# FINANCIAL DISTRESS PREDICTION USING ACCOUNTING VARIABLE: A REVISIT TO ALTMAN Z-SCORE IN THE CASE OF INDIAN LISTED COMPANIES

Maya Korath<sup>1</sup>

Christ (Deemed to be university), Bangalore, Karnataka, India, Ph.D. Scholar Corresponding Email: maya.korath@res.christuniversity.in Dr. Surekha Nayak<sup>2</sup>

Assist. Professor School of Business and Management, Christ (Deemed to be university), Bangalore, Karnataka, India, Email: surekha.nayak@christuniversity.in

### ABSTRACT

Financial distress is a phenomenon where the business is not able to generate adequate revenue to meet its financial obligations. Timeous and accurate financial distress prediction is important as it helps the companies to identify their feebleness much in advance and take preventive steps. Altman Z score is a world- renowned model for financial distress prediction and thus wondering the Altman Prediction capabilities in the western countries hold the same for Indian companies which are regulated by the Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI). This study uses Altman Z-Score model to test the financial distress of few selected manufacturing companies. The study used the sample companies which are admitted for Bankruptcy under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India. The data collected covers a period of 5 years viz., 2017- 2021. The study reveals that all the five unhealthy firms show a low Z-score which proves that they are distressed and four out of five healthy company shows a high Z-score which is an indication of their financial soundness.

**Key Words:** Financial distress, Z score, accounting variables, Manufacturing sector, Indian companies

### Introduction

Financial Viability is an important aspect for evaluating overall performance of an organization. Poor financial health threatens the very survival of the firm and leads to business failure(policy & 2019, n.d.). The economic slowdown due to global pandemic is an added cause for corporate distress. During the time of distress, the companies are forced to reassess their operational strategies to avail the maximum benefit from the available limited resources.

### Financial Distress

Financial distress is considered as the reduction in financial efficiency which leads to cash shortage that includes a condition when promises to creditors of a company are broken or honored with difficult. It can further define as shortage of cash on the asset side of the balance sheet or as a debt overhanging in liabilities. Financial distress can also be expressed in terms of negative cash flow from operations (CFO) and a decline in return on assets (ROA) (Korteweg et al., 2007; Outecheva., 2007; Rahman et al., 2021). In Indian contex, as per The Companies Act defines "financially distressed" in section 128(f), Chapter 6 of Companies Act of 2013 to mean that it appears to be:

i. reasonably unlikely that the company will be able to pay all of its debts as they fall due and payable within the immediately ensuing six months, or ii. reasonably likely that the company will become insolvent within the immediately ensuing six months

Manufacturing sector In India

The manufacturing sector in India currenly contributes 16-17% to GDP and gives employment to around 12% of countries workforce. The analysis on different sectors indicates that the service sector contributes 53% where as industry contributed 25.92%. The contribution of agriculture sector sector to GDP was 20.97% (Mahapatra, D. M., Ranjan, S., & Baral, S. K. 2022). As per the survey conducted by the Federation of Inian chembers of commerce and industry (FICCI), the capacity utilization in India's manufaturing sector stood at 72% in the second quarter of FY22, its an indication of significant recovery in this sector. Inspite of all these forward movement in this sector the National Company Law tribunal (NCLT) projects

an upward trend in the number of manufacturing firms applying for bankruptcy under the bankruptcy code of 2016. There is very less literature which contributes to financial distress of manufacturing firms in India with regards to Altman (1968) Z score and on listed manufacturing firms in India.

### 1.1 Research Problem

Financial distress has a negative impact on the profitability, efficiency and liquidity of firms. An early detection of distress helps the business entities to take corrective measuresso that they can avoid insolvency and bankruptcy. Many distress prediction models have developed in the recent years, in that Altman (1968) Z score is considered to be one of the best for manufacturing firms. But these models are developed for western countries, so it has to be studied and tested that weather the same formulas can be used in the Indian context

also. Manufacturing sector in India has the high potential to grow, at the same time as per the report of Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) shows a upward trend in the number of companies are applying for bankruptcy. So this study finds it necessary to focus on prediction of financial distress of manufacturing firms in India.

