

Role Of Sports In Boosting National Economy: A Comparison Of Developing And Developed Countries

Dr. Mohibullah Khan Marwat¹, Dr. Rahila Nizami², Hummaira Farah³, Muhammad Imran Khan⁴, Yasir Ali⁵, Samera Saman⁶, Sofia Saba⁷

¹Professor, Department of Sports Sciences & Physical Education, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore, Email: mohibullah.khan@ed.uol.edu.pk

²Assistant Professor, Department of Sports Sciences & Physical Education, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore, Email: rahilanizami@gmail.com

³Lecturer, Department of Sports Sciences & Physical Education, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore, Email: hummaira.farah@sps.uol.edu.pk

⁴Assistant Professor, Higher Education Department KP, Pakistan, mik.kba@gmail.com

⁵Lecturer Physical Education Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan., yasir.ali@kfueit.edu.pk

⁶PhD Scholar, Department of Sports Sciences & Physical Education, Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan, Email: samerasaman83@gmail.com

⁷M.Sc., Zoology, Bio-Chemistry Teacher, Bahria Foundation College, Bhara Kahu, Islamabad, Pakistan., sufyan123khan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: It is in many cases contended that major games can go about as center sectorial exercises, invigorating financial improvement in a nearby local area by infusing new spending created by drawing in vacationers to an area. Nonetheless, such a view difficulties conventional monetary hypothesis which expects that an economy should procure outside pay, through item trades, to develop. The customary financial hypothesis thinks about that development must be accomplished by developing essential and auxiliary monetary exercises, like assembling. Subsequently, administration area exercises, for example, sports are excused as too futile to even think about being "vehicles" of development, fundamentally on the grounds that they are viewed as "parasitic" and just ward exercises that flow, don't produce pay. **Objectives:** This study was conducted with two objectives: firstly, to review the literature on the evaluation of major sports events and secondly, to assess the costs and benefits on the level of the developing countries.

Methodology: This paper surveys past work by different analysts on Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) like the World Series, as well as global occasions like the World Cup and the Olympic Games. Free scientists collectively find that projections of the drivers of the financial effect of MSEs exaggerate the genuine monetary effect of these rivalries overwhelmingly. Other than that, the author's discourse is additionally given. Specifically, consideration was centered on the conditions looked by agricultural nations facilitating these occasions. **Conclusion:** This review suggests that, in most cases, mega sporting events are an even worse investment for developing countries than for industrialized countries.

Key Words: Sports, Mega Sporting Events, Economic Development, Developing Countries, Developed Countries

Introduction

The starting point to understand the relationship between sports and economic development is to look at the core benefits of sports from the outlook of individual requirements. To widen our point of view regarding the understanding of sports in economic development, it is crucial to focus on the humanistic aspects of sport. This can be in terms of a way to achieve a standard of health and enjoyment and as a way to strengthen character and boost confidence, thus instilling in the youth the ability to face challenges in a competitive world. It can also be understood in terms of a national point of view i.e., to look at sports in a way to resolve conflicts between nations and create unity and solidarity within a nation. For instance, sports talents have been seen as a way to bridge gaps within countries where tribalism exists. However, despite its benefits, many countries do not give due credit to sports for being a means of creating healthy citizens, performing at optimal capacity and contributing to a flourishing economy. To clearly understand this, it is important to view sports as a national strategic development in terms human resources and development. However, there is a lack of research to the benefit of sports in economic development.

One contention is that major sporting events (MSEs) contain essential area exercises that support financial improvement in a neighborhood local area by permitting use produced through the travel industry as detailed by Ito and Iwata, (2016). Nonetheless, this contention challenges the customary financial hypothesis that expects that an economy should be developed through outside pay and commodity of items as revealed by Langer, (2017). This hypothesis centers on essential and optional financial exercises like assembling. In light of these exercises like games are ignored in the help area as a pointless vehicle of monetary development, significantly in light of the fact that they are taken a gander at as parasitic

and generally reliant upon exercises that flow, rather than produce pay.

