     |                     |          |       |          |
|    |     | ty<br>Ability       |          |       |          |
|    |     | to                  |          |       |          |
|    |     | move                | 4.0      |       | Hia      |
| 6. | 12  | betwee              | 9        | 0.808 | Hig<br>h |
|    |     |                     | )        |       | 11       |
|    |     | n<br>lectures       |          |       |          |
|    |     | The                 | 4.0      |       | Hig      |
| 7. | 9   | possibil            | 4.0<br>6 | 0.826 | піg<br>h |
|    |     | hossinii            | U        |       | 11       |

| Tota | al | )  | <b>4.2 7</b> | 0.64  | Hig<br>h |
|------|----|--|--------------|-------|----------|
| 9.   | 16 | Facilita te the use of my support tools (wheel chair, cane and headset | 4.3          | 0.714 | Hig<br>h |
| 8.   | 10 | Facilita te the use of the library                                     | 4.0          | 0.817 | Hig<br>h |
|      |    | ity of particip ating in univers ity activiti es and parties           |              |       |          |

It can be noted from the previous table that the necessary environmental adaptations for students with disabilities came high with an arithmetic mean of (4.27) and a standard deviation of (0.64). The most important paragraphs were: (Accessibility to and from the University) with an arithmetic mean of (4.41) and a standard deviation of (0.72), followed by (Providing dedicated corridors suitable for my disability) with an arithmetic mean of (4.35) and a standard deviation of (0.71). This conclusion is similar with Ahmed 2020, Abu Aida 2017 and Al-Adra 2016, but differs from Abu

shkheidem2020 in that it can be explained by the modernity of the era of Palestinian universities, which included the presence of students with disabilities at the time and the old university buildings.

The answer related to the third Dimension: what are the necessary social adaptations in the relationship between students with disabilities and other students in Palestinian universities?

Arithmetic means and standard deviations of socialadaptationswere extracted as shown in Table No. (8).

Table (8): arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary socialadaptationsfor students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, sorted by importance

| Ra<br>nk | Parag<br>raph | Paragr<br>aph   | Me<br>an | Stan<br>dard<br>devia<br>tion | Ra<br>nge |
|----------|---------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.       | 18            | Change the ordinar y kids' negativ e percepti ons about me. | 4.4      | 0.731                         | Hig<br>h  |
| 2.       | 19            | Making sure that other students don't use                   | 4.3      | 0.681                         | Hig<br>h  |

|    |    | ı         |     |       |     |
|----|----|-----------|-----|-------|-----|
|    |    | inappro   |     |       |     |
|    |    | priate    |     |       |     |
|    |    | languag   |     |       |     |
|    |    | e with    |     |       |     |
|    |    | me        |     |       |     |
|    |    | Facilitat |     |       |     |
|    |    | e the     |     |       |     |
|    |    | establis  |     |       |     |
|    |    | hment     |     |       |     |
|    |    | of        |     |       |     |
|    |    | social    |     |       |     |
| 3. | 20 | relation  | 4.3 | 0.852 | Hig |
|    |    | ships     | 2   |       | h   |
|    |    | with      |     |       |     |
|    |    | others    |     |       |     |
|    |    | within    |     |       |     |
|    |    | the       |     |       |     |
|    |    | Univers   |     |       |     |
|    |    | ity       |     |       |     |
|    | 21 | Decreas   |     |       |     |
|    |    | e the     | 4.2 |       |     |
|    |    | avoidan   |     | 0.630 |     |
| 4. |    | ce of     |     |       | Hig |
|    |    | me        |     |       | h   |
|    |    | from      |     |       |     |
|    |    | other     |     |       |     |
|    |    | students  |     |       |     |
|    |    | Assistin  |     |       |     |
|    |    | g me in   |     |       |     |
|    |    | adjustin  |     |       |     |
| 5. | 22 | g to      | 4.2 | 0.712 | Hig |
|    |    | other     | 2   | 01712 | h   |
|    |    | Univers   |     |       |     |
|    |    | ity       |     |       |     |
|    |    | students  |     |       |     |
|    |    | facilitat |     |       |     |
|    |    | ing       |     |       |     |
|    |    | particip  | 4.2 |       | Hig |
| 6. | 23 | ation in  | 1   | 0.701 | h   |
|    |    | Univers   | 1   |       | •   |
|    |    | ity       |     |       |     |
|    |    | social    |     |       |     |

|       |    | events   |       |       |          |
|-------|----|--|-------|-------|----------|
| 7.    | 24 | workin g on other students ' underst anding of my situatio n | 4.2 2 | 0.627 | Hig<br>h |
| 8.    | 25 | Encour aging students to join me and accomp any me.          | 4.2   | 0.610 | Hig<br>h |
| Total |    |  | 4.3   | 0.58  | Hig<br>h |

