

EXAMINATION OF THE SUPPLIER-RELATED FACTORS INFLUENCING THE SUCCESS OF OUTSOURCING IN THE HOTEL HOUSEKEEPING DEPARTMENT

¹Akshita Tiwari, ²Malini Singh, ³Ashish Dahiya

¹Research Scholar, Amity School of Hospitality, Amity University, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Associate Professor, Amity School of Hospitality, Amity University, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Professor - Institute of Hotel & Tourism Management, M.D University Rohtak, Haryana

Abstract

This study aims to examine supplier selection and relationship management in the housekeeping department of the hotel industry. An exploratory qualitative approach was used for the analysis. The results show that the supplier identification was made through direct contact, agent/expert search, professional networks, request for quotes, and supplier self-exploration. Efficiency and effectiveness, service costs, vendor credibility, and internal knowledge were necessary in the selection. The results also show that relationship management was crucial to securing the buyer-supplier relationship. Contracts, job evaluation, downtime/lead time, vendor reliability, and guest comment cards were essential relationship management tools. The article ends with some conclusions and management implications for hotel managers.

Keywords: Buyer related factors, Compatibility, Outsourcing, and Partnership quality, Services, Supplier related factors.

INTRODUCTION

Globalization, technological advancements, application of contemporary practices, and increased mindfulness of client knowledge are the root causes for complex request structures and excruciating competition in the request place. Business associations are unfit to stand alone to face continuously adding competition. As a result, numerous unite with other businesses in order to achieve better performance. Likewise, it has been proven that contending as a group or network is more effective because mates are suitable to partake in knowledge, information, and benefits. The types of collaboration rehearsed in ultramodern businesses are hookups, strategic alliances, combinations, and outsourcing. All these collaborations calculate mainly on the strength of the relationship among members and beyond the means of traditional purchasing practices.

According to an ontological assessment of the outsourcing literature, former exploration has substantially concentrated on two paradigms of propositions. TCE (Sale Cost Husbandry Proposition) was the first, and it regarded outsourcing as a means to save plutocrats. The Resource Grounded View (RBV), on the other hand, considers outsourcing as a strategic tool for gaining a competitive advantage. According to (Kroes, 2010) four types of propositions are employed to probe outsourcing" agency proposition," TCE," RBV," and "Knowledge-Based View" (KBV). Also, several authors employ exchange propositions (Lee, 2011)and process propositions (Han, 2008) to study the problem more subjectively. Outsourcing is the transfer of an internal process or exertion to a third party, and it includes at least two parties, the buyer and the provider. According to the social exchange thesis, multiple actors are sharing in a collaborative exertion, each with

their own set of values and prospects (Sierra, 2009)

The word "success" refers to a confidential assessment of how well options were satisfied. As a result, the authors suppose that outsourcing is a social exchange miracle in which each party must satisfy its own set of performance criteria. From a behavioral viewpoint, the consonance of the parties' values and prospects (Whipple, 2000) (Kroes J. &, 2010) is an abecedarian success criterion for effective collaboration. As a result, outsourcing is no longer a "Buy or Make" decision. Because specific quantitative issues are simpler to produce, manufacturing sectors have been subordinated to further rigorous empirical tests than services sectors. Ultramodern business competition is a conflict between commercial networks. Outsourcing has become a common practice in the marketable world. Directors' places have changed down from running enterprises and to managing business surroundings, which includes outsourcing. The overall purpose of this exploration is to develop a paradigm for assessing outsourcing success that considers all of the relevant factors and their interconnections.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The outsourcing concept began with purchasing and ultimately expanded to transfer a company's conditioning to a third party. The transfer of internal commercial operations and procedures to an external body is known as outsourcing (Donada, 2009). (Kotabe, 2009); (Lee J., 2001); (Li, 2009); (Kroes J. &, 2010) Different studies have produced colorful delineations of outsourcing, which range in terms of the contract type held by organizations, the motives for outsourcing, the anticipated issues, and the nature of the association. On the other hand, services outsourcing was defined by (Li, 2009) as an "option of substituting internal service functions with the employment of external agents to negotiate these duties.". According to an epistemological review of the literature, former studies have substantially concentrated on two aspects of outsourcing. These are outsourcing performance (Cusmano, 2009); (Kotabe M. M., 2009) and cooperation structure rates (Kotabe M. M., 2009). (Dyer, 1997; De Vita, Takaya, and Wang, 2010; Marshall et al.,

