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#### Abstract

Secondary school students in the socio-economically and educationally backward indigenous Manipuri Muslim ethnic group the Meitei Pangal community in India's north-eastern state of Manipur do not perform well in mathematics when compared to their counterparts in other communities. There could be various reasons for this deficit in mathematics achievement. Research findings have indicated that mathematics achievement of students is determined by many factors including students' attitude towards mathematics which is shaped by several factors like classroom teaching methods, school support system, mathematics teachers' attitudes in teaching mathematics etc. Teachers' positive attitude towards mathematics will motivate students to form a favourable attitude towards learning the subject and achieve better in the subject while teachers' negative attitude could be responsible for their low mathematics achievement. This paper explores secondary school mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics and its influence on Meitei Pangal students' mathematics achievement in Thoubal district of Manipur. It also suggests measures to improve teachers' attitude towards mathematics to realise students' better achievement in the subject which will go a long way in enabling the socio-economically and educationally backward community's participation in various important fields in this age of science and technology. This research has implications for the secondary mathematics teachers, administrators and education policy makers.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is made compulsory in secondary school level considering its utility in daily life and its power to develop students' analytical thinking and problem-solving skills. Secondary school students in the socio-economically and educationally backward indigenous Manipuri Muslim ethnic group, the Meitei Pangal community, in India's north-eastern state of Manipur do not perform well in mathematics when compared to their counterparts in other communities. In the recent past there have been isolated occasions when individual Meitei Pangal students performed well in the High

School Leaving Certificate Examinations (HSLCE) conducted by the Board of Secondary Education, Manipur (BOSEM) by even getting letter marks in mathematics. However, the overall board examination results would indicate a dismal picture of the Meitei Pangal secondary school students' performance in mathematics. As a result, over the years, very few Meitei Pangals could join important professions which need sound mathematical knowledge. There could be various reasons for their low achievement in mathematics. Favourable attitude towards mathematics is correlated with better achievement which in turn help increase
the rate of participation in mathematics at higher classes. It would be crucial for enabling the students to get an opportunity for a bright career related to science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) (LeGrand, 2013).

Research findings have indicated that mathematics achievement of students is determined by many factors including students' attitude towards mathematics which is shaped by several factors like classroom teaching methods, school support system, the common social perceptions about the usefulness of mathematics besides classroom teaching methods, school support system, parental involvement in child's mathematics learning, mathematics teachers' attitudes in teaching mathematics etc. According to Australian Education Council (1991), positive attitude towards mathematics is manifested as enthusiasm about own ability and enjoyable interest in dealing with situations involving mathematics. Teachers' positive attitude towards mathematics will motivate students to form a positive attitude towards the subject to learn it and achieve better in the subject while teachers' negative attitude could be responsible for students' low mathematics achievement. In a study, Mesut Tabuk (2018) used "Teaching Mathematics" questionnaire to collect data from prospective teachers and the results revealed that the prospective teachers had positive attitude towards teaching mathematics.

Teachers should be confident and able to teach mathematics while their positive attitudes towards the subject and enthusiasm for teaching it are important (Ernest, 1988). David Blazar and Matthew A. Kraft ( 2017) found that teachers' teaching practices, emotional support and classroom organization influenced students' attitudes and self-confidence in mathematics thereby making mathematics class enjoyable to them. Thus, teachers' attitude and behaviour are equally important for students' mathematics achievement.

Attitude with three separate measurable attitudinal dimensions or components (Han and Carpenter, 2014) is the manifestation of mental state in the form of a situation-specific behaviour (Allport, 1935) with
a tendency to react in a positive or negative manner (Aiken,1970). The components - Affect (A), cognition (C) and behaviour (B) - comprise affective, cognitive and behavioural dimensions of attitude as stated in the ABC Model of Attitude which is widely used in the study of attitude towards mathematics (Ajzen, 1993).