It can be noted from the previous table that the social adaptations in the relationship between students with disabilities and other students came high with arithmetic mean of (4.32) and a standard deviation of (0.58). The most important paragraphs were: (Change the ordinary kids' negative perceptions about me)with an arithmetic mean of (4.49) and a standard deviation of (0.73), followed by (Making sure that other students don't use inappropriate language with me)with an arithmetic mean of (4.38) and a standard deviation of (0.68). This result is consistent with Al-Hadra 2016 and differs with Ahmed's 2017 study, and this result can be explained by the lack of awareness programs for students about their colleagues with disabilities.

The answer related to the fourth dimension: in Palestinian universities,

what social adaptations in the (relationship between students with disabilities and teaching and administrative bodies) are required for students with disabilities?

Arithmetic means and standard deviations of Social adaptations inrelations between students with disabilities and the teaching and administrative bodies were extracted as shown in Table No. (9).

Table (9): arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary Social adaptations in relations between students with disabilities and the teaching and administrative bodies, sorted by importance

|    |       |   |     | Stan  |          |
|----|-------|---|-----|-------|----------|
| Ra | Parag | Paragra   | Me  | dard  | Ra       |
| nk | raph  | ph  | an  | devia | nge      |
|    |       |   |     | tion  |          |
| 1. | 33    | Providin g specialis ts at the universit y to deal                          | 4.4 | 0.731 | Hig<br>h |
| 2. | 29    | with me Working on the adequac y of the universit y services provided to me | 4.4 | 0.681 | Hig<br>h |
| 3. | 28    | Facilitati<br>ng<br>universit<br>y  | 4.3 | 0.852 | Hig<br>h |

|    |    | admissio     |     |             |          |
|----|----|--------------|-----|-------------|----------|
|    |    |              |     |             |          |
|    |    | n<br>nroadur |     |             |          |
|    |    | procedur     |     |             |          |
|    |    | es           |     |             |          |
|    |    | Suitabili    |     |             |          |
|    |    | ty of the    |     |             |          |
|    |    | teaching     |     |             |          |
|    |    | services     |     |             |          |
| 4. | 31 | used in      | 4.3 | 0.630       | Hig      |
|    | 31 | teaching     | 6   | 0.020       | h        |
|    |    | at the       |     |             |          |
|    |    | Universi     |     |             |          |
|    |    | ty for       |     |             |          |
|    |    | me           |     |             |          |
|    |    | Provide      |     |             |          |
|    |    | guidanc      |     |             |          |
| _  | 26 | e to me      | 4.3 | 0.712       | Hig      |
| 5. | 36 | on an        | 3   |             | h        |
|    |    | ongoing      |     |             |          |
|    |    | basis        |     |             |          |
|    |    | The          | 4.3 |             |          |
|    |    | suitabilit   |     |             |          |
|    |    | y of the     |     | $\pm 0.701$ |          |
| 6. | 34 | registrati   |     |             | Hig      |
|    |    | on           |     |             | h        |
|    |    | procedur     |     |             |          |
|    |    | e for me     |     |             |          |
|    |    | Facilitati   |     |             |          |
|    |    | ng           |     |             |          |
|    |    | access to    |     |             |          |
| 7. | 35 | the          | 4.3 | 0.627       | Hig      |
| •  |    | necessar     | 0   |             | h        |
|    |    | y            |     |             |          |
|    |    | services     |     |             |          |
|    |    | Officials    |     |             |          |
|    |    | ' interest   |     |             |          |
|    |    | in           |     |             |          |
|    |    | discussi     | 4.2 |             | Hig      |
| 8. | 32 | ng the       | 7   | 0.610       | nig<br>h |
|    |    | _            | '   | 0.010       | 11       |
|    |    | problem      |     |             |          |
|    |    | s facing     |     |             |          |
|    |    | me           |     |             |          |

| Tota | al | 4.3<br>2  | 0.58  | Hig<br>h |          |
|------|----|---|-------|----------|----------|
| 11.  | 26 | Teacher s take into account my circumst ances                                       | 4.2   | 0.633    | Hig<br>h |
| 10.  | 27 | Work on the appropri ateness of the treatmen t of administ rators to me             | 4.2 2 | 0.725    | Hig<br>h |
| 9.   | 30 | Adminis<br>trative<br>cooperat<br>ion with<br>me<br>within<br>the<br>Universi<br>ty | 4.2 5 | 0.656    | Hig<br>h |

It can be noted from the previous table that the social adaptations in the relationship between students with disabilities and the teaching and administrative staff came high with an arithmetic mean of (4.32) and a standard deviation of (0.58). The most important paragraphs were: (providing specialists at the university to deal with me) with an arithmetic mean of (4.47) and a standard deviation of (0.73), followed by (Working on the adequacy of the university services provided to me) with an arithmetic mean of (4.45) and a standard deviation of (0.68). This result

differs from the result of Ahmed's 2017 study, and this can be explained by the lack of information from the teaching and administrative staff about disabilities and strategies to deal with them.