2007; Cui et al., 2009; Lee, 2001; Han, Lee, and Seo, 2008; Chi, 1994). Outsourcing has both salutary and negative consequences, egging colorful exploration to probe the causes behind the difference. Situations of outsourcing (Thouin, Hoffman, and Ford, 2009; Kotabe and Mol, 2009), geographic position (Ono, 2007; Bannered and Williams, 2009, Cusmano et al., 2009), the position of consonance (Kreos and Ghosh, 2009), and variations in crucial confines for opting suppliers (Rajabzadeh, Rostamy, and Hosseini, 2008) have each been delved. Outsourcing and performance have a curvilinear connection, according to Kotabe and Mol (2009). They suggested that the company determine the proper quantum of outsourcing. Cerebral aspects (feelings) may impact guests' outsourcing opinions, according to Donada and Nogatchewsky (2009). As a result, several explorations used external factors as central variables to achieve varied outsourced performance issues. Partnership quality (Byramjee, Bhagat, and Klein, 2010; Lee and Kim, 1999), organizational culture (Lam and Han, 2005; Jarvenpaa and Mao, 2008), request consistency (Li and Choi, 2009; Dyer, 1997; De Vita et al., 2010), and anticipated contest (Byramjee, Bhagat, and Klein, 2010; Lee and Kim, 1999) are some of these factors (Lahiri, Kedia, Raghunath and Agrawal, 2009).

As Marshall et al. (2007) refocused out, there is a contradiction in the outsourcing literature since colorful exploration uses different underpinning ideas. Sale cost husbandry, resource-grounded perspective, knowledge-grounded view, and relational specific propositions were honored as four types of exploration fields in outsourcing literature (RST). RST encompasses ideas similar to "social network proposition," "social exchange proposition," and others that see a marketable sale as a social circumstance. The major focus of sale cost husbandry (TCE) is on assaying functional performance. Functional results are short-term in nature, making it easier to assess fast-term company performance. Also, the functional performance of a single sale may be fluently defined and measured. TCE can thus be used to assess a separate sale. Still, the ultimate thing of each person involved in the sale is to benefit from the charges incurred (Byramjee et al., 2010). As a result, the sale brings husbandry sphere is applicable for assaying business-to-business transactions. However, there are

certain exams of sale cost husbandry (TCE). These include a failure to fete commercial capacities (Holcomb and Hitt, 2007), ignorance of other rudiments of the organization (Espino-Rodriguez and Padron-Robaina, 2005), and a failure to dissect organizationally (Espino-Rodriguez and Padron-Robaina, 2005).

The resource-grounded view (RBV) eliminates the excrescencies of the TCE perspective by taking into account establishment-specific features like resource capabilities and chops. RBV, according to Barney (1991) and Wu and Park (2009), is primarily concerned with assessing the eventuality of internal coffers to induce profitability and competitiveness. Some academics saw TCE and RBV as complementary (Marshall et al., 2007), while others saw them as linked ways that rounded one another (Leiblein, 2003). RBV overlooks the behavioral factors of strategic outsourcing while being one of the most fascinating and compelling study paradigms in operation. For continual progress, learning organizations bear knowledge and information. As a result, organizations that outsource use the knowledge of a third party to gain a competitive advantage (Kroes and Ghosh, 2009). The abecedarian aspects of the knowledge-grounded paradigm are information sharing, complementary literacy, participated decision timber, and knowledge sharing (implicit and unequivocal) (KBV). KBV focuses on adding competitiveness rather than measuring behavioral issues. To conclude the antedating arguments, numerous propositions and measures were utilized to estimate colorful aspects of outsourcing success.