In the formation of positive or negative attitude towards mathematics, teachers may relate themselves with mathematics through different dimensions of attitude i.e. cognitive dimension of attitude (Okyere, \& Kuranchie, 2013), affective dimension of attitude (Ingram, 2015) and behavioural dimension of attitude (Akinsola \& Olowojaiye, 2008). The cognitive dimension comprises perception and belief of the teacher about the subject, the affective dimension comprises the emotions of teacher about teaching mathematics, and behavioural dimension comprises the teacher's inclination to engage with mathematics. Thus, teachers' attitude towards mathematics can be described through the measurements of these dimensions.

From the review of literature for the study (not included here for reasons of space constraints), it has been infered that there is a gap in literature about teachers' attitude towards mathematics and its influence on Meitei Pangal secondary school students' achievement in the subject. As no in-depth study has been conducted on this area, it is necessary to explore teachers' attitude towards mathematics through their perspectives. This paper reports the findings of a study conducted to explore teachers' attitude towards mathematics and its relationship with mathematics achievement of secondary school students in Meitei Pangal community in Thoubal district of Manipur.

## 2. OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of the study are-
i). To find out mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics in secondary schools in Thoubal District of Manipur in northeast India.
ii). To find out any significant correlation between mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement.
iii). To suggest measures for enhancing mathematics teachers' positive attitude towards mathematics for students' better mathematics achievement in Meitei Pangal community.

## 3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

To achieve the research objectives of the study, the following research questions were framed.

1. What kinds of attitude the secondary school mathematics teachers have towards mathematics in Thoubal District of Manipur?
2. Is there any significant correlation between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement?

## 4. NULL HYPOTHESIS

The study formulated a null hypothesis as given below.
$H_{0}$ : There is no significant association
between mathematics teachers'
attitude towards mathematics and
Meitei Pangal secondary school
students' mathematics achievement in
Thoubal District of Manipur.

## 5. METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a survey method to explore secondary school mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics in Thoubal district of Manipur and its impact on the Meitei Pangal students' mathematics achievement. The study was conducted in government/governmentaided and private high schools located in both rural and urban areas of the district where the institutions offered compulsory basic mathematics up to secondary school level. The
primary data were collected from 42 teachers teaching mathematics in Class IX and Class X in 42 secondary schools in the district and 328 secondary school students reading in Class X in these 42 schools.

After getting consent of the parents / guardians and school authority for students' and teachers' involvement in the study as per guidelines, the respondents were approached individually and informed about the purpose and nature of the research with assurance of their complete anonymity. Separate structured questionnaires were administered for the collection of data. After all their doubts were cleared, they were requested to fill up the questionnaire. While the teachers were asked to respond to various attitudinal questions, the students were asked to provide their mathematics marks secured in their last Annual Examination as self-reported scores to assess association of their mathematics achievements with teachers' attitude towards the subject. The secondary data were collected from government offices, Zonal Education Office, schools, books, reports, journals, websites etc. For proper analysis of the collected data, they were processed in the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Suitable statistical techniques like percentage, frequencies, mean, standard deviation, t-test, Pearson's correlation etc. were used for analysis and interpretation of the data.

## 5. OI. Population and Samples

Meitei Pangal population in Though district of Manipur is relatively concentrated in some specific settlement areas only. So, most of the students in the community would get education from nearby schools located in Meitei Pangal areas while some of them may attend schools located in areas of other communities thanks to their popularity as good institutions. The district had 63 high / higher secondary schools which offered mathematics at Class IX and Class X levels. These included 6 Government Higher Secondary Schools, 20 Government High Schools, 13 Govt. Aided High Schools and 24 Private High Schools. Of these, 42 schools including 20 government/ govt-aided schools
(10-rural and 10 -urban) and 22 private schools (11-rural and 11-urban) were selected for the study. The schools are located at Atoukhong, Haoreibi, Irong, Irong Cheshaba, Khangabok, Khekman, Khelakhong, Khongjom, Kshetri Leikai, Langathel, Leisangthem, Lilong, Moijing, Phundrei, Sabaltongba, Sangaiyumpham, Shikhong, Thoubal, Wanging and Yairipok.