Appropriately, the help area exercises, for example, sports are excused as excessively trivial to be vehicles of development, basically in light of the fact that they are seen as parasitic and simply subordinate exercises which course, not create pay. The speculation that MSEs can support monetary development and improvement by drawing in cash stays less analyzed. To be sure, at present, there exists essentially nothing to medium exploration on the monetary worth, job and capability of MSEs. There have been a few endeavors to evaluate the worth of sports; in any case, the job of MSEs in financial improvement isn't adequately explored as revealed by Dolan et al. (2016), albeit this goes against the ethos of drawing in MSEs since a significant defense for facilitating such occasions depends on the degree of the advantages related, particularly those connecting with the monetary effect and neighborhood monetary development.

Sorting out MSEs is definitely not a novel thought as different occasions have been coordinated in a couple of nations since long, as revealed by Groothuis, (2016). For instance, the Commonwealth Games, Cricket world cup, Football world cup, Olympics, and Rugby world cup that contain global games are most-watched occasions all over the world. In the UK, endeavors have been made to draw in MSEs for various reasons. Now and again, the hidden reasoning for drawing in MSEs isn't associated with simply wearing desires, rather the objective of neighborhood financial recovery. This is in many cases a consequence of neighborhood political convenience and the utilization of sports as an instrument during the time spent nearby financial development and improvement as detailed by Mitchell (2015). Urban areas rival each other for financial benefit, higher business levels, and better exchange and venture amazing open doors. Simultaneously, there is political

acknowledgment of the effect produced by the games the travel industry at an occasion. Occasions like this have been perceived as energizers in further supporting monetary action in a locale.

Research has demonstrated that MSEs have sweeping advantages i.e., age of net financial additions, upgrades in foundation, internal speculation, and natural enhancements, raised guest numbers and uplifted city profile at the worldwide level as revealed by Osada et al. (2016). These variables fortify the legitimization of expenditure public cash on putting together these occasions. In the UK, MSEs have been coordinated since the mid 1900's, yet it is solely after the mid-1980's that the most common way of offering for and putting together MSEs in the UK has become all the more an organization between nearby specialists and the public overseeing groups of sports. This organization has likewise gone about as an impetus to neighborhood improvement procedures. Modern transformation and change in the 1980's for monetary advancement has is likewise connected to the utilization of sports and the fascination of MSEs. After some time, their financial advantages have been extended regarding picture improvement, the travel industry/business advancement, physical, socio-social, brandishing and political results.

A drawn out point of view on the consequence of putting resources into MSEs is critical to understanding a diverse recovery procedure, based around sports that can go about as a contributing variable for monetary improvement in created nations. Absence of intelligent exploration has prompted a hypothesis about the idea of financial effect associated with various sorts of MSEs and the sorts of guests to the host country. Countries must comprehend the job of MSEs inside supportive of development monetary methodologies to illuminate future key preparation and the distribution of a country's

scant assets. This paper planned to distinguish the job of MSEs in the financial improvement of a country.

Literature Review

Organizing the Olympics and the World Cup conveys gigantic costs for a host country, and at the same time conceivably get colossal prizes. For example, the FIFA expects that the country working with the World Cup should give no less than 8 and preferably 10 present day fields which are prepared for seating 40K to 60K spectators. In 2002, the commonly worked with World Cup by South Korea and Japan, each country proposed to give 10 separate fields. Since neither one of the countries had a gigantic, made starting point for a MSE, South Korea expected to build 10 new fields which cost it around US\$2-B, while and Japan developed 7 new fields and fixed 3 others, which cost them something like US\$4-B. While the total hypothesis for new establishment in Japan stays dark, a couple of inspectors report that the utilization was more than US\$750-B as reported by Rio, (2016).