Financial and strategic results are constantly used to assess performance (non-financial issues). Lee, 2001; Kroes and Ghosh, 2010), nonsupervisory and legal charges (Kroes and Ghosh, 2010), return on value-added (Kotabe and Mol, 2009), sale value (Dyer, 1997), and gains (Espino-Rodriguez and Padron-Robaina, 2005) are each used to quantify fiscal results. Information sharing (Han et al., 2008; Bannered and Williams, 2009), collective literacy (Bettis et al., 1992), inventions (Cusmano et al., 2009), and threat sharing (Kedia and Lahiri, 2007) are exemplifications of strategic issues that have long-term impacts on performance and give sustainable value for the organization. As a result, it is apparent that service results may be

measured in both concrete and impalpable ways. Still, the financial index alone does not give a whole picture of outsourcing performance. Non-financial variables, similar to behavioral performance criteria, may be used in the dimension. Due to rising globalization and heightened societal prospects of colorful stakeholders, Park-Poaps and Rees (2009) emphasized the applicability of stakeholder standpoint in force chain operation exploration. In line with this, Byramjee et al. (2010) linked outsourcing as a community between the customer establishment and the service provider that might ameliorate overall value-orientation. Buyer related factors

The purchasing establishment's chops and coffers (Barney, 1999). Hence the buyer may be designated as the primary decision-maker. When internal capabilities and coffers are inadequate to deliver asked value, outsourcing becomes the stylish volition. As a result, as a major decision-maker, the purchasing business must choose the stylish blend of outsourcing options. This involves, among other effects, what to outsource, the compass of outsourcing, who the providers are, and contractual parameters. Buyer organizations may boost effectiveness by using organizational structures and directorial ways that better suit their internal strengths and capabilities, according to Gilley and Rasheed (2000). Because colorful organizations have varied chops and capabilities, they have different outsourcing intentions (Cui et al., 2009). Among these pretensions include threat reduction (Benamati and Rajkumar, 2008), cost reduction (Ang and Straub, 1998), knowledge transfer (Bannered and Williams, 2009; Kedia and Lahiri, 2007; Lee, 2001), and adding value to the business process (Bannered and Williams, 2009; Kedia and Lahiri, 2007; Lee, 2001). (Bannered and Williams, 2009). They might each be classified as political or strategic motives. Kedia and Lahiri (2007), for illustration, described how different types of outsourcing, similar as political, strategic, and transformational, affect the construction of colorful kinds of hookups in the transnational outsourcing setting. They went on to say that when a company has a lesser degree of reason to outsource, similar to 'strategic,' they are more likely to engage in advanced position issues. In practice, outsourcing is still driven by a combination of factors rather than a single issue. As a result,

colorful combinations of reasons may produce different issues. Because political reasons are the utmost introductory impulses to outsource, Level 01 characterizes them as the most abecedarian motorists to outsource. The applicability of seller operation capacity has been underscored by Han et al. (2008) and Lee (2001) as a critical factor of outsourcing success. In a more positive light, Seller operation is about making changes (Chan and Chin, 2007). To get the most out of your suppliers, you will need to manage a lower number of them and keep a tight eye on them. Seller operation includes examining, selecting, monitoring, and reviewing suppliers (Rajabzede et al., 2008; Chan and Chin, 2007). According to Byramjee et al., companies spend monitoring service performance of outsourced jobs (2010). Directors perceive outsourcing as a crucial business exertion to be handled as a result of these misgivings or pitfalls, and hence overspend in terms of time, monitoring, and evaluation charges. As a result, according to Williamson (1979), it is one of the reasons for sale issues. As a result, the study predicts that directors' threat comprehensions will significantly impact outsourcing results. As a result, buyer-related variables of outsourcing performance include amenability to outsource, seller operation moxie, and perceived outsourcing risk.

MODERATING ROLE OF PARTNERSHIP QUALITY

The quality of cooperation has been stressed as a pivotal factor of outsourcing success (Li and Choi, 2009; Dyer, 1997; Kedia and Lahiri, 2007; De Vita et al., 2010; Marshall et al., 2007; Cui et al., 2009; Enter et al., 2008; Chi, 1994). 'Inter-organizational commerce to achieve common points of the parties,' according to Lee (2001page325). According to Lahiri et al. (2009), partnership quality is a relational resource that influences the establishment's success. Outsourcing's success or failure is determined by the form and structure of the cooperation. Still, in different studies, cooperation quality has been conceived as having different places, analogous as direct impact (Lai, Lee, and Hsu, 2009; Whipple and Frankel, 2000), interposing impact (Lee, 2001; Cheng, Yeh, and Tu; Lee and Kim.), and moderating impact (Lee, 2001; Cheng, Yeh, and Tu; Lee and Kim.) (Byramjee et al., 2010). As a