# 1.2 Research Objective

i) To study the applicability of Altmans Z score in Indian manufaturing firms which are applied for bankrupcy.

ii) To study the relevance of Z core in other listed manufatuing companies iii) To analyse the factors that contributing for the low performing companies

# 1.3 Significance of the study

This study is significant since it involves prediction of financial distress of the listed manufacturing firms. Testing of financial viability enables the management to act proactively before the situation go beyond control. This helps them to avoid the cost related to distress which includes legal fees, auditrs'fee, restructuring fees and other bankruptcy cost(Ally et al., n.d, 2019). The findings of the study will help the management to to relay on the existing model of Zscore. At the same time the study also gives a check on the financial soundness of the other listed manufacturing companies. Testing the financial distress of a company and analysing the financial soundness is essential for both the management and other stake holders of the company. Management can identify the causes of distress and take preventive steps befrore it moves out of hand and at the same time investores and creditors can also paln their activities respectively (Shah, N., 2014). Therefore, testing financial distress in

manufacturing firms in India is very important as this study will serve the entire public interest.

# 2. Review of liturature

The review facilitates to understand the background study prevailed in the field of financial destruction and prediction models. The subject financial distress and prediction models are well - researched topics among the academicians. Some of the earliest studies done by Beaver (1966) and Altman (1968) used financial variables to predict financial failure and the risk of bankruptcy. The first remarkable prediction model was developed by Altman (1968) which is widely known as the Z-Score and used Multivariate discriminant analysis (MDA) technique. Eventually in response to the accounting standards in the 1970s, Altman modified the Z-Score and came up with Zeta model (E. I. Altman et al., 1977b) which also used MDA technique.

| Author           | Title of the study  | Contribution   |
|------------------|---|--|
| Sherin<br>(2010) | "Liquidity v/s profitability -<br>Striking the right balance" | Implication of liqudity and profitability in a pharmaceutical company. |

| Table 1 | Review | of literature |
|---------|--------|---------------|
|---------|--------|---------------|

| Chenet<br>1.,(2012)        | Effect of pricing of corporate debt due to "unionized workers"                               | Used different accounting variables including Altma<br>model for predicting financial distress.  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Singhal et<br>al. (2013)   | Bankruptcy risk, costs and corporate diversification   | Altman Z-score is used to represent the likelihood of<br>bankruptcy which is highly correlated with leverage. It<br>was found that focused firms are more likely to go<br>bankrupt than diversified firms  |  |  |  |
| Celli (2015)               | Z-Score Model Predict<br>Listed Companies' Failures in<br>Italy? An Empirical Test.          | Z-score degree of reliability is relatively high and still<br>works quite adequately in predicting listed industrial<br>company failure in Italy. It proved a precious tool in the<br>detection of a company's operating and<br>financial difficulties up to 3 years before the default. |  |  |  |
| Panigrahi et<br>al. (2018) | "Liquidity and Profitability Trade<br>off: A Study of<br>Indian Pharmaceutical<br>Companies" | Undertaken a research study on the liquidity and<br>profitability of the top five Indian pharmaceutical<br>companies.  |  |  |  |

# 3. Methodology

This part comprises the techniques applied in the study wchich includes research degign, data collection techniques and data analysis techniues.

# 3.1 Research design

The study employed Alma(1968) Z-score model in testing the financial distress of listed manufaturing firms in India. Z- score used MDA technique for testing distressed and non distressed firms. So current study also used discriptive research analysis from Mutivariate Analysis (MDA) technique. In this study the variables were selected based on the requirement of the Altman Z-score model. The result of the study is presented by use of tables, figures and charts.

