

# Evolutionary Stages Of Human Creation Islamic And Modren Approach

Uzma Shahzadi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. HM Azhar Usama (Corresponding Author)<sup>2</sup>, Ayesha Tariq<sup>3</sup>,  
Muhammad rizwan saeed<sup>4</sup>

*PhD Scholar, Institute of Islamic Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan<sup>1</sup>*

*[rizwaandailymedia@gmail.com](mailto:rizwaandailymedia@gmail.com)*

*Assistant Professor, Department of Islamic Studies, the University of Lahore, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan<sup>2</sup>*

*[muhammad.azhar@ais.uol.edu.pk](mailto:muhammad.azhar@ais.uol.edu.pk)*

*PhD Scholar, Institute of Islamic Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan<sup>3</sup>*

*M.Phil Scholar, Department of Islamic Thought and Civilization, UMT, Lahore, Punjab,, Pakistan<sup>4</sup>*

## Abstract

This article is a research review of the evolutionary stages of human creation but presents a vast collection and there is a great body of debate on the various aspects arising from it. All other philosophies that propagate religious agnosticism suffer from the same situation. During the last century and a half, the theory of evolution has taken the cloak of science for its justification, although the theory in the middle of the last century was a so-called Presented as a scientific theory, but so far it has not been confirmed by any consistent system of arguments, experiments or discoveries. Scientific methods such as laboratory experiments and probabilistic calculations have proven that the amino acids on which life is based cannot come into existence by themselves. In the harsh, harsh and extreme weather conditions that followed, it was created by itself, until now with the most sophisticated equipment of the 20th century. Asta cannot be produced even in a laboratory. The evolutionists have made countless excavations all over the world, but despite their frantic efforts, they have not been able to discover any such organism that can be called an intermediate or transitional link between any two species of animals. All these theories will be explained in this paper.

**Keywords:** Evolutionary, Philosophy, Human, Stages, Creation, Scriptures.

## Introduction:

The Qur'an is ancient while science is modern. In the Quranic sciences, the more ancient the matter is, and the more reliable it is. For example, what has reached us regarding the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him) is more reliable, and what the Companions or followers, what has reached us with regard to the Tabieen or those who came after them is relatively less reliable. In science, this is the opposite, that is, what scientists said a hundred years ago is less reliable, while the words

of modern scientists are more reliable. In this way, the discoveries that will be made in the coming era will be more reliable than the scientists of today will. The Quran is a book of guidance, while science is the knowledge of the phenomena of nature.

The purpose is that the main subject of the Qur'an is not science, but the things related to science in it are those that have been explained indirectly for the guidance of humans. However, because it is the word of the Creator, there is no room for error

anywhere in it. Science only. There is knowledge of these facts, which can be felt by the human senses. For example, science is completely silent regarding the jinn, the resurrection, and the punishment after that. Likewise, science is in a position to tell anything about the angels, heaven and hell. No. In the early days, there were many things that the Qur'an used to describe, but science was ignorant of them. As science became more innovative, all those things that were unclear until yesterday came into the scope of science. Although the subject of the Qur'an is man. However, it described everything in the universe, which has also become a beacon for science. Similarly, the Holy Quran explained the ideas regarding the creation of the universe, although it has been a part of the discussion of religions before, but science is the closest to it today. In order to evaluate it, the guidance of the Qur'an itself is necessary.

Thinking about the universe is certainly an important topic of the Quran and modern science has come into existence for this purpose. Many Muslims have become so fond of science because of this analogy of the title that they even started saying that science is the knowledge that came out of the Quran. And the people of Europe learned science from the Muslims, when they used to come to study in the schools of Andalusia. Then the names of scientists began to be forgotten among the Muslims. Books were written under the title of Islam and science, in which common knowledge was written. Some even started laying the foundations of advanced Islamic science and many did not hesitate to prove science to Islam and Islam to science. The slave nations may have been lying in front of their masters in the same way. In all this fiction, we did not have the opportunity to consider that the science that Europe has derived from the Qur'an, those who believe in the Qur'an and those who believe in the Qur'an. Why can't Muslims who believe every single word to be the holy word of Allah derive it from the Qur'an?