result, there is no steady identification of cooperation quality's function in the literature. The issues will be determined by the mates' commitment to negotiate common pretensions in the outsourcing script. For illustration, the strength of the relationship between buyer and supplier is determined by the position of knowledge and sharing information (Marshall et al., 2007; Han et al., 2008), frequency and quality of communication (Park-Pops and Rees, 2010; Han et al., 2008), trust (Lahiri et al., 2009; Varietal., 2009; Han et al., 2008; Lee, 2001), and trouble sharing (Cui e. According to the social exchange proposition, each person in a successful relationship has a certain function to perform (Cook and Rice, 2003). According to Petersen et al. (2005), the degree of trust between the buyer and the supplier has an impact on collaborative planning effectiveness and, as a result, force chain and establishment performance. Byramjee et al. (2010) proposed that connection quality is a moderating element in impacting the 'total value exposure' in the cooperation business terrain in a recent study. Interceding Part OF Comity

Different languages similar as dexterity, consonance, match, and strategic fit, have been used to operationalize and study comity. Because enterprises differ, comity between mates is a major success element in outsourcing (Whipple and Frankel, 2000; Jarvenpaa and Mao, 2008). Organizational culture has been recognized as a common point that can distinguish one company from another (Liou and Chuang, 2010). According to Whipple and Frankel (2000), Comity is defined as the capacity to plan and operate together, and it necessitates a common vision, objects, values, and functional doctrines (Park-Pops and Rees, 2009; Bernardes, 2010). According to an empirical exploration grounded on the hostel sector in China, the mismatch of commercial societies between actual operation (buyer) and suppliers is a hedge to the successful perpetration of outsourcing strategy (Lam and Han, 2005). As a result, collective mindfulness of mates' business and operating tricks is critical for effective force chain operation (Jarvenpaa and Mao, 2008).

According to Kroes and Ghosh (2010), alignment between a company's outsourcing motorists and its competitive pretensions has a favorable influence on force chain performance.

The motorists give recommendations for opting suppliers, and the chops of the providers are thus taken into account in the selection process. As a result, in order to be competitive in the request, the supplier and buyer's capabilities in terms of threat, quality, and cost must be compatible (Liou and Chuang, 2010; Wu and Park, 2009). Before entering into outsourcing contracts, mates' consonance should be precisely examined (Kroes and Ghosh, 2010; Selviaridis et al., 2008).

Outsourcing, according to Quinn (1999), is a platform for invention. The disadvantages of inventions may be due to a mismatch in process technology between the buyer and the provider (Cusmano et al., 2009). As a result, technology is critical in the creation of new products (Bettis et al., 1992). In particular, exploration on information technology and information system outsourcing have linked' specialized comity or rigidity as a crucial factor in outsourcing success (Tallon, 2008; Jarvenpaa and Mao, 2008). Bettis et al. (1992) also advised about the negative impacts of technology as a peril to destroy contractual connections with suppliers, owing to rapid-fire technological growth. After justifying the interceding influence of comity, Whipple and Frankel (2000) asserted that strategic alliance success occurs when mates have typical pretensions and work together to attain them. To get the most out of outsourcing, the interceding influence of comity may be examined in terms of commercial culture, competitive precedences (capabilities), and technology.

OUTSOURCING SUCCESS IN THE HOTEL HOUSEKEEPING DEPARTMENT

The study's major thing is to produce a further reflective, intertwined methodology for assessing outsourcing performance. Due to the ever-changing profitable and specialized terrain and ongoing pressure from contending enterprises, outsourcing has been characterized as one of the most complicated and critical opinions in an association (Faes and Matthysens, 2009). As a result, it is critical to estimate outsourcing performance on a regular basis in order to ensure its success. Outsourcing success was estimated by Zhang et al. (2009). In terms of fiscal, functional, and overused strategic over, Cheon and Teng (1996) used' strategic, provident, and technological' pointers. Lee (2001) and Han et al. (2008) used economic, strategic, and technological pointers

to assess the performance of information systems outsourcing. Other exploration, on the other hand, espoused a mixed approach rather than grading them. The idea of outsourcing in the hostel sector, for illustration, according to Lam and Han (2005), is to squeeze the functional cost.'