#### 2.1.4 Results of the second question:

Are there any significant differences at the level of (a<0.05) in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in **Palestinian** universities due to the following variables (University, type disability)?

Two null hypotheses emerged from this question, the results of their examination are as follows:

#### 1. Results of the first hypothesis:

There are no significant variations in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities attributed to the University variable at the level of significance (a<0.05).

The arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary adaptations were extracted based on the University variable to verify the validity of this hypothesis, as shown in Table (10).

Table 10: results of the T-test for the differences in the total arithmetic means of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities according to the University variable.

| Dimension  | University | #  | Arithmetic mean | Standard deviation | Calculated<br>T value | Degree<br>of<br>freedom | Statistical significance |
|--|------------|----|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | Al-Quds    | 26 | 3.77            | 0.47               |                       |                         |                          |
| necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities | Bethlehem  | 24 | 3.86            | 0.33               | -0.681                | 48                      | 0.502                    |

Table (10)shows that there are no statistically significant differences in the averages of the necessary adaptations attributed to the University variable, where the arithmetic mean on the overall grade of

al-Quds University was (3.77), while the arithmetic mean of Bethlehem University was (3.86), and it turned out that the calculated (T) value was (-0.681) at the significance level of (0.502), and

accordingly, the null hypothesis was accepted.

The result of this question showed that there are no differences in the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities due to the University variable, and this result is not consistent with the study of Abu Ida(2017), as universities are almost at the same level when it comes to the adaptations needed for their students with disabilities, all buildings are relatively old and the atmosphere in each of the universities is considered almost the same, and this means that students with disabilities need the same adaptations in the two universities.

#### 2. Results of the second hypothesis:

There are no significant differences in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities at the level of significance (a<0.05) due to the variable type of disability.

The arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities were extracted according to the variable type of disability, as shown in Table, to check the correctness of the fifth hypothesis (11).

Table 11 shows the arithmetic means and standard deviations of the necessary adaptations for disabled students in Palestinian universities, broken down by disability type.

| Variable                                    | Type of disabili ty            | #   | Mea<br>n | Standa<br>rd<br>deviatio<br>n |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|----------|-------------------------------|
| Necessar<br>y<br>adaptatio                  | Visual<br>disabilit<br>y       | 2 8 | 4.01     | 0.37                          |
| ns for students with                        | Hearing disabilit              | 1 3 | 3.60     | 0.35                          |
| disabilitie s in Palestinia n universiti es | Physica<br>l<br>disabilit<br>y | 9   | 3.72     | 0.52                          |

Table (11) illustrates that, depending on the type of disability, there is a difference in the methods of making essential adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities. The results of the one-way analysis of variance were retrieved to test the hypothesis, as given in Table (12).

Table (12): The results of the one-way analysis of variance of the differences in the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities based on the type of disability.

| Dimensions                  | Source of variance | Sum of squares | Degrees<br>of<br>freedom | Mean<br>square | Value of calculated F | Statistical significance |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Necessary<br>adaptations fo | Between groups     | 1.718          | 2                        | 0.859          | 5.560                 | 0.007**                  |
| students with               | Within             | 7.264          | 47                       | 0.155          |                       |                          |

| disabilities in | groups |       |    |  |
|-----------------|--------|-------|----|--|
| Palestinian     |        |       |    |  |
| 1 diestillali   | TD 4 1 | 0.000 | 40 |  |
| universities    | Total  | 8.982 | 49 |  |

The previous table shows that there are significant differences in the averages of the necessary adaptations for students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, depending on the variable type of disability, at the level of significance of  $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ . The value of (F) calculated on the overall grade was (5.560) at the level of significance of (0.007). The Tukey test was performed to determine the source of differences and assess the direction of significance, and the results are shown in Table (13).

Table 13 shows the results of the Tukey test used to determine the direction of the indication based on the type of disability.

| Variabl<br>e                                  | Type<br>of<br>disabil<br>ity   | physi<br>cal | Heari<br>ng | Oth<br>er       |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Necessa<br>ry<br>adaptati                     | Visual<br>disabili<br>ty       |              | 0.416<br>5* | 0.28<br>76      |
| ons for<br>students<br>with<br>disabilit      | Hearin<br>g<br>disabili<br>ty  |              |             | -<br>0.12<br>88 |
| ies in<br>Palestini<br>an<br>universi<br>ties | Physic<br>al<br>disabili<br>ty |              |             |                 |

The null hypothesis was rejected since the differences were significant in favor of students with (visual) difficulties, as shown in the previous table.