# 3.2 Population, Variable selection and model Specification

The population of this study consist of five manufacturing firms that had applied for bankrupcy code (IBC) for the year 2022. An equal number of maching financially sound companies also constituted the sample making the total sample size as ten. The data for five years immediattely preceding (2017 -2021) the bankruptcy filing was collected for sample comapanies. Relevent variables included can be categorised into dependend and independent variable. Dependent variable is Z which is the discriminate variable that was used to measure financial distress. Its results whether the firm is financially distressed or not. Along each independent variable XI, X2, X3, X4 and X5 there is corresponding coefficient value which remains unchanged, and this is according to the requirments of Altman Z score model. The Z sore can be characterized as a linear combination of 5 accounting ratios wchich are commonly used to test the liqudity, profitability, solvency and efficiency of the companies. The first set of data which includes the financially distressed companies are collected and used the Z-score formula to arrive the Z value. Thereafter a matched sample of firms is collected for the surviving firm, with matching by industry and asset size. Z -Score for healthy firm hepls to identify their financial stability for the near future.

# **Z-Score Analysis**

Altman used five accounting ratios to calculate the Z-score. These different ratios were combined into single measure called Zscore analysis. The orginal formula used to evaluate te Z-score analysis as established by Altman is as follows:

Z = 0.012X1 + 0.014X2 + 0.033X3 + 0.006X4 + 0.999X5

Where X1 = Working capital/ Total assets (WC/TA)

X2 = Retained earnings / Total Assets (RE/TA)

X3 = Earnings before interest and taxes / Total Assets (EBIT/TA) X4 =Market value of equity / Book value of total debt (MV/TL)

X5 = Sales / Total Assets (S/TA)

# Z = Overall Index

*X1* = *Working capital/ Total assets:* This ratio is a measure of the net liquid assets of the firm relative to the total capitaluzation. Working capital is defined as the capital required for the functioning of day today activities and it is the diffence between current assets and current liabilities.

X2 = Retained earnings / Total Assets: This ratio measures the cumilative profitability of the company as these profits are not distributed to shareholders as dividend. This is an indicator of firms earning power as well as age.

X3 = Earnings before interest and taxes / total Assets: This ratio measures the true productivity of the firm's assets abstracting from any tax or leverage factors. Since the success of any firm is based on its earning power of its assets, this ratio is very important for financial distress studies.

 $X^{1}$  =Market value of equity / Book value of total debt: Equity is calculated by total of preference and ordinary shares. This ratio measures the extend to which the assets must decline in value before the firm becomes insolvent.

X5 = Sales / Total Assets: This ratio measures the ability of the firm in utilizing its assets to generate sales. Revenu or profit for a business comes from its sales and it is the base for all other business activities. Because of its relevance this ratio stands second in its contribution to overall discriminating ability of the model.

The Z-score which is calculated using the above mentioned formula cassifies companies based on their solvency. The higher the value is the lower the risk risk of bankruptcy. A low or negative value indicates high risk of bankruptcy. The critical values set by Altman between companies on the survivability indicator is expressed in table1 as under:

| Score    | Zone     | Result             |  |  |
|----------|----------|--------------------|--|--|
| Z < 1.81 | Distress | Likely to Bankrupt |  |  |

| 1.81 <z <<br="">2.99</z> | Gray<br>Zone | Stable |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Z > 2.99                 | Safe<br>Zone | Safe   |

Table 1 Critical values set by Altmen

This model has been widely used by auditors, management consults, law firms, academitians and researchers for predicting financial distress. This model is not free from errors. MDA was found to violate the assumption of normality and group dispersion, which can cause bias to the test of significance and estimated error rates (Ohlson, 1980, Harith et al., 2021). But practitioners find it is easy because it is more precise and lead to clear conclusions. It is reliable and can be statistically evaluated. It is faster and less costly to work with compared to other modern techniques which are using artificial intelligence (AI) for distress prediction. The relationship between the independent variable (Predictors) and the Z-score (outcome) is given in the below figure 1 as under:

| WC/TA                 |
|-----------------------|
| RE/TA                 |
| Financial             |
| Z Score               |
| EBIT/TA               |
| Distress              |
| (Outcom               |
| <b>e</b> )            |
| MC/TL                 |
| S/ TA                 |
| Independent Variables |
|                       |

### Figure:1

### 4. Findings and discussion

The table 2 and 3 exibit the information of the financial health of the distressed companies. The values of idependent variables and Z-score which were used for the calculation of financial distress.