The noble Companions, the noble followers and the followers of the followers, the first three distinguished generations of Islam, the imams, the great jurists and the muhaddith, what interpretations of these verses did they present while commenting on the Quran. Before the invention of modern science, in any interpretation and interpretation. These topics can never be discussed in this way!

Any conscious person will consider the environment with his conscience in front, then he will come to the same conclusion that every living and non-living thing in the universe has been created. "The reality of the universe", which is evident from every aspect of the universe, cannot be created by itself. For example, the solar system cannot create itself and bring it into this order, of course, plants and humans did not create themselves and It cannot even be imagined that all of them came into existence by chance.

So everything that we see is created, but nothing that we see can be its own creator. Their creator is separate and superior to them. He is superior to everything visible. It is not visible, but its existence is evident everywhere in the phenomena of the universe.

This is the point at which even those who believe in the existence of God become victims of self-delusion. In fact, these people have been molded into such a mental and intellectual mold that until they see it with their own eyes, to believe in Allah Almighty. Not ready. These people are not deniers of creation, but they are victims of superstitious beliefs regarding the existence of God and his position. Most of them believe that Allah Almighty is somewhere above in the heavens or they think that He is the Creator. Dura sits beyond the stars and rarely interferes in the affairs of the world. They believe that he created the universe and then left people to determine their own destiny.<sup>1</sup>

And then there are some people who have heard that the omnipresence of Allah is mentioned in

the Qur'an, but they cannot understand it correctly. The universe is contained in the form of a tangible gas.

The idea of giving God a being and then having Him exist in a place is a mistake that many people make and because of this they sometimes deny the existence of God. Prejudice has been nurtured. This prejudice is responsible for wrong opinions about God Almighty. Is this prejudice? In fact, it is a bias or one-sided and unfounded opinion regarding the nature of matter. We are so accustomed to assumptions about the existence of matter that we never raise the question whether it is real or just a shadow and a shadow. Modern science has put an end to this and discovered a very important and self-evident truth.

In this regard, if we talk in philosophical terms about the anti-religious people and trends in history, then they can be described as based on materialism. The materialists definitely refuse to accept the reality of creation. are that matter has existed from eternity and it will exist forever as an absolute object.

"Materialism recognizes the eternity and permanence of the world, eternity means that it has no beginning and no end and that it was not created by God and that it is unlimited in time and space."<sup>2</sup>

The reason why materialists place so much emphasis on matter is that they do not want to acknowledge the existence of a creator of the universe. I came and some creator brought it into existence.

At the end of the 20th century, the entire scientific community recognized that matter is not absolute, but rather a starting point. Fifteen billion years ago, a point of "zero" volume exploded and expanded continuously to form the present universe. This event is called the Big Bang. Theoretical physics estimates and observations and experiments confirm this theory.

Today, the most modern view of science is that "the universe came into being from a body" This

is what the Qur'an and all religions state. Moreover, modern science has rejected materialism and its sub-theories. And thus the creation of materialists. The war was out of their hands.<sup>3</sup>

However, the materialists are reluctant to accept that matter is not absolute and the universe is created. In their stubbornness, they did not avoid creating contradictions in science as well. Acknowledging this fact requires faith in the existence of God. and in the case of believing in Allah, it will be necessary to accept the religion and follow it. But religion is basically the name of bowing before the commandments of God. This practice is for people who are overwhelmed by their pride Very painful.

Regarding the creations and existences of the earth, the philosopher put piles of interpretations based on his rationalism, but the theory that was accepted in the nineteenth century AD was the "theory of evolution". and presents hypotheses and imaginative scenarios in terms of mere coincidences to explain existence. The foundations of this philosophy and way of thinking can be traced back to the ancient Greek civilization.

All the philosophies that directly deny creation cling to the theory of evolution and are constantly trying to defend it. While the theory of evolution has taken the cloak of science for its justification, although the theory was presented as a so-called scientific theory in the middle of the last century, it has not yet been confirmed by any systematic argument, experiment or discovery. In fact, the very science that the proponents of this theory wanted to use in their favor is constantly refuting this theory.