The answer to this question revealed that there are differences in the adaptations required by students with disabilities in Palestinian universities, depending on the type of disability, with visual disabilities being more prevalent. This differs from the research results of Abu shkheidem and Al-Qat'una (2018) and Abu'ida (2017). This result can be explained by the fact that the number of students with visual disabilities in universities is higher than the number of students with other disabilities, resulting in them receiving the necessary adaptations.

### In view of the previous results, the following recommendation is made:

- Work to adapt university education to the needs of students with disabilities in all professions, particularly those involving hearing and physical difficulties.

#### **References:**

- 1. Abu shkheidem, Sahar and IshtiaHeba Abdel Raouf(2020) the reality of Environmental Facilities at An –Najah National University from the point of view of students with disabilities-Arab Journal for scientific publishing issue 21-July 2020
- 2. Abu Alia, Akram, et al. (2009) adaptations in education and evaluation for students with special needs, Ministry of education and higher education funded by the Palestinian-Finnish educational cooperation
- 3. Abu Aida, Yasmin Abdel Hakim (2017) the degree of satisfaction of university students with disabilities about the adaptations and services provided to them

- in Palestinian universities.Unpublished master thesis, al-Quds University
- 4. Ahmed, ahmadougeFathi (2020), the challenges facing university students with special needs in Egyptian universities and a proposed conception of the role of social service .Arab Journal of disability and talent Sciences vol.4 Issue, November 14, 2020.
- Ahmed, Abeertoussoun(2017) the reality of support services and its relationship to the level of satisfaction of students with visual impairments about university life at the Faculty of Education –Qassim University – Educational Sciences –first issue C January 2, 2017
- 6. Kumar, S. (2022). A quest for sustainium (sustainability Premium): review of sustainable bonds. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal, Vol. 26, no.2, pp. 1-18
- 7. Allugunti V.R (2022). A machine learning model for skin disease classification using
- 8. convolution neural network. International Journal of Computing, Programming and Database Management 3(1), 141-147
- 9. Allugunti V.R (2022). Breast cancer detection based on thermographic images using machine learning and deep learning algorithms. International Journal of Engineering in Computer Science 4(1), 49-56
- 10. Hussein, Taha (1938) the future of culture in Egypt.
- 11. Al-Adra, Ibrahim Ahmed (2016) challenges facing students with disabilities at the University of Jordan a field study .Journal of studies, humanities and Social Sciences vol. 43 supplement 5.
- 12. Al-Mekdad, Mustafa Abdullah and Al-Qatawneh, Sana Abdullah (2018) the reality of the services provided by the administration of Muta University to

- students with disabilities from their point of view
- 13. Al-Qariouti, Youssef et al. (2001) introduction to special education Dar Al Alam Dubai
- 14. Abu Al-Nasr, Farouk (2005), issues and problems of students with disabilities, Dar Al-Fikr, Amman: Jordan.
- BasakBaglama!!",MeltemHaksiz, HuseyinUzunboylu)2018). Technologies Used in Education of Hearing Impaired Individuals, iJET-Vol.13,No.9,2018
- 16. Eggett , colleen B , (2002). Assistive technology needs in public libraries a survey . Journal of visual impairment and blindness . 96(8), 35-45
- 17. Gilson C ., Dymond S . andChadsey J .( 2007 ). Gaining Access to textbook for post secondary students with visual Impairments . Journal of post secondary Education and disability . 20 (1) , 64-73
- 18. Schaffner,spagm(2004).Comprehensive preliminary assessments of low vison.InR.T.jose(Ed).understanding low vision.NewYork:American foundation for deaf education ,8th edition upper saddle river,Newjersy,columbs,Ohio
- 19. Taylor, M.J., Baskett, M., Duffy, S.,&wern, c. (2008). Teaching H.E students with Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties, Education & Trai9ning, 50 (3): 231-243.

#### Websites:

- 1. الاتفاقية الدولية لحقوق الأشخاص ذوي الاتفاقية الدولية لحقوق الأشخاص ذوي
- 2. <a href="http://muqtafi.birzeit.edu/pg/getleg.asp?id=13211">http://muqtafi.birzeit.edu/pg/getleg.asp?id=13211</a>
- 3. <a href="http://muqtafi.birzeit.edu/pg/getleg.asp?id=12691">http://muqtafi.birzeit.edu/pg/getleg.asp?id=12691</a>