 Table-2: Indipendent varible Values of distress firms

| Company<br>Name | Year | WC | ТА | RE | EBIT | MC | TL | TS |  |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|--|

7510

| DCW Ltd                    | 2021 | -130.08      | 1272.427 | 634.989      | 133.349 | 683.9   | 1126.49 | 1462.262 |
|----------------------------|------|--------------|----------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
|                            | 2020 | -<br>262.401 | 1179.386 | 625.604      | 67.794  | 190.813 | 1101.85 | 1277.277 |
|                            | 2019 | -<br>449.608 | 1052.563 | 857.331      | 82.162  | 483.963 | 1120.76 | 1352.802 |
|                            | 2018 | -<br>372.506 | 1151.947 | 590.909      | 44.108  | 681.746 | 1184.95 | 1213.407 |
|                            | 2017 | -<br>368.663 | 1185.531 | 610.046      | 81.588  | 671.129 | 1184.12 | 1304.915 |
| IMP Powers                 | 2021 | -47.71       | 47.56    | 19.12        | -63.72  | 12.05   | 335.78  | 93.99    |
| Ltd                        | 2020 | 46.71        | 121.84   | 89.64        | 9.49    | 9.15    | 281.04  | 264.55   |
|                            | 2019 | 65.43        | 140.97   | 103.96       | 31.22   | 35.93   | 268.49  | 413.31   |
|                            | 2018 | 52.15        | 127.29   | 102.25       | 34.88   | 76.87   | 269.41  | 450.3    |
|                            | 2017 | 34.65        | 113.91   | 96.74        | 29.44   | 76.78   | 256.55  | 424.71   |
| Innovative                 | 2021 | 8.071        | 100.29   | 49.66        | -5.24   | 15.29   | 85.18   | 139.172  |
| Tubes Ltd                  | 2020 | 8.605        | 107.287  | 58.505       | -6.45   | 11.33   | 87.22   | 148.862  |
|                            | 2019 | 12.248       | 119.172  | 68.332       | 2.99    | 44.53   | 83.63   | 171.788  |
|                            | 2018 | 16.13        | 107.435  | 67.341       | 9.03    | 69.27   | 65.18   | 141.02   |
|                            | 2017 | 7.073        | 80.788   | 35.949       | 10.38   | 0       | 79.07   | 135.03   |
| Shree Rama                 | 2021 | -280.35      | 482.37   | 180.77       | -40.32  | 245.62  | 542.27  | 264.86   |
| Limited                    | 2020 | -219.62      | 512.71   | 257.67       | -12.51  | 336.53  | 488.07  | 336.53   |
|                            | 2019 | -133.57      | 562.26   | 302.6        | 66.87   | 503.83  | 413.16  | 503.83   |
|                            | 2018 | -135.39      | 535.78   | 264.56       | -7.19   | 434.34  | 380.48  | 434.34   |
|                            | 2017 | -114.37      | 574.69   | 294.31       | 9.4     | 487.56  | 354.34  | 391.99   |
| Castex<br>Technologies Ltd | 2021 | -<br>7287.28 | -1671.63 | -<br>1912.01 | -539.9  | 13.99   | 7886.87 | 289.53   |
|                            | 2020 | -<br>7224.23 | -1124.65 | -<br>1362.73 | -496.84 | 13.99   | 7861.29 | 296.4    |
|                            | 2019 | -<br>7203.11 | -619.95  | -857.63      | -736.92 | 32.14   | 7851.82 | 459.48   |
|                            | 2018 | -<br>6952.53 | 126.99   | -110.16      | -1163.6 | 133.48  | 7824.66 | 525.22   |

| 2017 | -       | 1929.68 | 1694.47 | -699.36 | 302.88 | 7152.36 | 1467.2 |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
|      | 5527.41 |         |         |         |        |         |        |