Scientific methods such as laboratory experiments and probabilistic calculations have proven that the amino acids on which life is based cannot have come into existence by themselves. And had come into existence by itself in severe climatic conditions, so far it cannot be produced even in a laboratory equipped with the most

sophisticated equipment of the twentieth century. They could not discover any such structure which could be described as an intermediate and transitional link between any two species of animals.<sup>4</sup>

In the process of gathering evidence for evolution, evolutionists have unwittingly and unintentionally gathered evidence that shows that a process like evolution is not possible.

The theory of evolution in the form in front of us today and for which all efforts are being made to defend, a non-professional biologist "Charles Robert Darwin" (Charles Robert Darwin) Darwin first presented his theories in 1859. I presented in my book "The Origin of Species By Means of Natural Selection". Darwin claimed in his book that:

"All the animal items were combined and the source was the same. The animals that have adapted to their environment and have shifted their properties to the next generation are different from their ancestors and at one point they became a separate species. He believes that the most advanced generation in this natural selection process is the human race".<sup>5</sup>

The ideological and political circles of that time immediately adopted the theories based on Darwin's imagination and started promoting them. This theory started to become popular. Until that time, scientific knowledge had not reached the level that Darwin's non-political ideas could be proven false. By that time, subjects like genetics, microbiology and biochemistry had not come into existence. Had they existed, Darwin himself would have known that his theory was meaningless. The information that determines the structure of the species is contained in the genes and it is impossible to create such new species by making changes in the genes through natural selection.

There was still an echo of Darwin's book in the air that in 1865 an Austrian botanist, George Mendel (George Mendel) presented the laws of inheritance. His work was not paid any attention

until the end of the century. The importance of his work. It was rediscovered in the early 1900s, and at the same time theories about genetics began to be proposed. In the 1950s, the DNA molecule was discovered as a means of transferring hereditary information. This discovery threw the theory of evolution into crisis because mere coincidences could not explain the vast amount of information contained in DNA.

Along with these new scientific discoveries, the work done on the theory of evolution also proved that it is almost impossible not to find evidence of gradual evolution of species of living organisms. Despite years of searching, no trace of such animals could be found. Finally, it can be said that they are the middle link between less developed and developed animals.

In this situation, it should have been that the theory of evolution would have been thrown into the dustbin, but this did not happen because there were some circles who wanted to keep it alive as a theory on the scientific scene through frequent changes and modifications in the same theory. The significance of these efforts can be proved only if it is accepted that non-scientific interests of some circles were also associated with this theory.

On continuing this theory, after making some necessary changes, the Egyptian circles maintained the same theory under the name of Neo-Darwinism. According to this new theory, new species of animals came into existence as a result of small changes in genes. Many of them became extinct over time and only those that were fully adapted to their environment survived. Evolutionists began to look for new models of the same theory. Now they presented a model called "Galloping Evolution". This model had no scientific or rational basis. According to this model, it was claimed that living things, suddenly in other species. are divided and during this time no transitional species comes into existence. In fact, such a claim was tantamount to admitting the theory of creation, but evolutionists ran away

from admitting this matter. Continue logical attempts. For example, they claimed that the first bird in the history of life without any Jah and suddenly found from the eggs of a reptile. He says that there is no need to explain the reason for this change, similarly he claims that the terrestrial carnivores without passing through transitional changes suddenly became giant whales.<sup>6</sup>

All these claims of evolutionists contradict the principles of genetics, biochemistry and biophysics, and are as unscientific as the transformation of frogs into princes in fairy tales. This gap cannot be explained by the neo-Darwinian model, but it would be counterintuitive to claim that a reptile was a The first bird appeared from the egg of . Information can neither improve nor add to it. Mutation can only create chaos in genetic information. So if the total mutation proposed by the new equilibrium model of evolution is accepted, it means total imitation. Instead, there will be total destruction because any such genetic change in the genetic information This new model of evolution was a figment of the imagination. Despite this obvious flaw, evolutionists valued this new model because they were not prepared to accept that Paleontological evidence does not support Darwin's theory. Darwin's theory was that species changed gradually and gradually. If Darwin's claim was true, then such fossils would have been discovered that were half-bird and half-reptile, but despite Such transitional forms could not be discovered after extensive excavations and the discovery of millions of rocks.