Performance criteria used in colorful predicated proposition exploration, on the other hand, show a clear divergence. Financial and functional performance pointers were employed in the exploration grounded on the sale bring husbandry (TCE) approach. TCE is in charge of outsourcing as a cost-cutting strategy. Tactical hookups are formed in the outsourcing setting to induce functional benefits (Kedia and Lahiri, 2007). The study classifies functional and fiscal variables as' politic' performance pointers grounded on the explanation. Numerous studies have emphasized profitability (Zhang et al., 2009; Kroes and Ghosh, 2010; Thouin et al., 2009; Espino-Rodriguez, and Padron-Robaina, 2005) and overall cost reduction (Kedia and Lahiri, 2007; Lam and Han, 2005; Zhang et al., 2009) as fiscal performance criteria.' Residency rate' was employed by (Espino-Rodriguez, 2005) to measure productivity in the hostel business. Likewise, a rise in overall quality is one of the most essential functional factors of outsourcing, and it has been used as a performance measure under several names. For illustration,' quality advancements were employed by Espino-Rodriguez and Padron-Robaina (2005) and Zhang et al. (2009) to assess functional performance.

As a result, resource- grounded and knowledge-grounded studies primarily concentrated on the disquisition of strategic value addition from outsourcing, similar as focus on core business (Han et al., 2008; Lee, 2001; Kroes and Ghosh, 2010), information sharing (Han et al., 2008; Bannered and Williams, 2009), collective literacy (Bettis et al., 1992; Lansdale, 1997), and inventions (Cusmano et al., 2009 (Bettis et al., 1992). Lee (2001) employed total supplier satisfaction to assess the performance of information system outsourcing. In line with this, De Vita et al. (2010) and Espino-Rodriguez and Padro'n-Robaina (2005) used outsourcing relationship performance to assess supplier satisfaction. When businesses are displeased with their current providers, they are more likely to switch because of negative passions (Donada

and Nogatchewsky, 2009). As a result, the purchasing business has no plans to deal with the supplier in the future (Dyer, 1997; Lee, 2001; Han et al., 2008). Still, the outsourcing contract will be extended/ uninterrupted, If the buyer is pleased with their provider. As a result, the 'amenability to extend the contract with the provider is a sign of effective outsourcing. The current outsourcing experience has led to a desire to outsource the service that's now in-sourced in the future.' Unborn intention to employ outsourcing,' according to the outsourcing acceptance model' (Benamati and Rajkumar, 2008), is a behavioral result of a good outsourcing experience. In light of the antecedent information, the behavioral element of outsourcing success may be defined as outsourcing relationship performance," amenability to protract being outsourcing contracts,' and' unborn intention to outsource which are now in-sourced.'As a result, the quantum of prognosticated outsourcing results may be quantified in political, strategic, and behavioral issues.

CONCLUSION

Outsourcing began with purchasing and eventually expanded to transfer a company's activities to a third party. Distinct studies have produced different definitions of outsourcing, which differ in terms of the type of contract held by partners, the motives for outsourcing, the expected outcomes, and the structure of the organization. The major focus of transactional cost economies (TCE) is on analyzing operational performance. Rather than a business-to-business transaction, TCE might be used to analyze a discrete transaction. Failure to understand corporate capabilities and ignorance of other aspects of organizational behavior are some of the criticisms leveled towards TCE. Service outsourcing has not been exposed to as much academic conceptualization and empirical research compared to the manufacturing sector. As a result, the research seeks to construct an overall model to measure service outsourcing success. The knowledge of stakeholders in the transaction process and their contributions to effective outsourcing implementation is aided by social exchange theory. Both the customer and the supplier share responsibility for outsourcing success, and the suggested model incorporates all of the core components of

outsourcing that have been described (TCE, RBV, and KBV perspectives). In the context of services, the study concluded that relational resources are more essential than actual resources. As a result, vendor compatibility has emerged as a mediating element, while relationship quality has emerged as a moderating variable in outsourcing success. In the context of a buying organization, the model assesses buyer and supplier-related aspects. As a result, future research will be able to incorporate both customer and supplier viewpoints in order to enhance the model. Finally, by incorporating essential, complete, and holistic aspects in assessing the performance of services outsourcing, the proposed model adds to the current body of knowledge.