Source: Computed by the autor

# Table 3: Z-score values of financially distressed companies

| Company Name Year  |      | WC/ TA  | RE/ TA | EBIT/<br>TA | MVE/ T L | S/ TA  | Z Score |
|--------------------|------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|--------|---------|
| DCW Ltd            | 2021 | -0.102  | 0.499  | 0.105       | 0.607    | 1.149  | 2.434   |
|                    | 2020 | -0.222  | 0.530  | 0.057       | 0.173    | 1.083  | 1.851   |
|                    | 2019 | -0.427  | 0.815  | 0.078       | 0.432    | 1.285  | 2.428   |
|                    | 2018 | -0.323  | 0.513  | 0.038       | 0.575    | 1.053  | 1.854   |
|                    | 2017 | -0.311  | 0.515  | 0.069       | 0.567    | 1.101  | 2.014   |
| IMP Powers Ltd     | 2021 | -1.003  | 0.402  | -1.340      | 0.036    | 1.976  | -3.066  |
|                    | 2020 | 0.383   | 0.736  | 0.078       | 0.033    | 2.171  | 3.936   |
|                    | 2019 | 0.464   | 0.737  | 0.221       | 0.134    | 2.932  | 5.330   |
|                    | 2018 | 0.410   | 0.803  | 0.274       | 0.285    | 3.538  | 6.226   |
|                    | 2017 | 0.304   | 0.849  | 0.258       | 0.299    | 3.728  | 6.311   |
| Innovative Tyres & | 2021 | 0.080   | 0.495  | -0.052      | 0.180    | 1.388  | 2.111   |
| Tubes Ltd          | 2020 | 0.080   | 0.545  | -0.060      | 0.130    | 1.388  | 2.125   |
|                    | 2019 | 0.103   | 0.573  | 0.025       | 0.532    | 1.442  | 2.768   |
|                    | 2018 | 0.150   | 0.627  | 0.084       | 1.063    | 1.313  | 3.284   |
|                    | 2017 | 0.088   | 0.445  | 0.128       | 0.000    | 1.671  | 2.822   |
| Shree Rama         | 2021 | -0.581  | 0.375  | -0.084      | 0.453    | 0.549  | 0.372   |
| Limited            | 2020 | -0.428  | 0.503  | -0.024      | 0.690    | 0.656  | 1.178   |
|                    | 2019 | -0.238  | 0.538  | 0.119       | 1.219    | 0.896  | 2.488   |
|                    | 2018 | -0.253  | 0.494  | -0.013      | 1.142    | 0.811  | 1.839   |
|                    | 2017 | -0.199  | 0.512  | 0.016       | 1.376    | 0.682  | 2.039   |
| Castex             | 2021 | -4.359  | 1.144  | 0.323       | 0.002    | -0.173 | -7.726  |
| Ltd                | 2020 | -6.424  | 1.212  | 0.442       | 0.002    | -0.264 | -10.600 |
|                    | 2019 | -11.619 | 1.383  | 1.189       | 0.004    | -0.741 | -19.064 |
|                    | 2018 | -54.749 | -0.867 | -9.163      | 0.017    | 4.136  | -93.008 |

|      |       | 2017 | -2.864 | 0.878 | -0.362 | 0.042 | 0.760 | -2.619 |
|------|-------|------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| <br> | <br>- | -    |        |       |        |       |       |        |

Source: Computed by the author

#### **Interpretation of data**

The Z-score values of DCW Ltd for the last five years were lesser than 2.99, it indicates that the firm was not in safe Zone never in the last five years. It is because the companies WC ratio is negative. Mean while company was able to maintain its Z-score values greter than 1.81 (gray zone) which means a moderate chance for filing bankrupcy. The main reason for low score is the shortage of working capital.