The evolutionists presented this new model of the theory of evolution to cover up their failure in the form of fossils. Therefore, this model could not prove itself sufficient. Therefore, this form of escaping from the facts gradually ended. Today's evolutionists have discovered that complex organs such as eyes, wings, lungs, and the brain cannot be the result of gradual evolution. So they use these particular difficult points in their model of evolution to prove their point. Keep making

changes that are against both logic and common sense.

### **Evolution of Species:**

According to the theory of evolution, a gradual process spanning millions of years is needed to change one species into another. From this claim, a logical conclusion can be drawn that there must be traces of transitional creatures that flourished during this period of change and since every Species came into existence gradually and over a long period of time, so there must have been a large number of these transitional forms. If such creatures had really existed, we should have found their remains somewhere. And if this way of thinking If this were true, the number of traces and remains of these transitional creatures should be far greater than the species alive today, and their remains should have been excavated in every part of the world.

Ever since the time of Darwin, evolutionists have been searching for fossils, but to their dismay, they have not found any fossils on land or in the sea that could be described as transitional forms between the evolutionary stages of any two species. could

Darwin himself was aware that such a transitional form did not exist, but he had high hopes that in time experts would be able to find such structures, despite this hope he knew that all the obstacles in the way of his theory This is the biggest obstacle, he writes in his book "The Origin of the Species".

"If it is true that the inuates gradually evolved after generations, then why don't we get the interim signs? This theory should have many transient creatures and we should find their structures in the earth, but we don't see these transient forms. in the rest of the world, and this difficulty has kept me in the state for a long time".<sup>7</sup>

Darwin's worry was not without reason, evolutionists are still worried about this problem. The famous British scientist "Derck V Ager" describes this situation as follows.

"When we study the re-entry in detail, we do not receive evidence of gradual evolution, but it seems that the second group suddenly appeared after the group was exposed".<sup>8</sup>

"When we study the Rakazi record in detail, we don't find evidence of gradual evolution, but rather a sudden emergence of another group after one group became extinct."

Regarding this gap in the Raqqazi record, one cannot be satisfied by saying that a sufficient number of Raqqas have not been discovered yet and some day or the other such Raqqas will be found. Nawil George argues that:

There is no need to be apologetic about the inadequacy of the archetypes. This gap is regularly present in the records found so far."

An example of this is the very important evidence of evolution that has been rejected. 420 million year old fish fossils reject evolutionists' claim that humans are the result of evolutionary steps, in terms of how fish evolved from water. Moved onto land, the fact that there are over 40 living examples of this fish and that it has been captured several times over the past 150 years is proof that it is a complete fish that is still alive today." Left "A 135-million-year-old fossil of the Archaeopteryx, which is said to be the ancestor of birds, and which is said to have evolved from dinosaurs. Research on this fossil revealed It turns out that it is an unborn bird that used to fly.<sup>9</sup>

### **Reality of Life and Philosophers:**

When we examine the fossils found in the Earth's strata, we find that living organisms appeared suddenly and simultaneously. The oldest layer of the earth in which the remains of living creatures

are found belongs to the Camerian period. This layer is about 530 to 520 million years old.

The creatures found in the Cimmerian period appeared suddenly and there are no traces in the previous layer that could be called the ancestors of the Cimmerian period creatures. The species of living things found in it are so many and these diverse creatures are complicated that their appearance This is called the Cimmerian strain.