The population of the study comprised all the mathematics teachers teaching in Class IX and Class X in these selected 42 schools during the academic session 2019-20. One mathematics teacher from each school was selected randomly as the sample of mathematics teacher. All the students in Class X in these 42 schools comprised the student's population ( $\mathrm{n}=1470$ ) from which a sample of 328 students was drawn by employing random sampling method to enhance accuracy of the study while a sample size of only 305 students was determined at 95 percent Confidence Level and 5 percent Confidence Interval by an online sample size calculator websitehttps://www.surveysystem.com.

## 6. RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS

Joe Relich, Jenni Way and Andrew Martin (1994) found a 6 -factor measurement of teachers' attitude most viable for assessing teachers' attitude towards mathematics and its teaching. These included attitudes towards mathematics teaching (ATMT), self-concept as mathematicians (SCM), mathematics teaching as a male domain (MTMD), usefulness of teaching mathematics (UTM), excellence as a teacher of mathematics (ETM) and other's perceptions as teacher of mathematics (OTM).

Osman Birgin et al. ( 2009) investigated the views of mathematics teachers towards Computer-Assisted Mathematics Instruction (CAMI). They used "CAMI Questionnaire" developed by Yenilmez and Sarer (2007) consisting of thirty 5-point Likerttype items was used as an instrument. This study showed that the views of mathematics teachers towards CAMI were positive.

Despite various tools developed over the years, no comprehensive single published research instrument was found suitable for measuring teachers' attitudes towards teaching of mathematics in the context of Meitei Pangal community. So, based on literature review and research objectives, a questionnaire with a Mathematics Teachers' Attitude Towards Mathematics Scale (MTATMS) was developed in consultation with experts of the research area including the research supervisor.

### 6.01. Mathematics Teachers' Attitude Towards Mathematics Scale (MTATMS)

The Mathematics Teachers' Attitude Towards Mathematics Scale (MAATMS) has a fourfactor structure denoting four attitude components as Sub-scales namely 'Value of Mathematics', 'Expectation from Students', 'Teaching Mathematics, and 'ICT in Teaching Mathematics'.

The MTATMS has 16 questions while each Sub-scale has positively worded and negatively worded items to measure different aspects of teachers' attitude. The MTATMS was assigned weights in the five-point scale by assigning 1 score to Strongly Disagree (SD), 2 scores to Disagree (D), 3 scores to Neutral (N), 4 scores to Agree (A), and 5 scores to Strongly Agree (SA) for the positive statements. The weight was reversed for the negative statements.

Respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement or disagreement with each item. Scores of each item in a Sub-scale were added to get the score for the attitude component represented in that Sub-scale. The sum of scores of all the four Sub-scales was the overall score of the MTATMS. With a possible score range from 16 to 80 , the instrument has 16 as the lowest possible score and 80 as the highest possible score of the overall attitude scale. The mean of the scale points was 3 . Therefore, the response with mean of 3 and above was regarded as favourable attitude while mean of less than 3 as unfavourable attitude. A high score suggest a favourable attitude and a low score suggest an unfavourable attitude towards the subject. Based
on the overall score, the responses were categorised into three levels of attitude variables

- Low, Medium and High as shown in the table below.

Table-1 : Level of Attitude Variables

| Mean Score | Level |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1.00-2.99$ | Low |
| $3.00-4.00$ | Medium |
| $4.01-5.00$ | High |

### 6.02. Reliability Test

A pilot study was conducted on 15 secondary school mathematics teachers to establish the reliability and validity of the Mathematics Teachers' Attitude Towards Mathematics Scale (MTATMS) with the help of statistical techniques. Cronbach alpha coefficients for each attitude dimension in the scale was calculated and Cronbach's Alpha's coefficient for the MTATMS was estimated as 0.752 which

## 7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 7.01. Secondary School Teachers' Attitude towards Mathematics

The data of the individual statements in the Subscales of the MTATMS were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The mean and standard deviation of each statement is stated in the table below. suggested that all components of the attitude scale have reliability and internal consistency.