The Z-score values of IMP powers Ltd for the vear 2021 shows -3.066 that indicate that the company is highly distressed. But the values shows that the company was in safe zone till the year 2020 with all psitive returns and cash flows. But in the year 2021 the WC ratio **Table4: Indipendent variable values for healty firms** 

turned to be negative which made them to score very poor Zscore.

Innovative Tyres & Tubes Ltd shows a Z-score value lesser than 2.99 (except for the year 2018) but greater than 1.81 that means the company is in greay zone which idicate the chances of bankruptcy.

Shree Rama Newsprint Ltd Z-score value for the year 2021 and 2020 was lesser than 1.81 that means that the company is already in distress. During the other three years the company was in Gray zone.

Castex Technologies Ltd is also suffering from negative WC and Sales, it is a clear indication of low profit and financial distress.

| Company<br>Name | Year<br>WC |         | ТА      | RE      | EBIT   | MVE     | TL      | TS      |
|-----------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| India Glycols   | 2021       | 85.46   | 2070.3  | 1214    | 242.04 | 1290.48 | 2501.77 | 6058.07 |
| Ltd             | 2020       | -240.92 | 1906.35 | 1126.81 | 280.54 | 659.17  | 2627.07 | 5951.17 |
|                 | 2019       | -197.41 | 1881.74 | 1043.45 | 370.08 | 865.84  | 2429.03 | 5116.82 |
|                 | 2018       | -456.41 | 1595.78 | 910.17  | 271.62 | 1400.23 | 2227.98 | 4155.45 |
|                 | 2017       | -672.55 | 1435.84 | 815.26  | 181.62 | 495.69  | 2386.17 | 3583.22 |
| Indowind        | 2021       | 11.46   | 286.11  | 138.78  | 2.31   | 34.1    | 62.24   | 16.36   |
| Limited         | 2020       | 4.21    | 287.82  | 47.7    | 5.35   | 15.44   | 155.47  | 18.92   |
|                 | 2019       | 3.1     | 291.77  | 47.7    | -2.6   | 50.17   | 164.11  | 20.56   |
|                 | 2018       | 3.8     | 302.4   | 59.96   | -16    | 65.87   | 162.94  | 24.24   |
|                 | 2017       | 15.51   | 332.86  | 143.12  | 3.22   | 37.33   | 105.42  | 23.94   |
| Goodyear India  | 2021       | 507.66  | 875.4   | 816.5   | 186.59 | 2056.58 | 549.37  | 1791.71 |
| Tyres           | 2020       | 588.63  | 952.53  | 892.67  | 120.81 | 1394.7  | 388.98  | 1745.57 |
|                 | 2019       | 560.27  | 1379.01 | 844.05  | 161.14 | 2179.54 | 946.68  | 1911.91 |
|                 | 2018       | 524.51  | 1254.28 | 779.12  | 202.17 | 2565.04 | 917.53  | 1705.97 |
|                 | 2017       | 451.64  | 1092.67 | 684.01  | 198.97 | 1949.76 | 739.08  | 1627.1  |

| Orient Press<br>Ltd | 2021 | 21.94   | 89.8        | 65.94   | 1.57   | 82.9   | 106.56        | 159.07 |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
|                     | 2020 | 19.61   | 91.42 69.18 |         | 5.28   | 84.55  | 116.19 189.88 |        |
|                     | 2019 | 23.93   | 95.74       | 71.52   | 7.95   | 149.95 | 115.98        | 223.94 |
|                     | 2018 | 24.08   | 98.63       | 71.98   | 10.58  | 260.05 | 107.8         | 226.12 |
|                     | 2017 | 14.06   | 82.26       | 59.85   | 9.9    | 54.39  | 98.41         | 202.39 |
| Premier Ltd         | 2021 | -481.33 | -278.13     | -313.64 | -40.85 | 7.81   | 500.54        | 2      |
|                     | 2020 | -404.18 | -180.38     | -230.72 | 34.45  | 3.58   | 40.37         | 9.87   |
|                     | 2019 | -304.33 | 41.95       | -40.25  | -130.7 | 21.99  | 653.3         | 16.5   |
|                     | 2018 | -245.09 | 281.13      | 153.93  | 58.49  | 58.92  | 580.12        | 20.07  |
|                     | 2017 | -57.53  | 464.64      | 276.88  | 38.51  | 90.51  | 610.63        | 38.85  |