Reference

- [1] Allen, S., & Chandrashekar, A. (2000). Outsourcing services: the contract is just the beginning. *Business Horizons*, 43(2), 25-30.
- [2] Ang, S., & Straub, D.W. (1998). Production and transaction economies and is outsourcing: a study of the U.S. banking industry. *MIS Quarterly*, 22 (4), 535-552.
- [3] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17 (1), 99-120.
- [4] Benamati, J., & Rajkumar, T.M. (2008). An outsourcing acceptance model: An application of TAM to application development outsourcing decisions. *Information Resource Management Journal*, 21(2), 80-102.
- [5] Bannered, A., & Williams, S.A. (2009). Industry insight, international service outsourcing using offshore analytics to identify determinants of value-added outsourcing. *Strategic Outsourcing: An International Journal*, 2(1), 68-79.
- [6] Bernardes, E.S. (2010). The effect of supply management on an aspect of social capital and the impact on performance: A social network perspective, *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 46(1), 45- 56.
- [7] Bettis, R. A., Bradley, S. P., & Hamel, G. (1992). Outsourcing and industrial decline, *Academy of Management Executive*, 6(1), 7-22.
- [8] Bharadwaj, A.S. (2000). A Resource-based perspective on information technology

- capability and firm performance: an empirical investigation. *MIS Quarterly*, 24 (1), 169–196.
- [9] Byramjee, F., Bhagat, P. & Klein, A. (2010). The moderating role of relationship quality in determining total value orientation. *Global Journal of Business Research*, 4(2), 49-62.
- [10] Bon, J.L. and Hughes, D.E. (2009), The dilemma of outsourced customer service and care. Research propositions from a transaction cost perspective, *Journal of Industrial Marketing Management*, 38, 404-410.
- [11] Chamberland, D.(2003). Is it core strategic? Outsourcing as a strategic management tool. *Ivey Business Journal Online* (July/August), 1–5.
- [12] Chan, T.C.T., & Chin, K.S. (2007). Key success factors of strategic sourcing. An empirical study of the Hong Kong toy industry. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(9), 1391-1416.
- [13] Chebat, J.C., & Slusarczyk, W.(2005). How emotions mediate the effects of perceived justice on loyalty in service recovery situations: an empirical study. *Journal of Business Research*, 58, 664–673.
- [14] Cheng, J.H., Yeh, C.H., and Tu, C.W. (2008). Trust and knowledge sharing in green supply chains. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 13(4), 283–295.
- [15] Chi, T. (1994). Trading in strategic resources: Necessary conditions, transaction cost problem. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(4), 271-290.
- [16] Chowdhary, N., and Prakash, M. (2001). Service quality: revisiting the two factors theory”, *Proceeding of The First International Conference on Electronic Business*, Hong Kong, 19-21.
- [17] Cook, K.S. and Rice, E. (2003), Social exchange theory”, in Delamater, J. (Ed.), *Handbook of Social Psychology*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, NY, pp. 53-76.
- [18] Cui, Z., Loch, C.H., Grossmann, B., & He, R. (2009). Outsourcing Innovation: Comparison of external technology providers to Siemens uncovers five drivers of innovation success. *Research-technology management, Industrial research institute Inc*, 52(6), 54-63.
- [19] Cusmano, L., Mancusi, M.L., & Morrison, A. (2009). Innovation and the geographical and organizational dimensions of outsourcing: Evidence from Italian firm-level data. *Journal of Structural Changes and Economic Dynamics*, 20, 183-195.
- [20] De-Vita, G., Tekaya, A & Wang, C.L. (2010). “Asset specificity’s impact on outsourcing relationship performance: A disaggregated analysis by buyer-supply asset specificity dimensions. *Journal of Business Research*, 63 (7), 657-666.
- [21] Donada, C., & Nogatchewsky, G. (2009). Emotions in outsourcing. An empirical study in the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28, 367-373.
- [22] Dyer, J.H. (1997). Effective interfirm collaboration: How firms minimize transaction costs and maximize transaction value. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18 (7), 535-555.
- [23] Espino-Rodriguez, T., & Padro´n-Robaina, V. (2004). Outsourcing and its impact on operational objectives and performance: a study of hotels in the Canary Islands. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 23, 287–306.
- [24] Espino-Rodriguez, T., & Padro´n-Robaina, V. (2005b). A resource-based view of outsourcing and its implications for organizational performance in the hotel sector. *Tourism Management*, 26, 707–721.
- [25] Faes, W., & Matthyssens, P. (2009). Insights into the process of changing sourcing Strategies. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 24(3-4), 245–255.
- [26] Furby L. Psychology and justice. In: Cohen RL, editor. *Justice: views from the social sciences*. New York: Plenum; 1986. pp. 3–30.
- [27] Fixler, D.J., & Siegel D.(1999). Outsourcing and productivity growth in services, *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 10 (2), 177-194.
- [28] Frohlich, M.T., & Dixon, J.R. (2001). A taxonomy of manufacturing strategies revisited. *Journal of Operations Management*, 19 (5), 541-558.
- [29] Gewald, H., Wullenweber, K., and Weitzel, T. (2006). The influence of perceived risks on banking managers: Intention to outsource business processes: A study of the German banking and finance industry.