The rounds of Olympics are similarly costly and both the mid-year and winter occasions require explicit foundation to oblige different the occasions as a whole. For example, Atlanta consumed US\$550-M for direct construction updates for these occasions, while Nagano consumed US\$ 1.3-B on the 1998 winter rounds (Scandizzo et al., 2017), and Beijing clearly spent more than US\$ 18-B on foundation redesigns completely expecting the 2008 summer rounds as proclaimed by Panopoulos (2016). The working expenses of a MSE are colossal and continue to make. For instance, in the United States, setting ready for prosperity tries alone can cost around a colossal number of dollars. Plus, the working expenses of a MSE for the mid-year and winter rounds for the most part beat billions as organized by Eleni (2014). With uses of this degree, the solicitation that becomes key is whether the monetary effect of an occasion, even one the size

of the World Cup or the rounds of Olympics, compromises the host country for the extensive foundation and gigantic working expenses?

At the same time, as referred above, working with these MSEs can in like manner potentially get colossal prizes. Different monetary impact assessments organized by event supports have expected money related rewards from working with the World Cup and Olympics.

The maker similarly investigated the World Cup (1994) including metropolitan district pay data and found that the economies of the ten host metropolitan networks experienced money related improvement US\$4.2-B not precisely would ordinarily have been typical for these metropolitan locales regardless of the way that the allies expected an all out US\$4.2-B constructive outcome. The support behind why there is a wide difference between the ex-bet figures given by event advertisers and the ex post numbers evaluated by financial scientists is one that is tricky and should concern public specialists betting on an immense traveler flood to pay for the costs of working with these events.

A few reasons propose that the expansion in direct consumption because of the games probably won't be a net positive all things considered. Direct consumption has been assessed by certain promoters of government assistance by adding all receipts connecting with the event, as the complete use approach overlooks the treatment of lessening use which can be derived straightforwardly from the event, it screens the absence of Phenomenal hypothesis and values. Spending on the MSE avoids spending that would have happened in any occasion in light of the fact that progressive tenants bought passes for the event, as opposed to spending the money on various practices in adjacent economies. Monetary specialists suggest the powerlessness to address this key limit in gross and net spending, which is a significant authenticity for why these events can't add to

metropolitan economies as their accomplices guarantee (David, 2015).

Computing the expenses of tenants of the host area is by all accounts continually answering a gigantic wellspring of patterns in the evaluation of direct purposes. Structures for use by periodic guests, enhanced by on location solicitations, and ought to feel like a sensible technique for overseeing direct objective examination positively. The general allure of the World Cup or the Olympics is plainly because of a blend of complete consumptions and all out net use given the huge number of individuals coming from various nations, as Christiansen (2014) brings up. An overall occasion can be portrayed as a washout when seen according to an overall point of view while right now areas of strength for rehearsing to be monetarily reasonable for the host country. Feddersen (2013a) brings up that spending on a base game is viewed as item spending on the grounds that the greater part of this spending is taken up by individuals beyond town and country. Sadly, while client consumption evaluations can give great spending assessments to the people who ruin their possibilities, such a framework doesn't give knowledge into changes in spending. of neighborhood tenants who don't visit once in a while. It is conceivable that a few tenants will change their spending plans influentially during the period given by the MSE with the craving to try not to join the boundaries.

The check looking at the cash related effect of the pre-summer Olympics in Sydney in 2000, show the replacement impact might be immense even in conditions where the MSE has a conspicuous overall person. An accentuation on lodging movement in Sydney and other capital metropolitan relationship ahead of time and during the MSE wrapped up: As expected, focus on results show overwhelmingly by far by far most of Sydney inns outfoxing at close to 100

percent inhabitations during the MSE time period as uncovered by Maennig (2016).

This report shows the meaning of the replacement impact, and powers contemplated which, if any, regulative substances ought to be gotten with supporting MSE. Sydney's benefits could well have come to the lack of other Australian metropolitan affiliations, and in the event that the central government keeps up with the MSEs there should be a reasoning for additional making Sydney to the hindrance of Adelaide and other close by metropolitan region.

A second help for the bowing of financial effect could the huge number out impact. Occasion voyagers may on a very fundamental level supplant different pioneers who could dependably visit the host scenes. A typical report system for overseeing investigating cash related effect will see tremendous guests to a MSE, yet will neglect to see those standard guests who are taken out as point by point by Armstrong (2015).