Source: Calculated by author

| Table 5  | Z-score | value for | healthy | firms    |
|----------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|
| I ubic c |         | value tor | neuriny | 111 1110 |

| Company Name<br>Year       |      | WC/ TA | RE/ TA | EBIT/ TA | MVE/ T L | S/ TA | Z Score |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| India Glycols<br>Ltd       | 2021 | 24.225 | 0.586  | 0.117    | 0.516    | 2.926 | 33.510  |
|                            | 2020 | -7.913 | 0.591  | 0.147    | 0.251    | 3.122 | -4.913  |
|                            | 2019 | -9.532 | 0.555  | 0.197    | 0.356    | 2.719 | -7.083  |
|                            | 2018 | -3.496 | 0.570  | 0.170    | 0.628    | 2.604 | 0.143   |
|                            | 2017 | -2.135 | 0.568  | 0.126    | 0.208    | 2.496 | 1.268   |
|                            |      |        |        |          |          |       |         |
| Indowind<br>Energy Limited | 2021 | 0.040  | 0.485  | 0.008    | 0.548    | 0.057 | 1.140   |
|                            | 2020 | 0.015  | 0.166  | 0.019    | 0.099    | 0.066 | 0.436   |
|                            | 2019 | 0.011  | 0.163  | -0.009   | 0.306    | 0.070 | 0.466   |
|                            | 2018 | 0.013  | 0.198  | -0.053   | 0.404    | 0.080 | 0.441   |
|                            | 2017 | 0.047  | 0.430  | 0.010    | 0.354    | 0.072 | 0.974   |
|                            |      |        |        |          |          |       |         |
| Goodyear India Ltd         | 2021 | 0.580  | 0.933  | 0.213    | 3.744    | 2.047 | 6.996   |
|                            | 2020 | 0.618  | 0.937  | 0.127    | 3.586    | 1.833 | 6.454   |

|                  | 2019 | 0.406  | 0.612  | 0.117  | 2.302 | 1.386  | 4.496   |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
|                  | 2018 | 0.418  | 0.621  | 0.161  | 2.796 | 1.360  | 4.939   |
|                  | 2017 | 0.413  | 0.626  | 0.182  | 2.638 | 1.489  | 5.044   |
|                  |      |        |        |        |       |        |         |
| Orient Press Ltd | 2021 | 0.244  | 0.734  | 0.017  | 0.778 | 1.771  | 3.615   |
|                  | 2020 | 0.215  | 0.757  | 0.058  | 0.728 | 2.077  | 4.019   |
|                  | 2019 | 0.250  | 0.747  | 0.083  | 1.293 | 2.339  | 4.732   |
|                  | 2018 | 0.244  | 0.730  | 0.107  | 2.412 | 2.293  | 5.406   |
|                  | 2017 | 0.171  | 0.728  | 0.120  | 0.553 | 2.460  | 4.410   |
|                  |      |        |        |        |       |        |         |
| Premier Ltd      | 2021 | 1.731  | 1.128  | 0.147  | 0.016 | -0.007 | 4.142   |
|                  | 2020 | 2.241  | 1.279  | -0.191 | 0.089 | -0.055 | 3.848   |
|                  | 2019 | -7.255 | -0.959 | -3.116 | 0.034 | 0.393  | -19.917 |
|                  | 2018 | -0.872 | 0.548  | 0.208  | 0.102 | 0.071  | 0.539   |
|                  | 2017 | -0.124 | 0.596  | 0.083  | 0.148 | 0.084  | 1.132   |

Source: Calculated by author

# Interpretation

These firms were considered as healthy as they have not filed for bankruptcy. Eventhough these companies have a history of low score which is an indication of financial instability, they were able to gearup for the year 2020 and 2021. This happened because of their increase in Market capitalisation, total sales and working capital ratios.