- Journal of Electronic Commerce Research, 7 (2), 78-96.
- [30] Gilley, K.M., & Rasheed, A. (2000). Making more by doing less: an analysis of outsourcing and its effect on firm performance. *Journal of Management*, 26 (4), 763-790.
- [31] Grover, V., Cheo, M.J., & Teng, J. (1996). The effect of service quality and partnership on the outsourcing of information systems functions. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 12 (4), 89-102.
- [32] Han, H.S., Lee, J.N. & Seo, Y.W., (2008). Analyzing the impact of a firm's capability on outsourcing success: A process perspective. *Journal of Information Management*, 45, 31-42.
- [33] Holcomb, T.R., & Hitt, M.A. (2007). Toward a model of strategic outsourcing. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25, 464-81.
- [34] Jarvenpaa, S.L., & Mao J.Y. (2008). Operational capabilities development in mediated offshore software services models. *Journal of Information Technology*, 23, 3-17.
- [35] Lam, T., & Han, M.X.J. (2005). A study of outsourcing strategy: A case involving the hotel industry in Shanghai, China. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 24, 41-56.
- [36] Lacity, M., & Hirschheim, R. (1995). *Beyond the Information Systems Bandwagon*. Wiley, Chichester, UK.
- [37] Lahiri, S., Kedia, B.L., Raghunath, S., & Agrawal, N.M. (2009). Anticipated rivalry as a moderator of the relationship between firm resources. *International Journal of Management*, 26 (1), 146-158.
- [38] Lai, M. J., Lee, G.G., & Hsu, W.L. (2009). The influence of partner's trust-commitment relationship on electronic commerce strategic planning. *Management Decision*, 47(3), 491-507.
- [39] Lawler, E.J. (2001). An affect theory of social exchange. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 107 (2), 321-352.
- [40] Lee, J.N., & Kim, Y.G. (1999). Effect of partnership quality on I.S. outsourcing success: conceptual framework and empirical validation. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 15(4), 29-61.
- [41] Lee, J.N. (2001). The impact of knowledge sharing, organizational capability, and partnership quality on I.S. outsourcing success. *Journal of Information and Management*, 38, 323-335.
- [42] Leiblein, M.J. (2003). The choice of organizational governance form and firm performance: predictions from transaction cost, resource-based, and fundamental options theories. *Journal of Management*, 29 (6), 937-962.
- [43] Li, M., & Choi, T.Y. (2009). Triads in outsourcing services: bridge, bridge decay, and bridge transfer. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45, 27-39.
- [44] Linder, J., (2004). Transformational outsourcing. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 45 (2), 52-58.
- [45] Liou, J.J.H., & Chuang, Y. (2010). Developing a hybrid multi-criteria model for the selection of outsourcing providers. *Journal of Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(5), 3755-376.
- [46] Lonsdale, C., & Cox, A. (1997). Outsourcing: risks and rewards. *Supply Management*, 3, 32-34.
- [47] Kakabadse, A., & Kakabadse, N. (2003). Outsourcing best practice: transformational and transactional considerations. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 10 (1), 60-71.
- [48] Kedia, B.L., & Lahiri, S. (2007). International outsourcing of services: A partnership model. *Journal of Information Management*, 13, 22-37.
- [49] Kroes, J.R., & Ghosh, S. (2010). Outsourcing congruence with competitive priorities: Impact on supply chain and firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*. 28(2), 124-143.
- [50] Kotabe, M. (1990). The relationship between offshore sourcing and innovativeness of U.S. multinational firms: An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 3, 623-638.
- [51] Kotabe, M. & Mol, M.J., (2009). Outsourcing and financial performance: A negative curvilinear effect. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Chain Management*, 15, 205-213.
- [52] Marshall, D., McIvor, R., & Lamming, R. (2007). Influences and outcomes of outsourcing: insights from the telecommunications industry. *Journal of*