The replacement and party out impacts show up, clearly, to be uncommonly evidently certain. Client things, for example, TVs and outside blueprints sold well, while express wagering clubs and lodgings had drop-offs as run of the mill clients and business swashbucklers stayed away from World Cup disturbs (Joens, 2012). A last explanation cash related effect might be misshaped affiliation centers with what financial specialists suggest as the multiplier, the probability that catalyst burning through expansions start additional developments of heading considering extended benefit that happen pondering extra spending.

The doorway cost of capital for field improvement in Japan is basically zero since the other conceivable spending projects are other than ordinarily seen as white elephants (Kavetsos, 2014). How much truly developed sports working circumstances address a good MSE depends not just on the fast cash related effect of the super occasion yet what's more on

the utilization of the work environment after the MSE. As sports and redirection is an abundance fair, the interest for sports structure in the result of the MSE will clearly be lower in non-present day countries than in made nations. South Korea, for instance has not many designs for its ten sparkling new fields past conceivable music and undeniable new developments or incidental all things considered matches. Just five of the ten fields right now have standard inhabitants, and these parties seldom draw head get-togethers (Maennig, 2012).

Basically a solitary out of every single odd part memorable to non-current nation's kills the cash related progress of a MSE. Regardless, the extremely low wages of non-present day countries lower working and foundation costs. The impact working money related course of action of the 2004 Summer Games in Athens of US\$1.72-B is lower than the US\$1.98-N spent by Sydney four years sooner and the US\$2.5-B spent by Atlanta (1996). Beijing is proposing a US\$1.62-B spending plan for 2008 notwithstanding foundation invigorates (Papoutzis, 2014). While low wages truly decline a host city's capacity to charge rich costs for neighborhood tenants or nearby guests, lower pay don't attach a host's capacity to charge well off new guests pointless costs for housing, dinners, and tickets.

Then, while edifying business area specialists are fundamentally broad in their review that specific games foundation truly pushes toward nothing to move cash related improvement of a MSE consistently spike spending on non-sports related structure that could oblige future financial new turn of events. Just a pointless piece of Beijing's arranged US\$20-B in foundation updates will be spent on sports work environments. Essentially, generally US\$452-B of the assessed US\$4.4-B in foundation work being embraced by Portugal thoroughly expecting the Euro 2004 is being spent clearly on field improvement (Meza, 2019).

A MSE could incite in spite of hesitant public experts into making requiring general foundation redesigns. Conflicting with the standard side of the coin, there is, obviously, not an apparent motivation to see that overall foundation upgrades fundamentally increment cash related progression. As proposed at this point, even foundation that isn't obviously sports related may go unused after the peak of the MSE.

Methodology

This paper surveys past work by different analysts on MSEs like the World Series, as well as global occasions like the World Cup and the Olympic Games. Free scientists collectively find that projections of the drivers of the financial effect of MSEs exaggerate the genuine monetary effect of these rivalries overwhelmingly. Other than that, the creator's discourse is additionally given. Specifically, consideration was centered on the conditions looked by agricultural nations facilitating these occasions.

Discussion

As shown by Maennig, (2012a) that for the most part a common ex bet cash related influence rotates around genuinely look at direct purposes considering new guests and a brief timeframe later apply a financial multiplier which consistently duplicates the last effect numbers. Obviously, expecting that messes up are made in zeroing in on direct spending, those screws up are brought up in working out abnormal spending through standard multiplier appraisal.

Work isn't the critical variable of creation that could convey pay. In the event that lodgings experience higher than ordinary inhabitation rates during a MSE as revealed by Stephen (2014), the mentioning should be raised about the immaterial piece of broadened benefit that stay locally expecting the inn is an extensively or for the most part had chain. Consequently, to survey the effect of MSEs, a congruity of parts approach ought to be used. Appropriately, what total does the MSE accomplish cash inflows and spills over that

couldn't happen in its nonappearance? Since the data yield models utilized in the most refined ex bet evaluations depend on fixed relationship among data sources and results, such models don't address the nuances of full work and capital having a spot noted here. Also, it isn't certain about the far away chance that financial effect measures considering them are unbalanced up or down. It is sensible to see, in any case, that since a MSE looks out for express redirection where the performers or competitors should be imported from sharing nations starting with one side of the world then onto the next, the multiplier will be lower than the multiplier for spending on neighborhood redirection (Baade, 2016).