Table-2 : Descriptive Statistics of Statements in MTATMS

| SI. No. | Statements | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of teaching mathematics |  |  |  |
| 1. | I think mathematics is very important in day to day life. | 4.31 | . 468 |
| 2. | I think mathematics taught in school will help students in real life. | 4.00 | . 000 |
| 3. | I do not think students need to do well in mathematics to develop in life. | 2.90 | 1.008 |
| 4. | I think mathematics should not remain compulsory subject at high school level. | 3.31 | . 841 |
| Mathematics Teaching as a male domain |  |  |  |
| 5. | I think mathematics is for intelligent students. | 2.04 | . 215 |
| 6. | I think mathematics is a male domain. | 2.69 | . 840 |
| 7. | I think boys perform better than girls in mathematics. | 2.09 | . 431 |
| Self-concept of Teaching Mathematics |  |  |  |
| 8. | I think student's mathematics aptitude can be improved. | 3.17 | 1.034 |
| 9. | I think private tuition is necessary for students' mathematics achievement. | 2.17 | . 537 |
| 10. | I have forgotten many of the mathematical concepts that I had learned. | 2.50 | . 773 |
| 11. | I feel teaching mathematics gets monotonous at times. | 1.57 | . 501 |
| ICT in Teaching Mathematics |  |  |  |
| 12. | I think using ICTs motivates students in learning mathematics. | 3.86 | . 521 |


| 13. | I think teaching mathematics through ICT is better than traditional pedagogy. | 3.88 | . 453 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | I feel more confident when I use blackboard rather than ICTs in teaching mathematics. | 2.00 | . 000 |
| 15. | I get easily frustrated when I think of using ICTs in mathematics class. | 2.04 | . 308 |
| 16. | I think teachers may waste time when using ICTs in classroom. | 2.07 | . 345 |

The descriptive statistics show in value of mathematics component of attitude that majority of the teachers agreed with the statements that mathematics is very important in day to day life while they thought that mathematics taught in school would help students in real life. However, majority of them did not agree with the statement that they did not think students need to do well in mathematics to develop in life. And, more than half of them did not agree that they think mathematics should not remain compulsory subject at high school level. Thus, it can be concluded that majority of the participants understood value of mathematics though many of them had negative attitude towards mathematics in terms of need for mathematics for students' success in future.

In terms of attitude component of Expectation from Students, majority of the teachers agreed that mathematics is a male domain and boys perform better than girls in mathematics besides mathematics is for intelligent students. Thus, it can be concluded that majority of the participants had negative attitude towards gender and mathematics in terms of its complexity and gender difference while a small percentage of them had medium level positive attitude.

Regarding self-concept of Teaching Mathematics and related motivation, majority of the participating secondary school teachers had the attitude that student's mathematics aptitude can be improved. Thus, it can be concluded that they had positive attitude towards the subject.

However, majority of them had low attitude towards the subject as evidenced from their agreeing to the statements that private tuition is necessary for students' mathematics achievement. Agreeing to the statement that they had forgotten many of the mathematical concepts that they had learned and they felt teaching mathematics gets monotonous at times underlines their negative attitude towards the subject.

In regard to the attitude variable of ICT in Teaching Mathematics, majority of the teacher participants agreed that using ICTs motivates students in learning mathematics and teaching mathematics through ICT is better than traditional pedagogy. Thus, it can be concluded that they had a positive attitude towards the usage of Information Communication Technology (ICT) in mathematics teaching and learning process. However, their low attitude toward the ICT usage in mathematics teaching was underscored as majority of them felt more confident in using blackboard rather than ICTs in teaching mathematics. Besides, they agreed to the statements that they got easily frustrated in thinking of using ICTs in mathematics class and they thought that they might waste time in using ICTs in classroom.