- Purchasing and Supply Management, 13 (4), 245–260.
- [53] Miles, R.E., & Snow, C.C. (2007). Organization theory and supply chain management: An evolving research perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(2), 459–463.
- [54] Ogden, J. A. (2006) Supply Base Reduction: An empirical study of critical success factors. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 42(4), 29–39.
- [55] Ono, Y. (2001). Outsourcing business services, the scope of local markets, and agglomeration economies. Thesis published by UMI.
- [56] Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A. & Berry, L.L. (1988).SERVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 12–40.
- [57] Park-Poaps, H., & Rees, K. (2010). Stakeholder forces of socially responsible supply chain management orientation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 305–322.
- [58] Petersen, K. J., Handfield, R. B., & Ragatz, G. L. (2005). Supplier integration into new product development: coordinating product, process, and supply chain design. *Journal of Operations Management*, 23(3–4), 371–388.
- [59] Quinn, J. B. (1999). Strategic outsourcing: Leveraging knowledge capabilities. *Sloan Management Review*, 40(4), 9–21.
- [60] Rajabzadeh, A., Rostamy, A.A.A., & Hosseini, A. (2008). Designing a generic model for outsourcing in the public sector: evidence of Iran, *Management Decision*, 46 (4), 521–538.
- [61] Selviaridis, K., Spring, M., Profillidis, V., & Botzoris, G. (2008). Benefits, risks, selection criteria, and success factors for third-party logistics services. *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, 2008 (1), 380–392.
- [62] Sierra, J.J., & McQuitty, S. (2005). Service providers and customers: social exchange theory and service loyalty. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 19(6), 392–400.
- [63] Takeishi, A. (2001). Bridging inter-and intra-firm boundaries: management of supplier involvement in automobile product development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22 (5), 403–433.
- [64] Tallon, P.P., (2008). Inside the adaptive enterprise: an information technology capabilities perspective on business process agility. *Information Technology Management*, 9, 21–36.
- [65] Thouin, M.F., Hoffman, J.J., & Ford, E.W. (2009). I.T. outsourcing and firm-level performance: A transaction cost perspective. *Journal of Information & Management*, 46, 463–469.
- [66] Venkatesan, R. (1992). Strategic sourcing: to make or not to make. *Harvard Business Review*, 70 (6), 98–107.
- [67] Weidenbaum, M. (2005). Outsourcing: Pros and cons. *Business Horizons*, 48(4), 311–315.
- [68] Williamson, O.E. (1979), Transaction-cost economics: the governance of contractual relations. *Journal of Law & Economics*, October, 233–59.
- [69] Whipple, M.J. & Frankel, R. (2000). Strategic alliance success factors. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 36 (3), 21–28.
- [70] Wu, L., & Park, D. (2009). Dynamic outsourcing through process modularization. *Business Process Management Journal*, 15 (2), 225–244.
- [71] Wullenweber, K., Beimborn, D., Weitzel, T., & Konig, W. (2008). The impact of process standardization on business process outsourcing success. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 10(2), 211–224.
- [72] Young, S. (2007). Outsourcing: uncovering the complexity of the decision. *International Public Management Journal*, 10(3), 307–325.
- [73] Zafirovski, M. (2005). Social exchange theory under scrutiny: A positive critique of its economic-behaviorist formulations. *Electronic Journal of Sociology*, 1198 3655.
- [74] Zhang, X., Song, H., & Huang G.Q. (2009). Tourism supply chain management: A new research agenda. *Tourism Management*, 30, 345–358.