The experience of non-current countries working with a MSE could detach overall from that of a made country. In any event, usage expected for foundation is plainly going to be a lot higher in non-current countries. To have the 1994 World Cup, the United States spent under US\$32-M on foundation enhancements (Solberg, 2014). The U.S. could oversee without a thoroughly striking stretch give nine existing working environments that fulfilled FIFA rules for working with the MSEs and essentially one more twenty past fields would have correspondingly been really great for the clarification.

The doorway cost of capital may in this way be especially high in non-present day countries. According to a financial perspective, the expense of building another field isn't best depicted by how much cash expected to make the MSE yet rather the worth to society from a near level of capital spent on the going with best open endeavor. Nigeria' government really consumed US\$340-M on another open soccer field, more than the yearly open government uses on flourishing or preparing (Mourato, Szymanski, & Ozdemiroglu, 2018). During a general time span the "Primerica Park" to substitute making a field generally an indistinguishable expense. While many would fight that the diversion region has

moreover lost its spending needs, judgment of the Nigerian soccer field has been in each useful sense, broad. The evaluation isn't plainly a result of the expense of the field, yet rather the expense of the field paying little mind to other squeezing necessities for a low compensation country like Nigeria (Matheson, 2014).

Conclusion

Urban areas thoroughly contend to have MSEs due to the normal discernment that they will support their picture and assist with developing their economies. Global games involve enormous consumptions on foundation, security, and association and depend urgently on open sponsorship. The capacity of occasion advertisers to create public assets relies fairly upon persuading a wary public that facilitating an occasion prompts enormous financial benefit. There is plainly a rationale in overstating the effect of a super occasion. Earlier assessments of MSEs, as well as the exploration of other autonomous researchers recommend that the genuine financial advantages are ordinarily undeniably not exactly the numbers promoted by advertisers. Urban communities should direct more exhaustive assessments of promoter commitments of a monetary bonus from facilitating a games super occasion, for example, the World Cup and Olympics prior to committing significant public assets to such an occasion. For sure, it could be that facilitating these head occasions might be even more a weight as opposed to an honor.

References

1. Armstrong, J. (2015). FIFA World Cup 2014 leads to record number of foreign visitors to Brazil. The Mirror. Retrieved from <http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/world-news/fifa-world-cup-2014-leads-6106072>
2. Baade, R. A., & Matheson, V. (2016). Going for the gold: The economics of the Olympics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 30(2), 201–218.
3. Christiansen, N. V., Kahlmeier, S., & Racioppi, F. (2014). Sport Promotion Policies in the European Union: Results of a Contents Analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, Volume 24, Issue 2, pp. 428–438.
4. David & Raymundo (2015). The Effects of the NFL on Metro-level GDP. *International Journal of Event and Festival Management*, 4(1), 31-42.
5. Dolan, P., Kavetsos, G., Krekel, C., Mavridis, D., Metcalfe, R., Senik, C., Szymanski, S., & Ziebarth, N. R. (2016). The host with the most? The effects of the Olympic Games on happiness CEP Discussion Paper, No 1441. Centre for Economic Performance, London School of Economics and Political Science.
6. Eleni, M. Malfas, M. Theodoraki, H. & Houlihan, B. (2014). Impacts of the Olympic Games as Mega-Events. *Proceedings of The Institution of Civil Engineers-municipal Engineer - PROC INST CIVIL ENG MUNIC ENG*. 157. 209-220.
10.1680/muen.157.3.209.49461.
7. Feddersen, A., & Maennig, W. (2013a). Employment effects of the Olympic Games in Atlanta 1996 reconsidered. *International Journal of Sport Finance*, 8(2), 95-111.
8. Groothuis, P. A., & Rotthoff, K. W. (2016). The Economic Impact and Civic Pride Effects of Sports Teams and Mega-Events: Do The Public and the Professionals Agree? *Economic Affairs*, Volume 36, Issue 1, pp. 21–32.