The organisms found in this layer of the earth are equipped with complex and advanced structures such as eyes, wings and blood circulation. There is no evidence in human remains that any ancestors of these creatures may have existed. The famous magazine "Earth Science" The editor of "Richard Monestarsky" writes on the sudden appearance of living things like this:

"Half a billion years ago, we had a lot of complex animals that we see today. Some 550 million years ago, when the earth entered the Cameron era, there was an evolutionary explosion and all the oceans were filled with the first complex beings. Most of today's animals have evidence of their presence in the Cameraan era and they were as familiar and familiar as they are today".<sup>10</sup>

Evolutionists have no answer to the question of how the earth suddenly became populated with different species of animals. To answer this question, they postulate a hypothetical epoch twenty billion years before the Cameran epoch in which The event of the sudden emergence of life with its diversity took place. These experts call this period the evolutionary gulf. I'm wrapped up. In 1984, some fossils of complex unnatural animals were found in the southwestern province of China "Qingjian". Some of these animals have become extinct today. .

The Swedish evolutionist "Stephan Bengston" (Stephan Bengston) writes clarifying this situation:

"If there is a human being created in history, then that is the process of suddenly diversifying the marine life, which has dominated the environment and evolution of marine life. This also made Darwin nervous and it was causing us a problem".<sup>11</sup>

The sudden emergence of complex living organisms without any ancestors was as surprising to Darwin 135 years ago as it is to today's experts. Couldn't take a step forward.

However, it has been proved by archeology that living things did not evolve in such a way that they traveled from simple to complex, but they were born in such a complete form. The absence of transitional form and essence. Not only is it characteristic of the Cameran period, but there is no evidence of any evolutionary development to suggest that it became a particular transitional form in between.

In other words, you will create living things, not through evolution.

### **Degrees of theory of evolution:**

Most of those who try to find evidence for the theory of evolution rely on the archeological evidence, if carefully examined without prejudice, it turns out that the archeological evidence does not support the theory of evolution, but rejects it. By giving a subjective interpretation, they give the impression in front of the common people that the Rakaza relics are evidence of the theory of evolution. Those who apply the doubts found in relation to some Rakaza to all the Rakaza relics in such a way that their claim is correct. It seems to be proven. The fact is that the remains that are excavated cannot be

satisfactorily identified. Generally, these remains consist of incomplete fragments of fragmented bones. Interpretation becomes possible. So it is not surprising that evolutionists based on these assumptions put forward the diagrams that support evolution. Looking at the diagrams, one begins to believe that such creatures must have really existed in the past.

These diagrams, based on studies of bone remains, highlight only the general characteristics of these organisms, the only real difference being the soft tissue that has disappeared over time. But anyone can create a speculative form according to their imagination. Professor of Harvard University (Ernest A. Hutton) explains this situation as follows:

"The construction of soft parts is more difficult. Bones cannot be found about the lips, eyes, ears and nose. You can build a monkey and a philosopher's face with a uniform on a tender skull. The scientific scope of the theories of such ancient and early human existence is very small. Such theories are usually the cause of the error of the al-Naas. So building complete animals based on the remnants of the stoic is not a danger".<sup>12</sup>

"Constructing the soft parts is more difficult. The bones of the lips, eyes, ears, and nose do not yield any information. You can construct the face of an ape and a philosopher with equal ease on a Neanderthal skull. The scientific value of such ancient and primitive species is very little if any. Such theories are misleading to the general public. Therefore, constructing a complete fauna based on fossil speculations is not without danger. Is".

### Stages of human evolution:

In 1912, an English physician and amateur archaeologist, Charles Dawson, announced that he had found an ancient skull and jaw in a pit near Piltdown. It was said that both of them are 500,000 years old. The person who had this skull was named Piltdown Man. For more than forty years, the investigation continued on this man. It was the skull. Many papers were written on it. On the basis of this skull, portraits of the Piltdown Man and his family were made. This skull was presented as decisive evidence for the theory of evolution.