After the analysis of the individual statements, descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data at the Sub-scale level and the overall attitude Scale. The mean and standard deviation scores for all the Sub-scales and the overall MTATMS are stated in the table below.

Table-3 : Descriptive Statistics of Four Sub-scales and Overall MTATM Scale

| Attitude Component Subscale | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | 95\% CI <br> of the difference | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of mathematics | 3.19 | .262 | Lower 3.11 | Medium |


|  |  |  | Upper 3.27 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expectation from Students | 3.72 | .320 | Lower 3.62 <br> Upper 3.82 | Medium |
| Teaching Mathematics | 2.95 | .338 | Lower 2.86 <br> Upper 3.11 | Low |
| ICT in Teaching Mathematics | 3.92 | .216 | Lower 3.85 <br> Upper 3.99 | Medium |
| Overall MTATM Scale | 3.44 | .136 | Lower 3.40 <br> Upper 3.48 | Medium |

The mean score for Value of Mathematics was found to be 3.19 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $3.11,3.27)$. It shows majority of the teachers had a medium level of attitude in terms of the usefulness of mathematics in life. The sub-scale of Expectation from Students had a mean of 3.72 $(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 3.62,3.82)$. It shows that majority of them had a medium level of attitude in terms of the expectation they had from students for learning mathematics. The mean for Teaching Mathematics was found to be 2.95 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $2.86,3.11)$. It shows that majority of them could not enjoy teaching mathematics. The mean for ICT in Teaching Mathematics was found to be 3.92 ( $95 \%$ CI: $3.85,3.99$ ). It also shows that majority of them had a medium level of attitude towards ICT usage in mathematics teaching. However, very small number of the teachers actually integrated ICTs in their teaching mathematics owing to various reasons. The mean of the overall full MTATM scale was 3.44 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 3.40,3.48$ ). It indicates that the
mathematics teachers had a favorable but medium level attitude towards mathematics.

## 8. MEITEI PANGAL STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT

After finding out the level of mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics from the above data, it is pertinent to find out its association with Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement.

### 8.01. Levels of Mathematics

 AchievementThis study adopts the mark distribution system of the Board of Secondary Education Manipur (BOSEM) and marks in mathematics were grouped into different categories to assess achievement. The categories of marks were - i). Fail (0-32.99\%), ii). 3rd Division (33-44.99\%), iii). 2nd Division (45-59.99\%), iv). 1st Division (60-79.99\%) and v). Letter Mark (80-100\%). These are organized in five different achievement levels as shown in the table below.

Table-4: Level of Achievement in Mathematics

| Marks in \% | Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than 33 | Very Low |
| $33-44.99$ | Low |
| $45-59.99$ | Medium |
| $60-79.99$ | High |
| 80 and above | Very High |

### 8.02. Meitei Pangal Secondary School Students' Mathematics Achievement

Academic achievement is the end product of a learning experience in school and it is the display of knowledge attained or skill developed in the school subjects. The mathematics achievement
of the 328 Meitei Pangal secondary school students from Class X were analysed based on their self-reported marks which they scored in mathematics in their last Annual Examination. Achievement of students in mathematics in terms of marks obtained in mathematics in their
last Annual Examination is shown in the table below.