Indowind Energy Limited for the last five years shows a score value lesser than 1.81, that is a clear indication of financial distress. The firm has not applied for bankruptcy, but it is

highly risky for the investors and other creditors of the company. The company has to take up preventive steps so that they can move to the safe Zone.

### 5. Conclusion and recommendation

This study aimed at tesing the financial viability of manufaturing firms in Indian listed companies. Ten companies were studied for a periof of five years (2017-2021). The study employed Altma Z score model which is one of the powerful model that can be predict financial distress.

The findings hold that the Z-score values of the distressed companies lies between 1.81 and 2.99 (gray zone) with a moderate chance for filing for bankruptcy. The low score is mainly because of negative working capital, low turnover and EBIT ratio. The healthy companies which were selecter for the study also had a history of low performance for the first three year under study. But they were able to coverup that by incressed WC, MC, TS ratios. The latest analysis of 2021 shows a Zcore much higher than 2.99 making them to settle in the safe Zone.

Indowind Energy Limited has a calculated Zscore value lesser than what is required to consider them as in the safe zone. It is a clear indication for the management to take corrective steps. They can revisit their cost structure and sources of working capital so as to enhance the production capacity.

The study has limited to the manufacturing sector and considered only the Z-score model to analyse financial distress. Including other models of financial distress would have strengthen the result. Also the sample size can be increased, the larger the sample size the greater will be the accuracy of the result.

# REFERRENCE

Chen, H. J., Kacperczyk, M., & Ortiz-Molina, H. (2012). Do nonfinancial stakeholders affect the pricing of risky debt? Evidence from unionized workers. Review of Finance, 16(2), 347–383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfq028</u>

Panigrahi A.K., Raul N, Gijare C., "Liquidity and Profitability Trade-off: A Study of Indian Pharmaceutical Companies", NMIMS Journal of Economics and Public Policy, Vol.-III, Issue-I, April – 2018, Page 42-56.

Singhal, R., & Zhu, Y. E. (2013).Bankruptcy risk, costs and corporate diversification. Journal of Banking & Finance, 37(5), 1475– 1489. Retrieved From: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.11.019

Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *The journal of finance*, 23(4), 589-609. • Celli, M. (2015). Can Z-Score Model Predict Listed Companies' Failures in Italy? An Empirical Test. International Journal of Business and

Management, 10(3), 57. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v10n3p57

Yuanlong Chi, "A Comparative Study of Altman's Z-score and A Factor Analysis Approaches to Bankruptcy Predictions", A research project submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Finance, Saint Mary's University.

Ally, O., Journal, K. B.-B. E., & 2019, undefined. (n.d.). Testing financial distress of manufacturing firms in Tanzania: an application of Altman Z-score model. *Bej.Cbe.Ac.Tz.* Retrieved April 10, 2022, from https://bej.cbe.ac.tz/index.php/bej/article /download/170/93

Shah, N. (2014). Developing financial distress prediction models using cutting edge recursive partitioning techniques: a study of Australian mining performance. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 3(2), 103.

Korteweg, A., Polson, N., Sørensen, M., Veronesi -and Hui Chen, P., Heaton, J., Hore,

S., Kaplan, S., Kashyap, A., Pastor, L., Rosu, I., Sufi, A., & Weisbach and, M. (2007). *The costs of financial distress across industries*.

https://search.proquest.com/openview/860d30 17718686007bff89299526d afe/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=18750

Outecheva, N. (2007). *Corporate financial distress: An empirical analysis of distress risk.* https://www.e-

helvetica.nb.admin.ch/api/download/urn%3An bn%3Ach%3Abel 130003%3Adis3430.pdf

policy, C. P.-N. journal of economics and public, & 2019, undefined. (n.d.). Validity of Altman's 'z'score model in predicting financial distress of pharmaceutical companies. *Papers.Ssrn.Com.* Retrieved April 10, 2022, from

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstra ct\_id=3326312