In 1949, scientists re-examined the skull and concluded that the person who claimed to have discovered it had deliberately fraudulently combined an "orangutan jaw" with a human skull. Using the fluorine radiation method, the researchers discovered that the skull was not more than a few thousand years old. Jaw teeth belonging to a species of ape were artificially chipped and stone tools were found nearby, originally iron tools. The experiment was later carried out again in 1953 and the hoax was revealed to the public that the skull was actually that of a 500-year-old man and that the jaw had been attached to it later. was dipped in dichromate to bring out the special color of the old bone. When this bone was dipped in water, its original spots disappeared. A member of the research team could not hide his surprise at this and forced to say:

"The artificial grinding was immediately visible. It was so obvious that it was so long hidden from view that it was surprising in itself."<sup>13</sup>

### Nebraska Man:

In 1922, Henry Fairfield, director of the American Museum of Natural History, announced that he had found a molar near Snakeburg in western Nebraska. The tooth has characteristics of both human and ape teeth. A long debate started on this. Some people said that it is related to an early human "Pithecanthropus

Frectus". He was considered to be closer to modern man. However, in this dispute, this controversial man was named "Nebraska Man". It was soon given its scientific name, "Hesperopithecus Haroldcooki".<sup>14</sup>

Many experts supported Fairfield's theory. Based on this single tooth, the Nebraska Man's entire face and body were created, and fictitious images of him and his entire family were created.

In 1927, the remaining part of this structure was also discovered. According to the newly discovered pieces, the investigation proved that this tooth was neither human nor ape. The experts finally came to the conclusion that this tooth was found in America. The inhabitants belong to a breed of pig that was once extinct.

### Study of Evolutionary Stages in Man and Monkey:

Regarding the stages of evolution, Charles Darwin says:

"According to the evolutionary theory, modern humans and monkeys are the children of the same ancestors. Over time, one part of the descendants of these ancestors evolved and became human beings of today".<sup>15</sup>

According to the theory of evolution, modern humans and monkeys are descendants of the same ancestors. Over time, a part of the descendants of these ancestors evolved and became today's humans and the other part became today's Ban Mans.

Evolutionists have given the name "Australopithecus" to the supposed ancestor of man and manus, which means the manus of South Africa. As far as the alleged ape is concerned, it is a species of manus that is now extinct. Chiki. Similar species are still found. Some of them are

strong and massive while others are small and slender.

Evolutionists have named the next stage of human evolution as "Homo", which means human. According to evolutionists, the species "Homo" are relatively advanced compared to "Australopithecus" but slightly older than modern humans. They are not different. These experts believe that today's man ie "Homo Sapiens" came into existence at the last stage of evolution.

The fact of the matter is that in this hypothetical scenario created by evolutionists, the "Australopithecus" person is an extinct species, while the humans they describe as different links of the "Homo" chain actually lived in the past. There were humans who became extinct.

Evolutionists have arranged the various fossils of monkeys and humans in order of size from largest to smallest and used them in their plan of human evolution. However, scientific facts have stated that these fossils are not There is no evidence of evolution. Some of these fossils are of real monkeys and some of real humans. If there is any difference, it is only in size. Other than that, there is no evidence of any real difference.<sup>16</sup>

### **Australopithecus Extinct Apes:**

Evolutionists claim that Australopithecus is the ancient ancestor of modern humans. Although the skull of this ancient creature is similar to that of modern man, its braincase is relatively small. Evolutionists say that this creature walks on two legs, so it is Can be considered the ancestor of modern man.

The movements of humans and monkeys are completely different. Humans are the only creatures that can walk freely on two legs. A similar limited ability is found in some other organisms, but they are mainly structured for curved gait.

According to evolutionists, Australopithecus whose gait was as straight as that of today's man.

They called him the ancestor of the present man, basing his gait on straight two feet.

The denial of the ability of Australopithecus to walk on two feet was also first brought out by evolutionists. After a detailed study of these structures, it was declared that Australopithecus was closer to apes than to humans.

In 1970, "Charles E. Oxnard" (Charles E. Oxnard) announced after detailed study that its structure is similar to today's "Orang-Utan".

The discovery that really disappointed evolutionists was that Australopithecus could not walk on two legs, even in a crouched fashion. New biology has proven that Australopithecus has to spend a lot of energy to walk like this. Therefore, it is unlikely to walk on two legs. In 1996, an English biologist "Robin Crompton" Computer diagrams proved that "such a combination maneuver is not possible".<sup>17</sup>

Animals either walk upright or on all fours. It is not possible to alternate between these two ways of walking for long periods of time because it is a huge waste of energy. In short, australopathy So he used to walk bent over and not on two feet.