Table-5 : Respondents' Mathematics Achievement in Last Annual Examination

| Marks (\%) | Frequency | Percent | Division | Result | Perce <br> nage | Achievement <br> level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-32.99$ | 220 | 67.1 | Fail | Failed | 67.1 | Very Low |
| $33-44.99$ | 58 | 17.7 | 3rd Division | Passed | 32.9 | Low |
| $45-59.99$ | 33 | 10.1 | 2nd Division |  |  |  |
| $60-79.99$ | 15 | 4.6 | 1st Division |  |  | High |
| $80-100$ | 02 | 0.6 | Letter Mark |  |  | Very High |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | -- | -- | 100.0 | -- |

The above table shows the number of students failed or passed in mathematics in their last Annual Examination. Majority of the respondents (67.1\%) failed in their last Annual Examination, 17.7 percent achieved low (3rd

Division), 10.1 percent achieved medium (2nd Division), only 4.6 percent achieved high (1st Division), while a meagre 0.6 percent achieved very high (Letter Marks) in mathematics.

### 8.03. Students' Mathematics Achievement Based on Gender

Table-6: Gender wise Mathematics Achievement in Last Annual Examination

| Gender | Fail | 3rd Division | 2nd Division | 1st Division | Letter | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ |
|  | $29.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Female | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ |
|  | $37.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ |
|  | $67.1 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

The above table shows the number of male and female students failed or passed in mathematics in their last Annual Examination. 29.6 percent of male students failed in mathematics and only 20.4 percent of them passed in mathematics. The achievement rate of female students was comparatively low as 37.5 percent of them failed in mathematics while only 12.5 percent of them could pass in mathematics.

## 9. ASSOCIATION BETWEEN

MATHEMATICS TEACHERS'
ATTITUDE TOWARDS
MATHEMATICS AND STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT

To analyze possibility of any correlation between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' achievement in mathematics, the achievement of 328 Meitei Pangal students from Class X in Thoubal district of Manipur were evaluated based on their mathematics marks scored in their last Annual examination. For this a null hypothesis was framed as $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : "There is no significant association between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and the Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement." Accordingly, a correlation analysis was conducted on the data and the result is shown in the table below.

Table-7 : Correlation Analysis

$\mathrm{PC}=$ Pearson Correlation, $\mathrm{ACHM}=$ Achievement in mathematics, $\mathrm{VM}=$ Value of mathematics, ES= Expectation from Students, TM $=$ Teaching Mathematics, ICTM = ICT in Teaching Mathematics, $\mathrm{OA}=$ Overall Attitude Based on Cohen (1988) scale, the results of the correlation analysis show that all the independent variables have positive significant relationship with the dependent variable i.e. achievement in mathematics. The independent variable attitude component Value in mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.081, \mathrm{p}<.005$ ), Expectation from Students ( $\mathrm{r}=.050, \mathrm{p}<.005$ ), Teaching Mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.133, \mathrm{p}<.005$ ), and ICT in Teaching Mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.051, \mathrm{p}<.005$ ) show a positive association with mathematics achievement of the students. Consequently, the Overall Attitude ( $\mathrm{r}=.049, \mathrm{p}<.005$ ) has a strong positive relationship with achievement in mathematics.

The correlation analysis results also show the relationships among the independent variables of attitude. Teaching Mathematics and Expectation from Students have the strongest
positive relationship ( $\mathrm{r}=.333, \mathrm{p}<.001$ ) followed by relation between ICT in Teaching Mathematics and Teaching Mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.202, \mathrm{p}<.001$ ) and the relationship between Value of mathematics and Teaching Mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.141, \mathrm{p}<.001$ ). This was followed by strong relationships between ICT in Teaching Mathematics and Value of mathematics ( $\mathrm{r}=.117, \mathrm{p}<.001$ ). Thus, it is concluded that there was a strong association between mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievements.

The findings are in line with the findings of Aiken (1970) which suggested that teachers' attitudes determine students' attitudes towards mathematics and their performance in it. Besides, teachers' attitudes towards mathematics teaching influence students' attitudes and their mathematics learning. A teacher's attitude towards mathematics itself may affect his or her attitudes towards mathematics teaching which will influence ambience and ideal culture of the mathematics classroom (Ernest, 1989).

According to the findings of Phillips (1973), teachers with a positive attitude towards mathematics were eager to motivate for forming positive attitudes in their students. Teacher's positive attitude towards mathematics is key to students' better achievement (Schofield,1981; Bishop and Nickson,1983).