9. Ito, T., Iwata, K., McKenzie, C., Noland, M., & Urata, S. (2016). The Economics of Sport in Asia: Editors' Overview. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, Volume 11, Issue 1, pp. 1-15.
10. Joens, C., Munday, M., & Roche, N. (2012). Can Regional Sports Stadia Ever Be Economically Significant? *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 63-77.
11. Kavetsos, G., & Szymanski, S. (2014). National well-being and international sports events. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 31(2), 158-171.
12. Langer, V. C. E., Maennig, W., & Richter, F. J. (2017). The Olympic Games as a news shock: Macroeconomic implications. *Journal of Sports Economics*. Retrieved from <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1527002517690788>
13. Maennig, W. (2016). Preventing corruption in the planning of major sporting events: open issues. In W. Transparency International (Ed.), *Global corruption report sport* (pp. 169-173). Abingdon, New York: Routledge.
14. Maennig, W., & Richter, F. J. (2012). Exports and Olympic Games: Is there a signal effect? *Journal of Sports Economics*, 13(6), 635-641.
15. Maennig, W., & Zimbalist, A. (2012a). What is a mega sporting event? In W. Maennig, & A. Zimbalist (Eds.), *International handbook on the economics of mega sporting events* (pp. 9-14). Cheltenham (UK), Northampton (USA): Edward Elgar Publishing.
16. Matheson, Victor & Robert. (2014). *Mega-Sporting Events in Developing Nations: Playing the Way to Prosperity?*. South African Journal of Economics. 72. 1085-1096. 10.1111/j.1813-6982.2004.tb00147.x.
17. Meza, Abel & Al-Ghamdi, Sami G. & Koç, Muammer. (2019). Sustainability in Mega-Events: Beyond Qatar 2022. *Sustainability*. 11. 6407. 10.3390/su11226407.
18. Mitchell, H., & Stewart, M. F. (2015). What should you pay to host a party? An economic analysis of hosting sports mega-events. *Applied Economics*, 47(15), 1550-1561.
19. Mourato, S., Szymanski, S., & Ozdemiroglu, E. (2018). Are we willing to pay enough to 'back the bid'? Valuing the intangible impacts of London's bid to host the 2012 Summer Olympic Games. *Urban Studies*, 45(2), 419-44.
20. Osada, M., Ojima, M., Kurachi, Y., Takuji, K., & Kawamoto, M. (2016). Economic Impact of the Tokyo 2020 Olympic Games. Research and Statistics Department, Bank of Japan Reports & Research Papers. Retrieved from https://www.boj.or.jp/en/research/brp/ron_2016/data/ron160121b.pdf
21. Panopoulos, Anastasios & Panigyrakis, George. (2016). The influence of the Olympic Games on Beijing consumers' perceptions of their city tourism development. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*. 22. 10.1108/13555851011013173.
22. Papoutzis, Lazaros & Christodoulou, Anastasia & Ifigenia, Vamvakidou & Solaki, Andromachi. (2014). *Athens Olympic Games Opening Ceremony, 2004. The Semiotics of the Ancient Greek Spirit..*

23. Rio (2016): The Greatest Show on Earth in Stats. Retrieved: <http://www.bbc.com/sport/olympics/37148372>.
24. Scandizzo, Pasquale & Pierleoni, Maria Rita. (2017). Assessing the Olympic Games: the economic impact and beyond. *Journal of Economic Surveys*. 32. 10.1111/joes.12213.
25. Solberg, Harry & Gratton, Chris. (2014). Broadcasting the World Cup. 10.1057/9781137373687_4.
26. Stephen, B., Heyne, M., & Maennig, W. (2014). Induced Civic Pride and Integration. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 72(2), 202-220.