The strongest proof that australopathy does not walk on two feet was presented in 1994 by an expert in science, "Fred Spoor", who is the chairman of the "Department of Human Anatomy and Cellular Biology" of the "University of Liverpool" in England.<sup>18</sup>

This group investigated bipedalism in hibernating organisms. Their research revolved around a device inside the ear that balances the bipedal gait. But proved that australopathy cannot be a double-edged sword. Their results denied that this animal is human-like.

### **Homo Series: The Original Human (Homo Series)**

The next destination of imaginary human evolution is Homo (Homo), that is, the human lineage. This creature was originally human and was not different from today's modern human. The only difference was that these humans

belonged to different races. Evolutionists greatly exaggerated the small differences in their physical structure and considered them to be separate species rather than distinct species. They were like us.

According to evolutionists' imaginative scenario, Homo can be divided into these subspecies according to the evolution of the human species. First is "Homo Erectus" followed by "Homo Sapien Archaic" and "Nanderthal Man" followed by "Cro Mangnan Man" and lastly modern man. Contrary to what evolutionists claim, all of the species they miss are actually humans. Let's first examine "Homo erectus," which evolutionists consider the oldest of the human species.

"Turkana Boy" is the most clear evidence that Homo Erectus is not an ancient species. According to experts, it is the oldest of the remains of Homo Erectus. It is estimated. This twelve-year-old boy will be 73.1 meters tall. The standing structure of this rock is not different from that of today's man. The most important proof of this is that Homo erectus is actually the human race today. Evolutionist "Richard Leakey" (Richard Leakey) writes comparing Homo erectus and modern man.

"The observer will see the skull's eyesight, the angle of the face and the bone of the eyebrows. None of these things are dramatically different from the modern man. If there is a difference, it is only as much as it is found among different generations of human beings today. When a population is geographically isolated for a long time, such differences become normal".<sup>19</sup>

Leakey means that "Homo Erectus" is only as different from today's man as "Negro" is from

today's "Dyskimo". It can also appear as a result of living together for a long time.

A proof that "Homo Erectus" is not a separate species is that some of the fossils discovered are between twenty-seven thousand and some thirteen thousand years old. The former was discovered in the island of "Java" while the latter Found in Kow, Australia. These fossils show that Homo Erectus also lived in our recent past, but they were human beings like us and not different from us. Some sort of subspecies. It's just that for some reason that species died out.

### **Archaic Homo Sapien and Nanderthal Man:**

Archaic Homo is numbered immediately before modern man in the imaginary evolutionary diagram. There is so much difference between modern man and "archaic homo" that experts can barely describe it. Some researchers believe that representatives of this race still exist today as the original inhabitants of Australia. Similar to "Archaic Homo Spain", these Australians also have raised eyebrows and relatively small skull size. Some other research shows that until recent times, some such people were also found in some villages of "Hungary and Italy". go

Evolutionists have identified the structures found in the Neander Valley in the Netherlands as Neanderthal man. Experts believe that modern humans also have their descendants. Recent discoveries show that these people were fond of melody. They used to bury their dead.

In fact, the Neanderthal race had superiority over modern humans in some respects, not only their skulls were bigger, but their bodies were also stronger and they were relatively tall.

According to Trinkaus, a theologian:

"The bones of the lower-tall and the legs were stronger than the modern man. The bones discovered by them show that modern humans could never have achieved

such power. This was the same world of physical strength in their children and women".<sup>20</sup>

In short, the "Nanderthal Man" was also a race of humans that merged with other humans over time.

All these factors show that the scenario of human evolution is a figment of the imagination of evolutionists and is not even remotely related to reality. Man was always human and apes were a separate species from the beginning and even today.