Research findings of Brush (1981), Carpenter \& Lubinski (1990) and Williams (1988) show that teachers' attitudes and beliefs towards the mathematics would influence the instructional techniques used to teach the subject which may have an effect on students' attitudes. According to Hattie (2009), adequate knowledge of mathematics along with a positive attitude towards the subject are crucial for effective learning and key to academic achievement. Coleman \& Miller (2014) observed that successful teachers help develop in children a positive attitude towards learning by encouraging them to engage in learning, by recognising effort for success rather than ability, and by emphasising on pursuing excellence rather than avoiding failure. They stated that enjoyment in mathematics and attitude were significantly associated with students' achievement which also confirms the results of this study.

### 9.0 I. Testing of Null Hypothesis


#### Abstract

$H_{0}$ : There is no significant association between mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement in Thoubal District of Manipur.


The possible association of the mathematics teachers' attitude score with the marks of the students was checked using Pearson's correlation coefficient in the above correlation analysis. The results of the correlation analysis show that all the independent variables had positive significant relationships with the dependent variable i.e. achievement in mathematics. The correlation between the overall teachers' attitude scores and students’ average marks ( $\mathrm{r}=.292, \mathrm{p}<.001$ ) shows that there
was a significant positive relationship between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and students' achievement in mathematics. Hence, the Null Hypothesis, Ho: "There is no significant association between mathematics teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' mathematics achievement in Thoubal District of Manipur" is not accepted.

## 10. CONCLUSION

From the above findings it can be concluded that the attitudes of majority of the respondents among the secondary school mathematics teachers in Thoubal district of Manipur towards mathematics ranged from medium to unfavourable low level. It shows that teachers' attitude toward mathematics had a bearing on students' achievement in the subject and teachers' positive attitude correlated with students' better achievement while teachers' negative attitude correlated with students' lower achievement in mathematics. Other previous research findings also indicated that teachers' attitudes have potential influence on students even as teachers' positive attitudes shape the positive attitudes of students While majority of them perceived the usefulness of mathematics in daily life, their lack of confidence on their students' in terms of mathematics achievement was associated with Meitei Pangal secondary school students' real low achievement in mathematics. The few teachers who felt comfortable in using ICT in teaching mathematics had better teaching engagement level.

Thus, the findings of the study suggest that there was a significant association between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' achievement in mathematics. This finding of teachers' attitude as a factor has answered the question as to why Meitei Pangal secondary school students could not achieve better in mathematics as expected. As such, improving mathematics teachers' attitude towards the subject will make a difference in increasing Meitei Pangal secondary school students’
mathematics achievement while it is necessary to address other reasons for their failure.

## II. SUGGESTIONS

To improve teachers' attitude towards mathematics, efficient measures should be taken up to increase their self-confidence in teaching mathematics, remind them about the importance of mathematics, and motivate them so that teaching mathematics becomes an enjoyable engagement for enabling students to get better achievement in mathematics. Teachers should be oriented through proper training towards better mathematics teaching methods to keep pace with the changing trends in mathematics education. Efforts to improve attitude of teachers is crucial for improving Meitei Pangal students' attitude towards mathematics at lower level which would lead them to higher studies in mathematics ensuring the community's participation in mathematics-related professions.

This study had some limitations as it had considered the teachers' attitude in isolation in assessing its influence on students' mathematics achievement which could be impacted by many other factors like students themselves, their families, schools environment etc. The samples of teachers, students and schools taken in the study are also small which may affect the results. So, suggestion is made for further research in the field by taking more factors into consideration while increasing the sample size and population of the study for more depth in understanding the association between teachers' attitude towards mathematics and Meitei Pangal secondary school students' achievement in mathematics. The study used only the quantitative data for analysis. So, future research studies can include qualitative data from in-depth interviews and case studies to enhance the result of the study with more depth.
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