### Conclusion:

After the failure of the theory of evolution in every field, eminent microbiological researchers have begun to accept the reality of creation. is a part of creation. This is a fact that cannot be denied. The scientists who have arrived at this fact have worked with an open mind and have proposed the theory of "Intelligent Design". The leader of these scientists is Michael. Michael J. Behe, while acknowledging the absolute existence of a Creator, has commented on those who deny this fact:

"The work on real life at the level of the open and the financial has clearly and unambiguously declared its results. This conclusion is so important that it can be called the most important discovery of science without fear. The slogan of Ureka, or Paa, should be raised from 10,000 circles on this discovery".

"The work done on the reality of life at the cellular and molecular level has clearly and unequivocally announced its results. This result is

so important that it can without doubt be called the most important discovery in the history of science. On this discovery, the slogan of ``Eureka" should be raised simultaneously from ten thousand circles.

But there is no celebration of any kind. Instead, a mysterious silence has been adopted on the complexity of the cell. When this matter is brought before the general public, it is difficult to accept the situation. But people feel secure in their place. Many people clearly show their positive attitude towards this situation by their actions and movements. For most of them, the situation is a source of satisfaction. Why are they reluctant to accept the facts? Why do they not want to accept the conscious creation of life? The real problem is that in order to accept the conscious creation, they will also have to believe in God.

Today, many people probably do not know that if they do not believe in God, what they have to believe in the alternative is just falsehood in the name of science. created from "

considered unscientific, forced to believe that the first living things arose millions of years ago as a result of "sediments" and lightning.

As natural factors are so great in number and so delicately balanced that it would be absolutely irrational to believe that they were arranged as such by mere chance. Those who cannot rid themselves of this irrational and illogical behavior, no matter how hard they try, cannot deny the signs of Allah on the earth and in the heavens. Allah is the One who created the heavens and the earth. Everything that exists between them. The signs of the presence of Allah Ta'ala cover the entire universe.

### References:

- <sup>1</sup> Johar, Mohammad Deen, Al-Haad, Kitab Mahal, Lahore, 2018, p: 120
- <sup>2</sup> Harun Yahya, Universe, Theory, Time and Destiny, p.6

- <sup>3</sup> Andrew Lange, The Oxford Elementary Encyclopedia of Science and Technology, Oxford University Press, 2010, p.56

- <sup>4</sup> Haroon Yahya, The Theory of Evolution is an Illusion, Anza Publishers, Rawalpindi, 1998, p:87

---

<sup>5</sup> Charles Robert Darwin, The Origin of Species, Vintage Classics, UK, 2009, P:122

<sup>6</sup> Harun Yahya, When Life Began, Muktaba Rahmaniya, Lahore, 2012, p:34

<sup>7</sup> Charles Robert Darwin, The Origin of Species, P:82

<sup>8</sup> Derck V Ager, The Evolution of Life History, Capman & Hall Publishing, UK, 1992, P:98

<sup>9</sup> Haroon Yahya, Theory of Time and Destiny, Islamic Research Center, Pakistan, 2014, p.84

<sup>10</sup> Richard Monestarsky, Earth Science, New York Times Books, 1999, P:117

<sup>11</sup> Stephan Bengston, Family Theory and Research, Sage Publishers, New Delhi, 2005, P:211

<sup>12</sup> Ernest A. Hutton, The Evolution, New York Press, 1977, P:153

<sup>13</sup> Will Doudant, The Evolution of Human Civilization, Fiction House Mazing, Lahore, 2014, p:143

<sup>14</sup> Henry Fairfield, Evolution and Religion, C. Scribner's son, 1923, Page: 15

<sup>15</sup> Charles Robert Darwin, The Origin of Species, Page: 82

<sup>16</sup> Ghiyas Chaudhry, The Universe and Us, Technical Publishers, Lahore, 1994, p:55

<sup>17</sup> Harun Yahya, The Theory of Evolution is a Fraud, p.87

<sup>18</sup> Fred Spoon, Evolving Primates, University of Amsterdam Press, 2006, P:42

<sup>19</sup> Richard Leakey, The Origin of Humankind, Orion Press, 213, Page: 120

<sup>20</sup> Erik Trinkaus, The Shanidar Neandertal, Elsevier Sciences, 2014, P:295